

# COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

#### OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

MARY C. WICKHAM County Counsel

May 15, 2019

RECEIVED
May 16, 2019
Commission on
State Mandates

#### LATE FILING

TELEPHONE (213) 974-1831 FACSIMILE (213) 617-7182 TDD (213) 633-0901

Via Drop Box

Heather Halsey
Executive Director
Commission on State Mandates
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: County of Los Angeles in Support of County of San Diego's

**Response to Draft Proposed Decision** 

Youth Offender Parole Test Claim 17-TC-29

Dear Ms. Halsey:

The County of Los Angeles requests that the Commission consider our letter in support of the San Diego's County's response to its Draft Proposed Decision. The Legislature has created a new program known as a youthful offender parole hearing that compels local agencies to provide a higher level of service in order to comply with State statutes. Local agencies are entitled to reimbursement under Article XIII B Section 6 of the California Constitution for the costs of State-mandated new programs or higher levels of service. In the instant case, SB 260, 261 and 394 created a new program and required that the State Board of Parole Hearings conduct a new type of parole hearing, a youthful offender parole hearing, for reviewing the suitability for parole of any eligible prisoner who was 25 or younger at the time of their controlling offense. These test claim statutes requires the Board of Parole Hearings to "give great weight" to youth related factors, however, the statutes were silent as to who would investigate and present these youth related factors.

The California Supreme Court in People v. Franklin (2016) 63 Cal. 4th 261, held that SB 260 contemplates that information regarding a youthful offender's characteristics and circumstances at the time of the offense will be available at the time of the parole hearing to facilitate consideration by the California Board of Parole Hearings. The court further noted that assembling

statements from family members, friends, and others as mentioned in Penal Code §3051(f)(2) is typically "a task more easily done at or near the time of the ... offense rather than decades later when memories have faded, records may have been lost or destroyed, or family or community members may have relocated or passed away." *Id.* at 283 The court also stated that while Penal Code §3051(f)(1) allows for the use of psychological evaluations and risk assessments, consideration of subsequent growth and maturity warrants the availability of information about the offense at or near the time of the offense. *Id.* at 284 The Franklin court explained that a trial court may hold a proceeding whereby documents, evaluations, or testimony may be presented so that the Board years later can properly discharge its obligation to give great weight to youth-related factors. As a result, the costs associated with investigating and presenting youth-related factors at the trial court for later consideration at a youthful offender parole hearing derives from a reimbursable State mandate.

In determining whether a mandate exists we first must look to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and the plain language of the Test Claim statutes for its purpose and intent. The concern which prompted the inclusion of section 6 of Article XIII B was the perceived attempt by the State to enact legislation or adopt administrative orders creating programs to be administered by local agencies, thereby transferring to those agencies the fiscal responsibility for providing services which the State believed should be extended to the public. County of Los Angeles v. State of California, (1987) 43 Cal. App. 3d 46. Given this stated purpose, courts have been willing to extend and broaden the scope of mandates beyond what is expressly written. In Long Beach Unified School District. v. State of California, the court expanded mandates to include executive orders. The court examined the increased financial burdens being shifted to local government, not the form in which those burdens appeared. Long Beach Unified School District. v. State of California, (1990) 225 Cal. App. 3d 155.

In the instant case, the stated purpose of the test claim statutes is to "create a process by which growth and maturity of youthful offenders can be assessed and a meaningful opportunity for release established." 1 Although the test claim statutes require the Parole Board to consider youth-related factors, the statutes do not state who is responsible for collecting and investigating these factors so that they can later be presented at a hearing. The Commission contends that there is no mandate because the test claim statutes do not expressly impose any requirement upon local government. Clearly, the Legislature contemplated that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Statutes 2013, chapter 312, section 1.

Heather Halsey May 15, 2019 Page 3

someone would gather the information in Penal Code Sections 3051(f)(1) and (f)(2) at or near the time of the offense so that a proper assessment can be made as to the individual's growth and maturity decades later. The Proposed Decision ignores the practical realities of the parole process. The Board of Parole's duty to "give great weight" to youthful factors is impossible to execute if no one is responsible for investigating and presenting those factors at or near the time of the offense. The Commission's proposed decision naturally implies that State appointed counsel, not the local agency, would provide youthful factors to the Board. However, it is evident that a State parole attorney is not appointed until a decade or more after the time of the offense and sentencing. If the intent of the Legislature is to create a process by which growth and maturity of youthful offenders can be assessed and a meaningful opportunity for release be established, the Commission's proposed decision would defeat the stated purpose of the statute.

In People v. Franklin (2016) 63 Cal.4th 261, the California Supreme Court stated that the (test claim) statutes "contemplate that information regarding the ... offender's characteristics and circumstances at the time of the offense (emphasis added) will be available at a youth offender parole hearing to facilitate the Board's consideration." The court further noted that "assembling statements from family members, friends, and others as mentioned in Penal Code §3051(f)(2) is typically a task more easily done at or near the time of the...offense rather than decades later when memories have faded, records may have been lost or destroyed, or family, or community members may have relocated or passed away." Id. The Franklin court explained that a trial court may hold a proceeding whereby documents, evaluations or testimony may be presented so that the Board years later can properly discharge its statutory obligation to give great weight to youth-related factors. From a practical standpoint, the State-appointed attorney, who is appointed many years later, would not be in a position to present such information. On page 40 of its Draft Proposed Decision, the Commission conceded that prosecution and defense counsel are now effectively required to make such a record of "factors, including youth-related factors, relevant to the eventual [YOPH] determination."2 It is evident from the Franklin decision that the source of the requirement to provide a thorough and meaningful youthful parole offender hearing comes from the statutes themselves which contemplate local agency involvement at the sentencing stage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Commission on State Mandates Draft Proposed Decision, Youth Offender Parole Hearings, 17-TC-29, Letter dated March 25, 2019.

Heather Halsey May 15, 2019 Page 4

In order to effectuate the legislative purpose of these youth offender parole hearings, the local agency is required to investigate and present evidence of youthful factors at the trial court. Years later the State appointed attorney will be in a position to utilize the information preserved in the record and provide evidence of growth and maturity for the Board's consideration. Respectfully, the Commission's analysis results in a quagmire where the State creates a youthful offender parole process to consider factors that must be collected at the time of the offense, but no one is required to collect these factors. In the end, local agencies will be required to comply with the program by assuring that youthful factors are collected at or near the time of sentencing – a task they were not required to do prior to this legislation. This increased financial burden being shifted to local government is exactly that which the Constitution prohibits – State legislation that creates a program that will be administered by local agencies. The County of Los Angeles joins the County of San Diego and respectfully requests that the Commission reconsider its Draft Proposed Decision in light of the aforementioned.

Very truly yours,

MARY C. WICKHAM County Counsel

Ву

LUCIA GONZALEZ
Senior Deputy County Counsel

Government Services Division

LG:lal

cc:

Hasmik Yaghobyan, Auditor Controller Randall Loughlin, Auditor Controller Ricare Garcia, Public Defender

### DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On May 16, 2019, I served the:

- Interested Party's (County of Los Angeles's) Late Comments on the Draft Proposed Decision filed May 16, 2019
- Claimant's Rebuttal Comments and Comments on the Draft Proposed Decision filed May 15, 2019

Youth Offender Parole Hearings, 17-TC-29
Penal Code Sections 3041, 3046, 3051, and 4801; Statutes 2013, Chapter 312 (SB 260); Statutes 2015, Chapter 471 (SB 261); Statutes 2017, Chapter 675 (AB 1308); Statutes 2017, Chapter 684 (SB 394)
County of San Diego, Claimant

By making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on May 16, 2019 at Sacramento, California.

Jill L. Magee

Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 323-3562

## **COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES**

## **Mailing List**

Last Updated: 3/22/19 Claim Number: 17-TC-29

Matter: Youth Offender Parole Hearings

Claimant: County of San Diego

#### TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

Suedy Alfaro, Senior Deputy County Counsel, County of San Diego

1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355, San Diego, CA 92101

Phone: (619) 531-5044

Suedy.Alfaro@sdcounty.ca.gov

Socorro Aquino, State Controller's Office

Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-7522 SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Harmeet Barkschat, Mandate Resource Services, LLC

5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307, Sacramento, CA 95842

Phone: (916) 727-1350 harmeet@calsdrc.com

Lacey Baysinger, State Controller's Office

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0254 lbaysinger@sco.ca.gov

Allan Burdick,

7525 Myrtle Vista Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95831

Phone: (916) 203-3608 allanburdick@gmail.com

J. Bradley Burgess, MGT of America

895 La Sierra Drive, Sacramento, CA 95864

Phone: (916)595-2646 Bburgess@mgtamer.com

Evelyn Calderon-Yee, Bureau Chief, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-5919 ECalderonYee@sco.ca.gov

Gwendolyn Carlos, State Controller's Office

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0706 gcarlos@sco.ca.gov

Annette Chinn, Cost Recovery Systems, Inc.

705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294, Folsom, CA 95630

Phone: (916) 939-7901 achinners@aol.com

Carolyn Chu, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8326 Carolyn.Chu@lao.ca.gov

Anita Dagan, Manager, Local Reimbursement Section, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-4112 Adagan@sco.ca.gov

Raj Dixit, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 raj.dixit@csm.ca.gov

Donna Ferebee, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov

Susan Geanacou, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 susan.geanacou@dof.ca.gov

Dillon Gibbons, Legislative Representative, California Special Districts Association

1112 I Street Bridge, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 442-7887 dillong@csda.net

Lucia Gonzalez, County Counsel, County of Los Angeles

500 West Temple Street, 648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration, Los Angeles, CA 90012-2713

Phone: (213) 974-1811

lgonzalez@counsel.lacounty.gov

Heather Halsey, Executive Director, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 heather.halsey@csm.ca.gov

Chris Hill, Principal Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance

Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Chris.Hill@dof.ca.gov

Edward Jewik, County of Los Angeles

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-8564 ejewik@auditor.lacounty.gov

Stephanie Karnavas, Senior Deputy County Counsel, County of San Diego

**Claimant Representative** 

1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355, San Diego, CA 92101

Phone: (619) 531-5834

Stephanie.Karnavas@sdcounty.ca.gov

Anita Kerezsi, AK & Company

2425 Golden Hill Road, Suite 106, Paso Robles, CA 93446

Phone: (805) 239-7994 akcompanysb90@gmail.com

Lisa Kurokawa, Bureau Chief for Audits, State Controller's Office

Compliance Audits Bureau, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 327-3138 lkurokawa@sco.ca.gov

Kim-Anh Le, Deputy Controller, County of San Mateo

555 County Center, 4th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 599-1104 kle@smcgov.org

Erika Li, Program Budget Manager, Department of Finance

915 L Street, 10th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 erika.li@dof.ca.gov

Jill Magee, Program Analyst, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 Jill.Magee@csm.ca.gov

Michelle Mendoza, MAXIMUS

17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403

Phone: (949) 440-0845

michellemendoza@maximus.com

Meredith Miller, Director of SB90 Services, MAXIMUS

3130 Kilgore Road, Suite 400, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Phone: (972) 490-9990

meredithcmiller@maximus.com

**Lourdes Morales**, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office* 

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8320

Lourdes.Morales@LAO.CA.GOV

Geoffrey Neill, Senior Legislative Analyst, Revenue & Taxation, California State Association of

Counties (CSAC)

1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 327-7500 gneill@counties.org

**Andy Nichols**, *Nichols Consulting* 1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819

Phone: (916) 455-3939 andy@nichols-consulting.com

Craig Osaki, Deputy in Charge, Los Angeles County Public Defender's Office

9425 Penfield Avenue #2700, Chatsworth, CA 91311

Phone: (213) 974-2811 cosaki@pubdef.lacounty.gov

Arthur Palkowitz, Artiano Shinoff

2488 Historic Decatur Road, Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92106

Phone: (619) 232-3122 apalkowitz@as7law.com

Jai Prasad, County of San Bernardino

Office of Auditor-Controller, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018

Phone: (909) 386-8854 jai.prasad@atc.sbcounty.gov

Mark Rewolinski, MAXIMUS

808 Moorefield Park Drive, Suite 205, Richmond, VA 23236

Phone: (949) 440-0845 markrewolinski@maximus.com

Brian Rutledge, Budget Analyst, Department of Finance

Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Brian.Rutledge@dof.ca.gov

Tracy Sandoval, County of San Diego

**Claimant Contact** 

1600 Pacific Highway, Room 166, San Diego, CA 92101

Phone: (619) 531-5413

tracy.sandoval@sdcounty.ca.gov

Theresa Schweitzer, City of Newport Beach

100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660

Phone: (949) 644-3140

tschweitzer@newportbeachca.gov

**Jennifer Shaffer**, Executive Officer, Department of Corrections

Board of Parole Hearings, P.O. Box 4036, Sacramento, CA 95812

Phone: (916) 445-4072 jennifer.shaffer@cdcr.ca.gov

Carla Shelton, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 carla.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Camille Shelton, Chief Legal Counsel, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 camille.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Natalie Sidarous, Chief, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA

95816

Phone: 916-445-8717 NSidarous@sco.ca.gov

Jim Spano, Chief, Mandated Cost Audits Bureau, State Controller's Office

Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-5849 jspano@sco.ca.gov

Dennis Speciale, State Controller's Office

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0254 DSpeciale@sco.ca.gov

Joe Stephenshaw, Director, Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee

California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 651-4103 Joe.Stephenshaw@sen.ca.gov

Tracy Sullivan, Legislative Analyst, California State Association of Counties (CSAC)

1100 K Street, Suite 101, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 327-7500 tsullivan@counties.org

Derk Symons, Staff Finance Budget Analyst, Department of Finance

Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Derk.Symons@dof.ca.gov

Jolene Tollenaar, MGT of America

2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815

Phone: (916) 243-8913 jolenetollenaar@gmail.com

Evelyn Tseng, City of Newport Beach

100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660

Phone: (949) 644-3127 etseng@newportbeachca.gov

Brian Uhler, Principal Fiscal & Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8328 Brian.Uhler@LAO.CA.GOV

Renee Wellhouse, David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc.

3609 Bradshaw Road, H-382, Sacramento, CA 95927

Phone: (916) 797-4883 dwa-renee@surewest.net

Hasmik Yaghobyan, County of Los Angeles

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-9653

hyaghobyan@auditor.lacounty.gov