SixTen and Associates Mandate Reimbursement Services

KEITH B. PETERSEN, President

San Diego 5252 Balboa Avenue, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92117 Telephone: (858) 514-8605 Fax: (858) 514-8645 www.sixtenandassociates.com Sacramento 3270 Arena Blvd., Suite 400-363 Sacramento, CA 95834 Telephone: (916) 419-7093 Fax: (916) 263-9701 E-Mail: kbpsixten@aol.com

August 31, 2010

Paula Higashi, Executive Director Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814



RE: Health Fee Elimination #2 Fiscal Years: 2002-03 through 2006-07 Incorrect Reduction Claim

Dear Ms. Higashi:

Enclosed is the original and two copies of the above referenced incorrect reduction claim for State Center Community College District.

SixTen and Associates has been appointed by the District as its representative for this matter and all interested parties should direct their inquiries to me, with a copy as follows:

Douglas R. Brinkley, Vice Chancellor Finance and Administration State Center Community College District 1525 East Weldon Avenue Fresno, CA 93704-6398

Thank-you.

Sincerely,

Keith B. Petersen

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

1. INCORRECT REDUCTION CLAIM TITLE

State Center Community College District

1/84, 1118/87 Health Fee Elimination #2

This is the second incorrect reduction claim filed by the District for this mandate program.

2. CLAIMANT INFORMATION

Douglas Brinkley, Vice-Chancellor, Finance and Administration State Center Community College District 1525 East Weldon Ave. Fresno, CA 93704 Phone: (559) 244-5910 Fax: (559) 243-1949 E-Mail: doug.brinkley@scccd.edu

3. CLAIMANT REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Claimant designates the following person to act as its sole representative in this incorrect reduction claim. All correspondence and communications regarding this claim shall be forwarded to this representative. Any change in representation must be authorized by the claimant in writing, and sent to the Commission on State Mandates.

Keith B. Petersen, President SixTen and Associates 3270 Arena Blvd., Suite 400-363 Sacramento, CA 95834 Voice: (916) 419-7093 Fax: (916) 263-9701 E-mail: Kbpsixten@aol.com

Filing	Date

or REGENED

SEP 0 1 2010 COMMISSION ON

STATE MANDATES

IRC #:

4. IDENTIFICATION OF STATUTES OR EXECUTIVE ORDERS

Statutes of 1984, Chapter 1, 2nd E.S. Statutes of 1987, Chapter 1118

5. AMOUNT OF INCORRECT REDUCTION

Fiscal Year	Amount of Reduction
2002-03 2003-04 2004-05 2005-06 2006-07	\$237,764 \$100,782 \$0 \$473,160 \$91,038
TOTAL:	\$902,744

6. NOTICE OF NO INTENT TO CONSOLIDATE This claim is not being filed with the intent to consolidate on behalf of other claimants.

Sections 7-14 are attached as follows:

7. Written Detailed Narrative:	Pages 1 to 28
8. SCO Results of Review Letters:	Exhibit <u>A</u>
9. Parameters and Guidelines:	Exhibit <u>B</u>
10. SCO Claiming Instructions:	Exhibit <u> C </u>
11. SCO Audit Report:	Exhibit <u>D</u>
12. Chancellor's Letter:	Exhibit <u> E </u>
13. SCO Mandated Cost Manual:	Exhibit <u>F</u>
14. Annual Reimbursement Claims:	Exhibit <u>G</u>

15. CLAIM CERTIFICATION

This claim alleges an incorrect reduction of a reimbursement claim filed with the State Controller's Office pursuant to Government Code section 17561. This incorrect reduction claim is filed pursuant to Government Code section 17551, subdivision (d). I hereby declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the information in this incorrect reduction claim submission is true and complete to the best of my own knowledge or information or belief.

9/10

Douglas Brinkley, Vice-Chancellor Finance and Administration Signature

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<u>Claim Prepared by:</u> Keith B. Petersen SixTen and Associates 3270 Arena Blvd., Suite 400-363 Sacramento, California 95834 Voice: (916) 419-7093 Fax: (916) 263-9701 E-mail: kbpsixten@aol.com	
10	BE	FORE THE
11	COMMISSION	ON STATE MANDATES
12	STATE	OF CALIFORNIA
13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	INCORRECT REDUCTION CLAIM OF: STATE CENTER Community College District, Claimant.	 No. CSM Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd E.S. Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987 Education Code Section 76355 Health Fee Elimination #2 Annual Reimbursement Claims: Fiscal Year 2002-03 Fiscal Year 2003-04 Fiscal Year 2004-05 Fiscal Year 2005-06 Fiscal Year 2006-07
32		INCORRECT REDUCTION CLAIM FILING
33		DRITY FOR THE CLAIM
34		tes has the authority pursuant to Government
35	Code Section 17551(d) to " hear and	l decide upon a claim by a local agency or
36	school district, filed on or after January 1	, 1985, that the Controller has incorrectly
37	reduced payments to the local agency or	school district pursuant to paragraph (2) of

subdivision (d) of Section 17561." State Center Community College District (hereafter 1 "District" or "Claimant") is a school district as defined in Government Code Section 2 17519,¹ Title 2, CCR, Section 1185 (a), requires the claimant to file an incorrect 3 reduction claim with the Commission. 4 This incorrect reduction claim is timely filed. Title 2, CCR, Section 1185 (b), 5 requires incorrect reduction claims to be filed no later than three years following the date 6 of the Controller's remittance advice notifying the claimant of a reduction. A Controller's 7 audit report dated June 11, 2010, has been issued which constitutes a demand for 8 repayment and adjudication of the claim. On June 24, 2010, the Controller issued 9 "results of review letters" for FY 2002-03, FY 2003-04, and FY 2006-07 reporting the 10 audit results and amounts due the state and this constitutes a payment action. No 11 results of review letter was received for FY 2005-06. On July 14, 2010, the Controller 12 issued "results of audit letters" for FY 2002-03, FY 2003-04, FY 2005-06, and FY 2006-13 07 reporting the audit results and amounts due the state and this constitutes a payment 14 adjudication. Copies of these letters are attached as Exhibit "A." No letter is needed for 15 FY 2004-05 since the net adjustment amount was zero. 16

There is no alternative dispute resolution process available from the Controller's
office. The audit report transmittal letter states that an incorrect reduction claim should

¹ Government Code Section 17519, added by Chapter 1459, Statutes of 1984, Section 1:

[&]quot;School district' means any school district, community college district, or county superintendent of schools."

Ì	be filed with I	the Commission if	the claimant dis	sagrees with	he findings.
2		PART	II. SUMMARY	OF THE CL	AIM
3	The C	controller conducted	d a field audit o	f District's an	nual reimbursement claims
4	for the Distric	ct's actual costs of	complying with	the legislativ	ely mandated Health Fee
5	Elimination P	Program (Chapter 1	, Statutes of 19	984, 2 nd Extra	ordinary Session and
6	Chapter 1118	8, Statutes of 1987) for the period	of July 1, 20	02 through June 30, 2007.
7	The audit det	termined that \$902	,744 of the clai	med costs we	ere unallowable:
8	Fiscal		Audit	SCO	Amount Due
9	Year	<u>Claimed</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>	Payments	<state> District</state>
10	2002-0	03 \$615,935	\$237,764	\$615,935	<\$237,764>
11	2003-0	04 \$369,327	\$100,782	\$0	\$268,545
12	2004-0	05 \$395,163	\$ O	\$ O	\$395,163
13	2005-0	06 \$686,789 ²	\$473,160	\$0	\$213,629
14	2006-0	07 \$191,257	<u>\$ 91,038</u>	<u>\$191,257</u>	<u><\$ 91,038></u>
15	Totals			\$807,192	\$548,535
16					
17	Since	the audit report sta	ates that the Dis	strict has bee	n paid \$807,192 for these
18	annual claims	s, the audit report o	concludes that	the amount o	f \$548,535 is due the
19	District.				
20		PART III. PREV	OUS INCORR	ECT REDUC	TION CLAIMS
21	This is	s the second incorr	ect reduction c	laim filed on t	his program by this District.
22	On Septembe	er 1, 2005, the Dist	rict filed an inc	orrect reducti	on claim for fiscal years

² The FY 2005-06 audited annual claim is an amended claim. The original amount of annual claim was \$391,881. The amended annual claim amount is \$696,789 less a late filing penalty of \$10,000.

Ĩ	1999-00, 2000-01, and 2001-02 for this mandate. That incorrect reduction claim is still
2	pending action by the Commission on State Mandates. The District is not aware of any
3	incorrect reduction claims having been adjudicated on the specific issues or subject
4	matter raised by this incorrect reduction claim.
5	PART IV. BASIS FOR REIMBURSEMENT
6	1. <u>Mandate Legislation</u>
7	Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd Extraordinary Session, repealed Education
8	Code Section 72246 and added new Education Code Section 72246, which authorized
9	community college districts to charge a student health services fee for the purposes of
10	providing health supervision and services, and operating student health centers. This
11	statute also required that the scope of student health services provided by any
12	community college district during the 1983-84 fiscal year be maintained at that level in
13	the 1984-85 fiscal year and every year thereafter. The provisions of this statute were to
14	automatically repeal on December 31, 1987.
15	Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987, amended Education Code Section 72246 to
16	require any community college district that provided student health services in fiscal year
17	1986-87 to maintain student health services at that level in 1987-88 and each fiscal year
18	thereafter.
19	Chapter 753, Statutes of 1992, amended Education Code Section 72246 to
20	increase the maximum fee that community college districts were permitted to charge for
21	student health services. This statute also provided for future increases in the amount of

1 the authorized fees that were linked to the Implicit Price Deflator for State and Local

2 Government Purchase of Goods and Services.

3 Chapter 8, Statutes of 1993, repealed Education Code Section 72246, and added

4 Education Code Section 76355³ containing substantially the same provisions as

³ Education Code Section 76355, added by Chapter 8, Statutes of 1993, effective April 15, 1993, as last amended by Chapter 758, Statutes of 1995:

(a) The governing board of a district maintaining a community college may require community college students to pay a fee in the total amount of not more than ten dollars (\$10) for each semester, seven dollars (\$7) for summer school, seven dollars (\$7) for each intersession of at least four weeks, or seven dollars (\$7) for each quarter for health supervision and services, including direct or indirect medical and hospitalization services, or the operation of a student health center or centers, or both.

The governing board of each community college district may increase this fee by the same percentage increase as the Implicit Price Deflator for State and Local Government Purchase of Goods and Services. Whenever that calculation produces an increase of one dollar (1) above the existing fee, the fee may be increased by one dollar (1).

(b) If, pursuant to this section, a fee is required, the governing board of the district shall decide the amount of the fee, if any, that a part-time student is required to pay. The governing board may decide whether the fee shall be mandatory or optional.

(c) The governing board of a district maintaining a community college shall adopt rules and regulations that exempt the following students from any fee required pursuant to subdivision (a):

(1) Students who depend exclusively upon prayer for healing in accordance with the teachings of a bona fide religious sect, denomination, or organization.

(2) Students who are attending a community college under an approved apprenticeship training program.

(3) Low-income students, including students who demonstrate financial need in accordance with the methodology set forth in federal law or regulation for determining the expected family contribution of students seeking financial aid and students who demonstrate eligibility according to income standards established by the board of governors and contained in Section 58620 of Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations.

(d) All fees collected pursuant to this section shall be deposited in the fund of the

- 1 former Section 72246, effective April 15, 1993.
- 2 Chapter 320, Statutes of 2005, effective January 1, 2006, amended Education
- 3 Code Section 76355 to remove the fee exemption for low-income students under
- 4 76355(c)(3).

6

5 2. <u>Test Claim</u>

On November 27, 1985, Rio Hondo Community College District filed a test claim

- 7 alleging that Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd Extraordinary Session mandated
- 8 increased costs within the meaning of California Constitution Article XIII B, Section 6, by
- 9 requiring the provision of student health services that were previously provided at the
- 10 discretion of the community college districts.

district designated by the California Community Colleges Budget and Accounting Manual. These fees shall be expended only to provide health services as specified in regulations adopted by the board of governors. Authorized expenditures shall not include, among other things, athletic trainers'

salaries, athletic insurance, medical supplies for athletics, physical examinations for intercollegiate athletics, ambulance services, the salaries of health professionals for athletic events, any deductible portion of accident claims filed for athletic team members, or any other expense that is not available to all students. No student shall be denied a service supported by student health fees on account of participation in athletic programs.

⁽e) Any community college district that provided health services in the 1986-87 fiscal year shall maintain health services, at the level provided during the 1986-87 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter. If the cost to maintain that level of service exceeds the limits specified in subdivision (a), the excess cost shall be borne by the district.

⁽f) A district that begins charging a health fee may use funds for startup costs from other district funds and may recover all or part of those funds from health fees collected within the first five years following the commencement of charging the fee.

⁽g) The board of governors shall adopt regulations that generally describe the types of health services included in the health service program.

1	On November 20, 1986, the Commission on State Mandates determined that
2	Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd Extraordinary Session, imposed a new program upon
3	community college districts by requiring any community college district that provided
4	student health services for which it was authorized to charge a fee pursuant to former
5	Section 72246 in the 1983-1984 fiscal year, to maintain student health services at that
6	level in the 1984-1985 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter.
7	On April 27, 1989, the Commission on State Mandates determined that Chapter
8	1118, Statutes of 1987, amended this requirement to apply to all community college
9	districts that provided student health services in fiscal year 1986-1987, and required
10	them to maintain that level of student health services in fiscal year 1987-1988 and each
11	fiscal year thereafter.
12	3. Parameters and Guidelines
13	On August 27, 1987, the original parameters and guidelines were adopted. On
14	May 25, 1989, those parameters and guidelines were amended. A copy of the May 25,
15	1989, parameters and guidelines is attached as Exhibit "B."
16	4. <u>Claiming Instructions</u>
17	The Controller has periodically issued or revised claiming instructions for the
18	Health Fee Elimination mandate. A copy of the September 2003 revision of the claiming
19	instructions is attached as Exhibit "C." The September 2003 claiming instructions are
20	believed to be substantially similar to the version extant at the time the claims that are
21	the subject of this incorrect reduction claim were filed. However, because the

1	Controller's claim forms and instructions have not been adopted as regulations, they
2	have no force of law and no effect on the outcome of this claim.
3	PART V. STATE CONTROLLER CLAIM ADJUDICATION
4	The Controller conducted an audit of the District's annual reimbursement claims
5	for fiscal years 2002-03, 2003-04, 2004-05, 2005-06, and 2006-07. The audit concluded
6	that 60% of the District's costs claimed were allowable. A copy of the June 11, 2010,
7	final audit report is attached as Exhibit "D."
8	VI. CLAIMANT'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE CONTROLLER
9	By letter dated April 23, 2010, the Controller transmitted a copy of its draft audit
10	report. By letter dated May 12, 2010, the District objected to the proposed adjustments
11	set forth in the draft audit report. A copy of District's letter of May 12, 2010, is included
12	in Exhibit "D." The Controller then issued its final audit report without change to the
13	adjustments as stated in the draft audit report.
14	PART VII. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES
15	Finding 1 - Understated salaries, benefits, and services and supplies
16	The District does not dispute this finding. The audit report increases the program
17	amounts claimed by \$506,433 for three types of program costs not included in the
18	claims.
19	Psychological Intern Program Costs
20	The adjustments add the psychological intern costs (salaries, benefits, and
21	supply costs) to FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05 which were not included in those annual

1 claims. The District agrees that the costs should have been included in those claims. 2 The District properly included these program costs in the FY 2005-06 and FY 2006-07 3 annual claims. 4 Lottery Funds 5 The adjustment adds costs funded by the State Lottery in a separate program 6 fund for FY 2002-03, FY 2003-04, and FY 2004-05. The District agrees that the costs 7 should have been included in these claims, without offset. 8 North Centers Costs 9 The adjustment adds student health service program costs for the North Centers 10 for FY 2006-07. The District agrees that the costs should have been included in that 11 claim. 12 Finding 2: Overstated indirect costs The audit report asserts that the District overstated indirect costs by \$381,532 for 13 14 the audit period. 15 FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04 16 The audit report asserts that the FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04 annual claims were overstated because the District used an indirect cost rate based on the principles of 17 18 OMB Circular A-21 that was not derived from a cost study approved by the federal 19 government as required by the Controller's claiming instructions. The report states that 20 the Controller's claiming instructions relevant to these fiscal years state that when 21 claiming indirect costs, college districts have the option of using a federally approved

1 rate derived from the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21 principles, a rate

2 calculated using form FAM-29C, or a 7% indirect cost rate.

3		Clain	ned	Audit	ed
4	Fiscal Year	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Rate</u>	Source
5 6	FY 2002-03 FY 2003-04	40.69% 38.78%	District District	15.08% 16.15%	FAM 29C-no depreciation FAM 29C-no depreciation
7	The District'	s FY 2002-03	and FY 2003	-04 annual cla	ims used a federal study
8	method prepared b	y District staff	pursuant to a	federal rate p	proposal, including capital
9	costs. The audit re	eport used the	CCFS-311, le	ess capital cos	sts, to calculate the indirect
10	cost rate using its I	Form FAM-29	C method. Th	e Controller's	policy was not to include
11	depreciation costs	in the calculat	ion for these f	īscal years. Т	he audit report has not
12	stated a basis for n	ot including d	epreciation or	capital costs.	
13	<u>FY 2004-05, FY 20</u>	05-06 and FY	2006-07		
14	The audit re	port asserts th	nat the annual	claims for FY	2004-05, FY 2005-06, and
15	FY 2006-07 were o	verstated bec	ause the Distr	rict utilized a f	ederally approved rate
16	contrary to the para	ameters and g	uidelines and	the claiming i	nstructions which the audit
17	report asserts do n	ot provide clai	mants the opt	ion of using fe	ederally approved rates for
18	these fiscal years.				
19		Claim	ed	Audite	ed
20	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Source</u>	Rate	Source
21	FY 2004-05	36.50%	Federal	27.28%	FAM 29C-with depreciation

25.18%

26.60%

FAM 29C-with depreciation

FAM 29C-with depreciation

Federal

Federal

36.50%

36.50%

22

23

FY 2005-06

FY 2006-07

1	The District used a federally approved cost study rate for FY 2004-05, FY 2005-
2	06, and FY 2006-07. The Controller has decided, but has not stated a basis for this
3	decision, to discontinue, retroactively to FY 2004-05, the use of federal rates, approved
4	or not. Instead, the Controller is using the CCFS-311, less capital costs, but with
5	audited district financial statement depreciation costs included, to calculate the indirect
6	cost rate using its Form FAM-29C method. The audit report has not stated a basis for
7	now including depreciation costs when these costs have not been included before.
8	The audit report states that "[u]sing the district's interpretation of the parameters
9	and guidelines, districts would be allowed to claim indirect costs in whatever manner
10	they choose." This is nearly correct. No particular indirect cost rate calculation is
11	required by law. The audit report insists that the rate be calculated "in the manner
12	described" in the claiming instructions. The parameters and guidelines state that
13	"[i]ndirect costs may be claimed in the manner described by the State Controller in his
14	claiming instructions." (Emphasis added) The District claimed these indirect costs "in
15	the manner" described by the Controller in that the correct forms were used and the
16	claimed amounts were entered at the correct locations. Further, "may" is not "shall"; the
17	parameters and guidelines do not require that indirect costs be claimed in the manner
18	specified by the Controller. The Controller asserts that because the parameters and
19	guidelines specifically reference the claiming instructions, the claiming instructions
20	thereby become authoritative criteria.

21

Since the Controller's claiming instructions were never adopted as law, or

1 regulations pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, the claiming instructions are a 2 statement of the Controller's interpretation and not law. The audit report seems to 3 assert that since "[t]he SCO issued its claiming instructions pursuant to Government 4 Code section 17558" that either it has complied with state requirements for rulemaking, 5 or it need not do so. The Controller's staff interpretation of Section VI of the parameters 6 and guidelines would, in essence, subject claimants to underground rulemaking at their 7 discretion. The Controller's claiming instructions are unilaterally created and modified 8 without public notice or comment. The Commission would violate the Administrative 9 Procedure Act if it held that the Controller's claiming instructions are enforceable as 10 standards or regulations. In fact, until 2005, the Controller regularly included a "forward" 11 in the Mandated Cost Manual for Community Colleges (September 30, 2003 version 12 attached as Exhibit "F") that explicitly stated the claiming instructions are "issued for the 13 sole purpose of assisting claimants" and "should not be construed in any manner to be 14 statutes, regulations, or standards."

Neither state law nor the parameters and guidelines make compliance with the Controller's claiming instructions a condition of reimbursement. The District has followed the parameters and guidelines. The burden of proof is on the Controller to prove that the product of the District's calculation is unreasonable, not to recalculate the rate according to its unenforceable ministerial preferences.

Finally, the audit report notes that the District did not request a review of the claiming instructions pursuant to Title 2, CCR, Section 1186. The claiming instructions

are not properly adopted regulations or standards. There is no requirement that a
claimant request such review, even if they are inconsistent with the parameters and
guidelines, because the claiming instructions are not enforceable regulations. Thus, the
fact that no review was requested is not determinative of the validity or force of the
claiming instructions.

6 Since the audit report has stated no legal basis to disallow the indirect cost rate 7 calculation method used by the District, and has not shown a factual basis to reject the 8 District's rates as unreasonable or excessive, the adjustments should be withdrawn.

9 Finding 3: Understated authorized health service fees

10 The audit report states that "authorized" student health service fee revenues 11 were understated by \$938,052 for the audit period. This adjustment is due to the fact 12 that the District reported the actual student health service fees that it collected rather 13 than "authorized" student health service fees that could have been collected. The 14 audited amounts are a result of the Controller's policy to calculate the student health 15 services fees that could have been collected compared to the District's student health 16 fee revenues actually received. The audit report findings and recommendations 17 regarding enrollment data obtained from the Chancellor's Office, the students to be 18 charged, and the amounts to charge these students are not relevant to the District 19 claimed amounts since the District claimed actual revenues collected that resulted from 20 the District's policy regarding which students are to be charged and how much they are 21 to be charged.

1 <u>"Authorized" Fee Amount</u>

2 The audit report notes that the District did not charge the "full authorized fee 3 amount" for several semesters and did not charge the students attending the off-campus 4 learning centers. The audit report asserts that claimants must compute the total student 5 health service fees collectible based on the highest "authorized" rate. The audit report 6 does not provide the statutory basis for the calculation of the "authorized" rate or the 7 source of the legal right of any state entity to "authorize" student health service fee 8 amounts. There has been no rulemaking or compliance with the Administrative 9 Procedure Act by an "authorizing" state agency. The audit report agrees that the fee 10 amounts "identified" by the State Chancellor's office merely informs, by form letter to the 11 local districts, that the Implicit Price Deflator has increased and that the districts may 12 increase their student health service fee if the district so chooses. An example of one 13 such notice is the letter dated March 5, 2001, attached as Exhibit "E." While Education 14 Code Section 76355 provides for an increase in the student health service fee, it did not 15 grant the Chancellor the authority to establish mandatory fee amounts or mandatory fee 16 increases. No state agency was granted that authority by the Education Code, and no 17 state agency has exercised its rulemaking authority to establish mandatory fees 18 amounts. It should be noted that the Chancellor's letter properly states that increasing 19 the amount of the fee is at the option of the district, and that the Chancellor is not 20 asserting that authority.

21

1

- 1 Education Code Section 76355
- 2 Education Code Section 76355, subdivision (a), in relevant part, provides: "The
- 3 governing board of a district maintaining a community college *may require* community
- 4 college students to pay a fee . . . for health supervision and services . . . " (Emphasis
- 5 added) There is no requirement that community colleges levy these fees. The
- 6 permissive nature of the provision is further illustrated in subdivision (b) which states "*If*,
- 7 pursuant to this section, a fee is required, the governing board of the district shall decide
- 8 the amount of the fee, *if any*, that a part-time student is required to pay. *The governing*
- 9 board may decide whether the fee shall be mandatory or optional." (Emphasis added)
- 10 Parameters and Guidelines
- 11 The parameters and guidelines state:
- "Any offsetting savings that the claimant experiences as a direct result of
 this statute must be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement
 for this mandate received from any source, e.g., federal, state, etc., shall be
 identified and deducted from this claim. This shall include the amount of [student
 fees] as authorized by Education Code Section 72246(a)⁴."
- 17 In order for the district to "experience" these "offsetting savings" the district must actually
- 18 have collected these fees. Student fees actually collected must be used to offset costs,
- 19 but not student fees that could have been collected and were not. The use of the term
- 20 *"any* offsetting savings" further illustrates the permissive nature of the fees. The audit
- report states that "[t]he simple correlation is that if the district charges a fee that in turn

⁴ Former Education Code Section 72246 was repealed by Chapter 8, Statutes of 1993, Section 29, and was replaced by Education Code Section 76355.

pays for the health service expenses, then there is no 'cost' to the district." This would 1 be relevant here if the "authority" to collect a fee was a mandate to collect the fee. 2 The audit report's conclusion is based on an illogical interpretation of the 3 parameters and guidelines. The audit report claims that the Commission's intent was for 4 claimed costs to be reduced by fees authorized, rather than fees received as stated in 5 6 the parameters and guidelines. It is true that the Department of Finance proposed, as part of the amendments that were adopted on May 25, 1989, that a sentence be added 7 to the offsetting savings section expressly stating that if no health service fee was 8 charged, the claimant would be required to deduct the amount authorized. However, the 9 Commission declined to add this requirement and adopted the parameters and 10 guidelines without this language. 11 The fact that the Commission *staff* and the California Community College 12 Chancellors Office staff at one time in the spectrum of the process agreed with the 13 Department of Finance's interpretation does not negate the fact that the Commission 14 adopted parameters and guidelines that *did not* include the additional language. It would 15 be nonsensical if the Commission held that every proposal that is discussed was 16 somehow implied into the adopted document, because the proposals of the various 17 parties are often contradictory. Therefore, it is evident that the Commission intends the 18 19 language of the parameters and guidelines to be construed as written, and only those 20 savings that are *experienced* are to be deducted.

21 /

- 1 Government Code Section 17514
- 2 The audit report relies upon Government Code Section 17514 for the conclusion
- 3 that "[t]o the extent community college districts can charge a fee, they are not required
- 4 to incur a cost." Government Code Section 17514, as added by Chapter 1459, Statutes
- 5 of 1984, actually states:

6 "'Costs mandated by the state' means any increased costs which a local 7 agency or school district is required to incur after July 1, 1980, as a result of any 8 statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, or any executive order implementing 9 any statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, which mandates a new program 10 or higher level of service of an existing program within the meaning of Section 6 11 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution."

- 12 There is nothing in the language of the statute regarding the authority to charge a fee,
- 13 any nexus of fee revenue to increased cost, nor any language which describes the legal
- 14 effect of fees collected. The audit report states that "[i]f the district has authority to
- 15 collect fees attributable to health service expenses, then is not required to *incur* a cost."
- 16 This again ignores the fact that Section 76355 makes charging a fee discretionary, and
- 17 that fees are revenues and not avoided increased costs.
- 18 Government Code Section 17556
- 19 The audit report relies upon Government Code Section 17556 for the conclusion
- 20 that "the Commission on State Mandates shall not find costs mandated by the State if
- 21 the school district has the authority to levy fees to pay for the mandated program or
- increased level of service." Government Code Section 17556 as last amended by
- 23 Chapter 589, Statutes of 1989, actually states:
- 24

"The commission shall not find costs mandated by the state, as defined in

1 2 3 4 5	Section 17514, in any claim submitted by a local agency or school district, if, after a hearing, the commission finds: (d) The local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service"
6	The audit report continues to rely upon an incorrect interpretation of Education
7	Code Section 17556(d), while neglecting its context and omitting a crucial clause.
8	Section 17556(d) does specify that the Commission on State Mandates shall not find
9	costs mandated by the state if the local agency has the authority to levy fees, but only if
10	those fees are "sufficient to pay for the mandated program" (emphasis added). Section
11	17556 pertains specifically to the Commission's determination on a test claim, and does
12	not concern the subsequent development of parameters and guidelines or the claiming
13	process. The Commission has already found state-mandated costs for this program, and
14	the Controller cannot substitute its judgment for that of the Commission through the
15	audit process.
16	The two court cases the audit report relies upon (County of Fresno v. California
17	(1991) 53 Cal.3d 482 and <i>Connell v. Santa Margarita</i> (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 382) are
18	similarly misplaced. Both cases concern the approval of a test claim by the Commission.
19	They do not address the issue of offsetting revenue in the reimbursement stages, only
20	whether there is fee authority sufficient to fully fund the mandate that would prevent the
21	Commission from approving the test claim.
22	In County of Fresno, the Commission had specifically found that the fee authority
23	was sufficient to fully fund the test claim activities and denied the test claim. The court

1	simply agreed to uphold this determination because Government Code Section 17556(d)
2	was consistent with the California Constitution. The Health Fee Elimination mandate,
3	decided by the Commission, found that the fee authority is not sufficient to fully fund the
4	mandate. Thus, County of Fresno is not applicable because the subject matter concerns
5	the activity of approving or denying a test claim and has no bearing on the annual claim
6	reimbursement process.
7	Similarly, although a test claim had been approved and parameters and
8	guidelines were adopted, the court in Connell focused its determination on whether the
9	initial approval of the test claim had been proper. The court did not evaluate the
10	parameters and guidelines or the reimbursement process because it found that the initial
11	approval of the test claim had been in violation of Section 17556(d).
12	Because districts are not required to collect a fee from students for student health
13	services, and if such a fee is collected, the amount is to be determined by the District
14	and not the Controller, the Controller's adjustment is without legal basis. What
15	claimants are required by the parameters and guidelines to do is to reduce the amount
16	of their claimed costs by the amount of student health services fee revenue actually
17	received. Therefore, student health fees are merely collectible, they are not mandatory,
18	and it is inappropriate to reduce claim amounts by revenues not received.
19	Finding 4: Inaccurate reporting of health services provided
20	There is no fiscal effect from the Finding. This Finding is based on the assertion
21	that "the district inaccurately reported base-year and current-year services provided."

The audit report asserts that "[d]istricts that do not provide the same services are 1 ineligible to claim mandated costs." If the Controller policy is that the same services 2 have to be rendered in the current fiscal year, rather than just available to the students, 3 this is an incorrect application of the parameters and guidelines language. 4 5 The parameters and guidelines are designed to reimburse services "provided" in 6 the current fiscal year that were "provided" in 1986-87, at current fiscal year costs. 7 "New services" are not reimbursable. As a matter of law, Education Code Section 76355, subdivision (e) requires that "[a]ny community college district that provided health 8 9 services in the 1986-87 fiscal year shall maintain health services, at the level provided during the 1986-87 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter." As a practical matter 10 11 and as a matter of logic, for each subsequent fiscal year, this requires claimant to actually certify that the base-year services continue to be available, although not 12 necessarily provided. The District is certifying that the same level of services continue 13 to be available, not that each and every service was rendered each subsequent year. 14 Thus, the District need not have provided a particular service in either the base year or 15 the audit year, but only that it was available to students at those times. In making the 16 services available, the District is fulfilling its obligations in order to be eligible to claim 17 18 mandated costs.

The audit report incorrectly recommends that "the district refrain from claiming any mandated costs if it does not provide [render?] one or more services that it provided during the 1986-87 base year." Rather, the District has to continue to make the base-

1	year services available, whether they are rendered or not. For example, hearing tests
2	may be available every year, but there may be a year in which no hearing tests were
3	required by students. Of course, if an available service is not provided in the current
4	year, then there would be no cost incurred to be claimed, and the State would not be
5	reimbursing base-year services not rendered in the current fiscal year. The legal
6	standard must be services available. The same base-year services were available to
7	students in subsequent years but not all of these may have been provided because
8	there was no student need.
9	Finding-5: Insufficient Documentation of Health Services Provided
10	There is no fiscal effect from this Finding or independent legal issue presented.
11	The issue remains services available and not services rendered.
12	Limit on Audited Costs
13	The amount claimed for FY 2004-05 is \$395,163. The audit determined the
14	"allowable amount" to be \$484,756. This amount exceeds the reported amount by
15	\$89,593. The audit report deducts from its findings of total reimbursable costs the
16	\$89,593 as "less allowable costs that exceed cost claimed." The stated basis for this
17	limitation on allowable costs is Government Code Section 17568, cited in footnote 2 on
18	page 6 of the audit report, that states "that the State will not reimburse any claim more
19	than one year after the filing deadline." The State has not reimbursed, that is, made
20	payment on this claim, so that citation does not appear relevant. Section 17568 pertains
21	to the timely filing of an annual claim in order to be eligible for payment, not to the

1	contents of the claim itself. There is no Government Code Section cited that prohibits							
2	the Controller from reimbursement of audited costs in excess of claimed costs.							
3	Amounts Paid by the State							
4	This issue was not an audit finding. The payment received from the state is an							
5	integral part of the calculation of amounts due the claimant or state as a result of the							
6	audit. The audit changed the amounts paid for some of the annual claims without a							
7	finding in the audit report.							
8 9	Annual Claim Fiscal Year	<u>As C</u>	Amou laimed		aid by th <u>udited</u>		ite erence	
10	2002-03	\$	0	\$615	5,935	\$61	5,935	
11	2003-04	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	
12	2004-05	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	
13	2005-06	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	
14	2006-07	\$231	,815	\$191	,257	<\$ 4	0,558>	
15	The audit report states on page four that the District received \$615,935 in							
16	payment on the FY 2002-03 claim. This	s amou	int was	not in	cluded o	on the	claim form)
17	FAM-27 and the District has no contemporaneous Controller's remittance advice							
18	confirming the payment. The audit report states on page six that the District received							
19	\$191,257 in payment on the FY 2006-0	7 claim	. The I	Distric	t reporte	ed \$2	31,815 on t	he

FAM-27 as the amount received pursuant to a remittance advice dated March 12, 2007.

21 The audit report does not include any explanation or documentation of the differences in

these amounts. Since the amount paid reduces the remaining state liability for the

claim, any difference constitutes an adjustment that should be supported by audit

- 1 findings. The propriety of these adjustments cannot be determined until the Controller
- 2 states the reason for the changes.

3 Statute of Limitations for Audit

4

This issue is not a finding of the audit report. The District asserts that the first

- 5 three years of the five claim years audited, fiscal years 2002-03, 2003-04 and 2004-05,
- 6 were beyond the statute of limitations for an audit when the Controller issued its audit
- 7 report on June 11, 2010. The District raised this issue at the beginning of the audit and
- 8 in its letter dated May 12, 2010, in response to the draft audit report.
- 9 <u>Chronology of Claim Action Dates</u>
- 10 January 9, 2004 FY 2002-03 claim filed by the District
- 11 December 13, 2004 FY 2003-04 claim filed by the District
- 12 December 5, 2005 FY 2004-05 claim filed by the District
- 13 January 9, 2007 FY 2002-03 statute of limitations for audit expires
- 14 December 13, 2007 FY 2003-04 statute of limitations for audit expires
- 15 December 5, 2008 FY 2004-05 statute of limitations for audit expires
- 16 June 9, 2009 Audit entrance conference for all fiscal years

17 The District's fiscal year 2002-03 claim was mailed to the Controller on January 9,

- 18 2004. The District's fiscal year 2003-04 claim was mailed to the Controller on December
- 19 13, 2004. The District's fiscal year 2004-05 claim was mailed to the Controller on
- 20 December 5, 2005. The final audit report asserts that initiation of the audit was proper
- because the initial payment for the FY 2002-03 claim did not occur until October 25,

1	2009, and there has been no payment for the FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05 claims. Since
2	there were state appropriations, although minimal and not specifically or
3	contemporaneously paid to this District, for those three fiscal years, the statute of
4	limitations to initiate the audit of those three fiscal years expired three years after the
5	date of annual claim filing. The audit was initiated with the entrance conference
6	conducted on June 9, 2009, which is more than three years after the annual claims were
7	filed. Regardless, the clause in Government Code Section 17558.5 that delays the
8	commencement of the time for the Controller to audit to the date of initial payment is
9	void because it is impermissibly vague.
10	Statutory History
11	Prior to January 1, 1994, no statute specifically governed the statute of limitations
12	for audits of mandate reimbursement claims. Statutes of 1993, Chapter 906, Section 2,
13	operative January 1, 1994, added Government Code Section 17558.5 to establish for
14	the first time a specific statute of limitations for audit of mandate reimbursement claims:
15 16 17 18 19 20	"(a) A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to audit by the Controller no later than four years after the end of the calendar year in which the reimbursement claim is filed or last amended. However, if no funds are appropriated for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is made, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim."
21	Thus, there are two standards. A funded claim is "subject to audit" for four years after
22	the end of the calendar year in which the claim was filed. An unfunded claim must have
23	its audit initiated within four years of first payment.
24	Statutes of 1995, Chapter 945, Section 13, operative July 1, 1996, repealed and

1 replaced Section 17558.5, changing only the length of the period of limitations:

A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school "(a) district pursuant to this chapter is subject to audit by the Controller no later than 3 two years after the end of the calendar year in which the reimbursement claim is filed or last amended. However, if no funds are appropriated for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is made, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim."

- Statutes of 2002, Chapter 1128, Section 14.5, operative January 1, 2003 8
- 9 amended Section 17558.5 to state:

2

4

5

6

7

A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school 10 "(a) district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the 11 Controller no later than three years after the end of the calendar year in which the 12 date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is 13 later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant 14 for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is made filed, the time for 15 the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial 16 17 payment of the claim."

- The annual reimbursement claims for FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04 are subject to 18
- this version of Section 17558.5. The amendment is pertinent because this is the first 19
- time that the factual issue of the date the audit is "initiated" is introduced for mandate 20
- 21 programs for which funds are appropriated.
- Statutes of 2004, Chapter 890, Section 18, operative January 1, 2005 amended 22
- 23 Section 17558.5 to state:
- A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school 24 "(a) district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the 25 Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement 26 claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are 27 appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal 28 year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall 29 commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an 30 audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is 31

1 <u>commenced.</u>"

2 The annual reimbursement claim for FY 2004-05 is subject to this version of Section 3 17558.5, which retains the same limitations period as the prior version, but also adds the 4 requirement that an audit must be completed within two years of its commencement. 5 Section 17558.5 provides that the time limitation for audit "shall commence to run 6 from the date of initial payment" if no payment is made. However, this provision is void 7 because it is impermissibly vague. At the time a claim is filed, the claimant has no way of 8 knowing when payment will be made or how long the records applicable to that claim 9 must be maintained. The current two billion-dollar backlog in mandate payments, which 10 continues to grow every year, could potentially require claimants to maintain detailed 11 supporting documentation for decades. Additionally, it is possible for the Controller to 12 unilaterally extend the audit period by withholding payment as long as the three-year life 13 of each appropriation. 14 Therefore, the only specific and enforceable time limitation to commence an audit 15 is three years from the date the claim was filed, and the annual reimbursement claims 16 for FY 2002-03, FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05 were past this time period when the audit

17 was commenced on June 9, 2009. All adjustments to these three fiscal years are void18 and should be withdrawn.

- 19 /
- 20 /
- 21

1	PART VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED
2	The District filed its annual reimbursement claims within the time limits prescribed
3	by the Government Code. The amounts claimed by the District for reimbursement of the
4	costs of implementing the program imposed by Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd E.S.,
5	Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987, and Education Code Section 76355 represent the
6	actual costs incurred by the District to carry out this program. These costs were
7	properly claimed pursuant to the Commission's parameters and guidelines.
8	Reimbursement of these costs is required under Article XIIIB, Section 6 of the California
9	Constitution. The Controller denied reimbursement without any basis in law or fact. The
10	District has met its burden of going forward on this claim by complying with the
11	requirements of Section 1185, Title 2, California Code of Regulations. Because the
12	Controller has enforced and is seeking to enforce these adjustments without benefit of
13	statute or regulation, the burden of proof is now upon the Controller to establish a legal
14	basis for its actions.
15	The District requests that the Commission make findings of fact and law on each
16	and every adjustment made by the Controller and each and every procedural and
17	jurisdictional issue raised in this claim, and order the Controller to correct its audit report
18	findings therefrom.
19	1
20	1
21	1

1

PART IX. CERTIFICATION

By my signature below, I hereby declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the information in this incorrect reduction claim submission is true and complete to the best of my own knowledge or information or belief, and that the attached documents are true and correct copies of documents received from or sent by the state agency which originated the document.

Executed on August 19 at Fresno, California, by 7 8 Douglas Brinkley, Vice-Chancellor 9 **Finance and Administration** 10 State Center Community College District 11 12 1525 East Weldon Ave. Fresno, CA 93704 13 14 Voice: 559-244-5910 559-243-1949 15 Fax: doug.brinkley@scccd.edu 16 E-Mail: APPOINTMENT OF REPRESENTATIVE 17 State Center Community College District appoints Keith B. Petersen, SixTen and 18 Associates, as its representative for this incorrect reduction claim. 19 20 Douglas Brinkley, Vice-Chancellor 21 Fihance and Administration 22 State Center Community College District 23 24 Attachments: Exhibit "A" Controller's "results of review" letters dated June 24, 2010 and "results of 25 audit" letters dated July 14, 2010 26 Parameters and guidelines as amended May 25, 1989 27 Exhibit "B" Exhibit "C" Controllers claiming instructions, September 2003 28 Controller's audit report, and the District's response, dated June 11, 2010 29 Exhibit "D" Chancellor's letter of March 5, 2001 Exhibit "E" 30 Controller's Mandated Cost Manual Community Colleges Forward Exhibit "F" 31 32 September 2003 version Annual Reimbursement Claims Exhibit "G" 33

Exhibit A

.

.

-

SIXTEN & ASSOCIATES

PAGE 02/04

P, 02

CC10225 00234 2010/06/24 **JOHN CHIANG** Talifornia State Controller Division of Accounting and Reporting JUNE 24, 2010

BOARD OF TRUSTEES STATE CENTER COMM COLL DIST FRESNO COUNTY 1525 E WELDON FRESNO CA 93704

DEAR CLAIMANT:

RE: HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION (CC)

WE HAVE REVIEWED YOUR 2002/2003 FISCAL YEAR REIMBURSEMENT CLAIM FOR The mandated cost program referenced above. The results of our Review are as follows:

AMOUNT CLAIMED		<i>4</i> 15,935.00
TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS (DETAILS BELOW)	-	237,764.00
TOTAL PRIDE PAYMENTS (DETAILS BELOW)	aine anis aite late	-615,935.00
AMOUNT DUE STATE	\$	237,764.00

PLEASE REMIT A WARRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF © 237,764.00 WITHIN 30 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER, PAYABLE TO THE STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE, DIVISION OF ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING, P.D. BOX 942850, SACRAMENTO, CA 94250-5875 WITH A COPY OF THIS LETTER. FAILURE TO REMIT THE AMOUNT DUE WILL RESULT IN OUR OFFICE PROCEEDING TO OFFSET THE AMOUNT FROM THE NEXT PAYMENTS DUE TO YOUR AGENCY FOR STATE MANDATED COST PROGRAMS.

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT FRAN STUART AT (916) 323-0766 OR IN WRITING AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

ADJUSTMENT TO CLAIM: FIELD AUDIT FINDINGS TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS	-	237,764.00	_	237,764.00
PRIOR FAYMENTS: Schedule NO. MA64136A PAID 10-25-2006 Total Prior Payments		-615,935.00		~615,935.00

SINCERELY,

Dummake GINNY BRUMMELS, MANAGER

LOCAL REIMBURSEMENT SECTION ή Π

PAGE 03/04

P. 03



JOHN CHIANG CC10225 00234 2010/06/24 alifornia State Controller²⁰¹ Bibision of Accounting and Reporting JUNE 24, 2010

BOARD OF TRUSTEES STATE CENTER COMM COLL DIST 1525 E HELDON Fresno ca 93704

.

DEAR CLAIMANT

RE: HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION (CC)

WE HAVE REVIEWED YOUR 2003/2004 FISCAL YEAR REIMBURSEMENT CLAIM FOR The mandated cost program referenced above. The results of our Review are as follows:

AMOUNT CLAIMED

369,327.00

ADJUSTMENT TO CLAIM:

FIELD AUDIT FINDINGS

100,782.00

109,782.00

AMOUNT DUE CLAIMANT

TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS

ŝ 268,545.00

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT FRAN STUART AT (916) 323-0766 OR IN WRITING AT THE STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE, DIVISION OF ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING, P.O. BOX 942850, SACRAMENTO, CA 94250-5875. DUE TO INSUFFICIENT APPROPRIATION, THE BALANCE DUE WILL BE FORTHCOMING WHEN ADDITIONAL FUNDS ARE MADE AVAILABLE.

SINCERELY,

Diving Burning GINNY BRUMMELS, MANAGER

PAGE 04/04

P. 04

JUN-29-2010 TUE 01:46 PM SCCCD BUSINESS OFFICE

FAX NO. 5592211524

CC10225 00234 2010/06/24



JOHN CHIANG Ialîfornîa State Controller Fibîsion of Accounting and Reporting JUNE 24, 2010

BOARD OF TRUSTEES State Center Comm Coll Dist Fresno County 1525 e Meldon Fresno Ca 93704

DEAR CLAIMANT,

RE: HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION (CC)

WE HAVE REVIEWED YOUR 2006/2007 FISCAL YEAR REIMBURSEMENT CLAIM FOR THE MANDATED COST PROGRAM REFERENCED ABOVE. THE RESULTS OF DUR REVIEW ARE AS FOLLOWS:

AMOUNT CLAIMED	,	191,257.00
TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS (DETAILS BELOW)	-	50,480,00
TOTAL PRIOR PAYMENTS (DETAILS BELOW)	_	-231,815.00
AMOUNT DUE STATE	\$	91,038.00

PLEASE REMIT A WARRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$ 91,038.00 WITHIN 30 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER, PAYABLE TO THE STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE, DIVISION OF ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING, P.O. BOX 942850, SACRAMENTO, CA 94250-5875 WITH A COPY OF THIS LETTER. FAILURE TO REMIT THE AMOUNT DUE WILL RESULT IN OUR OFFICE PROCEEDING TO OFFSET THE AMOUNT FROM THE NEXT PAYMENTS DUE TO YOUR AGENCY FOR STATE MANDATED COST PROGRAMS.

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT FRAN STUART AT (916) 323-0766 or in Writing at the above address.

ADJUSTMENT TO CLAIM: Pridr Collections Field Audit_Findings	-	40,558.00 91,038.00	
TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS			 50,480.00
PRIDR PAYMENTS: Schedule ND. MA64147E			
PAID 03-12-2007 Total Prior Payments		-231,815.00	-231,815.00
TOTAL PREDE TAILENTD			201)0107000

SINCERELY,

Summet LAZ ANY GINNY GRUMMELS, MANAGER

LOCAL REIMBURSEMENT SECTION

07/28/2010 09:19 8585148645

 $c_i^{\rm ex}$

JUL-28-2010 WED 09:14 AM SCCOD BUSINESS OFFICE F

FAX NO. 5592211524

P. 02

PAGE

02/07



JOHN CHIANG California State Controller

July 14, 2010

Mr. Patrick E. Patterson, President Board of Trustees State Center Community College District 1525 East Weldon Avenue Fresno, CA 93704

Re: <u>Health Fee Elimination, Ch. 1/1984, 2nd Extraordinary</u> Session, and Ch. 1118/1987, Program 234, FY 2002-03

Dear Mr. Patterson:

Please be advised that the reimbursement claim filed for the above state mandated program was adjusted per our Division of Audits' letter dated June 11, 2010, as follows:

CLAIMED AMOUNT	\$615,935.00
LESS CLAIM ADJUSTMENTS	
Field Audit	(237,764.00)
Prior Payments (Ref.: MA64136A dated 10/25/06)	<u>(615,935.00)</u>
TOTAL AMOUNT DUE TO STATE	<u>\$(237,764,00)</u>

The amount of \$237,764.00 is due and payable to the State Controller's Office. Payment may be mailed with a copy of this letter to:

Local Reimbursements Section Division of Accounting and Reporting P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

If payment is not received within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter, the State Controller's Office will offset the amount of \$237,764.00 from future payments of any reimbursable state mandated programs.

P. 03

Mr. Patrick E. Patterson July 14, 2010 Page 2

5

Should you have any questions regarding the above, please contact Gwendolyn Carlos at (916) 324-2341, or e-mail GCarlos@sco.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

phemasu

JILL KANEMASU, Chief Bureau of Payments Division of Accounting and Reporting

JL:ALT:glc
07/28/2010 09:19 8585148645 JUL-28-2010 WED 09:14 AM SCCCD BUSINESS OFFICE

SIXTEN & ASSOCIATES

FAX NO. 5592211524

PAGE 04/07

P. 04



JOHN CHIANG California State Controller

July 14, 2010

Mr. Patrick E. Patterson, President Board of Trustees State Center Community College District 1525 East Weldon Avenue Fresno, CA 93704

Re: <u>Health Fee Elimination, Ch. 1/1984, 2nd Extraordinary</u> Session, and Ch. 1118/1987, Program 234, FY 2003-04

Dear Mr. Patterson:

Please be advised that the reimbursement claim filed for the above state mandated program was adjusted per our Division of Audits' letter dated June 11, 2010, as follows:

CLAIMED AMOUNT	\$369,327,00
LESS CLAIM ADJUSTMENT	<i></i>
Field Audit	<u>(100,782.00)</u>
NET AMOUNT DUE TO CLAIMANT	<u>\$268,545.00</u>

Pursuant to Government Code § 17561 (d), we will pay the amount due of \$268,545.00 by October 15 or 60 days after the date the appropriation for the claim is effective, whichever is later.

Should you have any questions regarding the above, please contact Gwendolyn Carlos at (916) 324-2341, or e-mail GCarlos@sco.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Jul Kanemasn

JILL KANEMASU, Chief Bureau of Payments Division of Accounting and Reporting

JL:ALT:glc

MAILING ADDRESS - P.O. Box 942850, Sacramento, CA 94250

8585148645 07/28/2010 09:19

ł,

SIXTEN & ASSOCIATES

JUL-28-2010 WED 09:14 AM SCCCD BUSINESS OFFICE

FAX NO. 5592211524

P. 05

PAGE



JOHN CHIANG California State Controller

July 14, 2010

Mr. Patrick E. Patterson, President Board of Trustees State Center Community College District 1525 East Weldon Avenue Fresno, CA 93704

Re: <u>Health Fee Elimination, Ch. 1/1984, 2nd Extraordinary</u> Session, and Ch. 1118/1987, Program 234, FY 2005-06

Dear Mr. Patterson:

Please be advised that the reimbursement claim filed for the above state mandated program was adjusted per our Division of Audits' letter dated June 11, 2010, as follows:

CLAIMED AMOUNT	\$686,789.00
LESS CLAIM ADJUSTMENT	
Field Audit	<u>(473.160.00)</u>
NET AMOUNT DUE TO CLAIMANT	<u>\$213.629.00</u>

Pursuant to Government Code § 17561 (d), we will pay the amount due of \$213,629.00 by October 15 or 60 days after the date the appropriation for the claim is effective, whichever is later.

Should you have any questions regarding the above, please contact Gwendolyn Carlos at (916) 324-2341, or e-mail GCarlos@sco.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Jul Kanemash

JILL KANEMASU, Chief Bureau of Payments Division of Accounting and Reporting

JL:ALT:glc

MAILING ADDRESS - P.Q. Box 942850, Sacramento, CA 94250

05/07

÷

FAX NO. 5592211524

PAGE 06/07

P. 06



JOHN CHIANG California State Controller

July 14, 2010

Mr. Patrick E. Patterson, President Board of Trustees State Center Community College District 1525 East Weldon Avenue Fresno, CA 93704

Re: <u>Health Fee Elimination, Ch. 1/1984, 2nd Extraordinary</u> Session, and Ch. 1118/1987, Program 234, FY 2006-07

Dear Mr. Patterson:

Please be advised that the reimbursement claim filed for the above state mandated program was adjusted per our Division of Audits' letter dated June 11, 2010, as follows;

CLAIMED AMOUNT	\$191,257.00
CLAIM ADJUSTMENTS	<i>0171<u>,</u>201</i> ,00
Field Audit	(91,038.00)
Prior Collections	40,558.00
Prior Payments (Ref.: MA64147E dated 03/12/07)	(231,815,00)
TOTAL AMOUNT DUE TO STATE	<u>\$ (91,038,00)</u>

The amount of \$91,038.00 is due and payable to the State Controller's Office. Payment may be mailed with a copy of this letter to:

Local Reimbursements Section Division of Accounting and Reporting P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

If payment is not received within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter, the State Controller's Office will offset the amount of \$91,038.00 from future payments of any reimbursable state mandated programs.

MAILING ADDRESS - P.O. Box 942850, Steramento, CA 94250

P. 07

Mr. Patrick E. Patterson July 14, 2010 Page 2

Should you have any questions regarding the above, please contact Gwendolyn Carlos at (916) 324-2341, or e-mail GCarlos@sco.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

anemasn

JILL KANEMASU, Chief Bureau of Payments Division of Accounting and Reporting

JL:ALT:glc

Exhibit B

.

.

PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd E.S. . Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987 Health Fee Elimination

I. SUMMARY OF MANDATE

Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd E.S. repealed Education Code Section 72246 which had authorized community college districts to charge a bealth fee for the purpose of providing health supervision and services, direct and indirect medical and hospitalization services, and operation of student health centers. This statute also required that health services for which a community college district charged a fee during the 1983-84 fiscal year had to be maintained at that level in the 1984-85 fiscal year and every year thereafter. The provisions of this statute would automatically repeal on December 31, 1987, which would reinstate the COMMUNITY colleges districts' authority to charge a health fee as specified.

Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987, amended Education Code section 72246 to require any community college district that provided health services in 1986-87 to maintain health services at the level provided during the 1986-87 fiscal year in 1987-88 and each fiscal year thereafter.

II. COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES DECISION

At its hearing on November 20, 1986, the Commission on State Mandates determined that Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd E.S. imposed a "new program' upon community college districts by requiring any community college district which provided health services for which it was authorized to charge a fee pursuant to former Section 72246 in the 1983-84 fiscal year to maintain health services at the level provided during the 1983-84 fiscal year in the 1984-85 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter. This maintenance of effort requirement applies to all community college districts which levied a health services fee in the 1983-84 fiscal year, regardless of the extent to which the health services fees collected offset the actual costs of providing health services at the 1983-84 fiscal. year level.

At its hearing of April 27, 1989, the Commission determined that Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987, amended this maintenance of effort requirement to apply to all community college districts which provided health services in fiscal year 1986-87 and required them to maintain that level in fiscal year 1987-88 and each fiscal year thereafter.

III. ELIGIBLE CLAIMANTS

Community college districts which provided health services in 1986-87 fiscal year and continue to provide the same services as a result of this mandate are eligible to claim reimbursement of those costs.

IV. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT

Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd E.S., became effective July 1, 1984. Section 17557 of the Government Code states that a test claim must be submitted on or before November 30th following a given fiscal year to establish for that fiscal year. The test claim for this mandate was filed on November 27, 1985; therefore, costs incurred on or after July 1, 1984, are reimbursable. Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987, became effective January 1, 1988. Title 2, California Code of Regulations, section 1185.3(a) states that a parameters and guidelines amendment filed before the deadline for initial claims as specified in the Claiming Instructions shall apply to all years eligible for reimbursement as defined in the original parameters and guidelines; therefore, costs incurred on or after January 1, 1988, for Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987, are reimbursable.

Actual costs for one fiscal year should be included in each claim. Estimated costs for the subsequent year may be included on the same claim if applicable. Pursuant to Section 17561(d)(3) of the Government Code, all claims for reimbursement of costs shall be submitted within 120 days of notification by the State Controller of the enactment of the claims bill.

If the total costs for a given fiscal year do not exceed \$200, no reimbursement shall be allowed, except as otherwise allowed by Government Code Section 17564.

V. REIMBURSABLE COSTS

A. Scope of Mandate

Eligible community college districts shall be reimbursed for the costs of providing a health services program. Only services provided in 1986-87 fiscal year may be claimed.

B. Reimbursable Activities

For each eligible claimant, the following cost items are reimbursable to the extent they were provided by the community college district in fiscal year 1986-87:

ACCIDENT REPORTS

APPOINTMENTS

College Physician - Surgeon Dermatology, Family Practice, Internal Medicine Outside Physician Dental Services Outside Labs (X-ray, etc.) Psychologist, full services Cancel/Change Appointments R.N. Check Appointments

ASSESSMENT, INTERVENTION 81 COUNSELING Birth Control Lab Reports Nutrition Test Results (office) VD Other Medical Problems CD URI ENT Eye/Vision Derm./Allergy Gyn/Pregnancy Services Neuro Ortho GU Dental GI Stress Counseling Intervention Crisis Child Abuse Reporting and Counseling Substance Abuse Identification and Counseling Aids Eating Disorders .• Weight Control Personal Hygiene Burnout Illnesses) EXAMINATIONS (Minor Recheck Minor Injury HEALTH TALKS OR FAIRS - INFORMATION Sexually Transmitted Disease Drugs Aids Child Abuse Control/Family Planning Birth Stop Smoking Etc. and Library - videos cassettes FIRST AID (Major Emergencies) (Minor Emergencies) FIRST AID FIRST AID KITS (Filled) IMMUNIZATIONS Diptheria/Tetanus Measles/Rubella Influenza Information

INSURANCE On Campus Accident Voluntary Administration Inquiry/Claim Insurance LABORATORY TESTS DONE Inquiry/Interpretation Pap Smears PHYSICALS Employees Students Athletes (dispensed OTC for misc. illnesses) MEDICATIONS Antacids Antidiarrhial Antihistamines Aspirin, Tylenol, etc. Skin rash preparations Misc. Eye drops Ear drops - Oil cloves Toothache . Stingkill Midol - Menstrual Cramps CARDS/ELEVATOR KEYS PARKING Tokens card/key Return Parking inquiry Elevator passes Temporary handicapped parking permits REFERRALS TO OUTSIDE AGENCIES Private Medical Doctor Health Department Clinic Dental Centers Counseling Crisis Centers Transitional Living Facilities (Battered/Homeless Family Planning Facilities Other Health Agencies TESTS Blood Pressure Hearing Tuberculosis Reading Information Vision Glucometer Urinalysis

Women)

Hemoglobin E.K.G. Strep A testing P.G. testing Monospot Hemacult Misc. MISCELLANEOUS Absence Excuses/PE Waiver Injections Allergy Bandaids Booklets/Pamphlets Dressing Change Rest Suture Removal Temperature Weigh Misc. Information Report/Form Wart Removal COMMITTEES Safety Environmental Planning Disaster SAFETY DATA SHEETS Central file X-RAY SERVICES DISEASE CONTROL COMMUNICABLE BODY FAT MEASUREMENTS MINOR SURGERIES GROUPS SELF-ESTEEM MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS AA GROUP ADULT CHILDREN OF ALCOHOLICS GROUP WORKSHOPS

Test Anxiety Stress Management Corrmwnication Skills Weight Loss Assertiveness Skills

VI. CLAIM PREPARATION

Each claim for reimbursement pursuant to this mandate must be timely filed and set forth a list of each item for which reimbursement is claimed under this mandate.

- A. Description of Activity
 - 1. Show the total number of full-time students enrolled per semester/quarter.
 - 2. Show the total number of full-time students enrolled in the summer program.
 - 3. Show the total number of part-time students enrolled per semester/quarter.
 - 4. Show the total number of part-time students enrolled in the summer program.
- B. Actual Costs of Claim Year for Providing 1986-87 Fiscal Year Program Level of Service

Claimed costs should be supported by the following information:

1. Employee Salaries and Benefits

Identify the employee,(s), show the classification of the employee(s) involved, describe the mandated functions performed and specify the actual number of hours devoted to each function, the productive hourly rate, and the related benefits. The average number of hours devoted to each function may be claimed if supported by a documented time study.

2. Services and Supplies

Only expenditures which can be identified as a direct cost of the mandate can be claimed. List cost of materials which have been consumed or expended specifically for the purpose of this mandate.

3. Allowable Overhead Cost

Indirect costs may be claimed in the manner described by the State Controller in his claiming instructions.

VII. SUPPORTING DATA

For auditing purposes, all costs claimed must be traceable to source documents and/or worksheets that show evidence of the validity of such costs. This would include documentation for the fiscal year 1986-87 program to substantiate a maintenance of effort. These documents must be kept on file by the agency submitting the claim for a period of no less than three years from the date of the final payment of the claim pursuant to this mandate, and made available on the request of the State Controller or his agent.

VIII. OFFSETTING SAVINGS AND OTHER REIMBURSEMENTS

Any offsetting savings the claimant experiences as a direct result of this statute must be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate received from any source, e.g., federal, state, etc., shall be identified and deducted from this claim. This shall include the amount of \$7.50 per full-time student per semester, \$5.00 per full-time student for summer school, or \$5.00 per full-time student per quarter, as authorized by Education Code section 72246(a). This shall also include payments (fees) received from individuals other than students who are not covered by Education Code Section 72246 for health services.

IX. REQUIRED CERTIFICATION

The following certification must accompany the claim:

I DO HEREBY CERTIFY under penalty of perjury:

THAT the foregoing is true and correct:

THAT Section 1090 to 1096, inclusive, of the Government Code and other applicable provisions of the law have been complied with;

and

THAT I am the person authorized by the local agency to file claims for funds with the State of California.

Signature of Authorized Representative

Date

Title

Telephone No.

0350d

· · ·

11.11.11

HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION

1. Summary of Chapters 1/84, 2nd E.S., and Chapter 1118/87

Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd E.S., repealed Education Code § 72246 which authorized community college districts to charge a fee for the purpose of providing health supervision and services, direct and indirect medical and hospitalization services, and operation of student health centers. The statute also required community college districts that charged a fee in the 1983/84 fiscal year to maintain that level of health services in the 1984/85 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter. The provisions of this statute would automatically repeal on December 31, 1987, which would reinstate the community college districts' authority to charge a health fee as specified.

Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987 amended Education Code § 72246 to require any community college district that provided health services in the 1986/87 fiscal year to maintain health services at that level in the 1986/87 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter. Chapter 8, Statutes of 1993, has revised the numbering of § 72246 to § 76355.

2. Eligible Claimants

Any community college district incurring increased costs as a result of this mandate is eligible to claim reimbursement of these costs.

3. Appropriations

To determine if current funding is available for this program, refer to the schedule "Appropriations for State Mandated Cost Programs" in the "Annual Claiming Instructions for State Mandated Costs" issued in mid-September of each year to community college presidents.

4. Types of Claims

A. Reimbursement and Estimated Claims

A claimant may file a reimbursement claim and/or an estimated claim. A reimbursement claim details the costs actually incurred for a prior fiscal year. An estimated claim shows the costs to be incurred for the current fiscal year.

B. Minimum Claim

Section 17564(a), Government Code, provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to Section 17561 unless such a claim exceeds \$200 per program per fiscal year.

5. Filing Deadline

(1) Refer to item 3 "Appropriations" to determine if the program is funded for the current fiscal year. If funding is available, an estimated claim must be filed with the State Controller's Office and postmarked by November 30, of the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred. Timely filed estimated claims will be paid before late claims.

After having received payment for an estimated claim, the claimant must file a reimbursement claim by November 30, of the following fiscal year regardless whether the payment was more or less than the actual costs. If the local agency fails to file a reimbursement claim, monies received must be returned to the State. If no estimated claim was filed, the local agency may file a reimbursement

claim detailing the actual costs incurred for the fiscal year, provided there was an appropriation for the program for that fiscal year. (See item 3 above).

(2) A reimbursement claim detailing the actual costs must be filed with the State Controller's Office and postmarked by November 30 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred. If the claim is filed after the deadline but by November 30 of the succeeding fiscal year, the approved claim must be reduced by a late penalty of 10%, not to exceed \$1,000. Claims filed more than one year after the deadline will not be accepted.

6. Reimbursable Components

Eligible claimants will be reimbursed for health service costs at the level of service provided in the 1936/87 fiscal year. The reimbursement will be reduced by the amount of student health fees authorized per the Education Code § 76355.

After January 1, 1993, pursuant to Chapter 8, Statutes of 1993, the fees students were required to pay for health supervision and services were not more than:

\$10.00 per semester

\$5.00 for summer school

\$5.00 for each quarter

Beginning with the summer of 1997, the fees are:

\$11.00 per semester

\$8.00 for summer school or

\$8.00 for each quarter

ſ

The district may increase fees by the same percentage increase as the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD) for the state and local government purchase of goods and services. Whenever the IPD calculates an increase of one dollar (\$1) above the existing amount, the fees may be increased by one dollar (\$1).

7. Reimbursement Limitations

- A. If the level at which health services were provided during the fiscal year of reimbursement is less than the level of health services that were provided in the 1986/87 fiscal year, no reimbursement is forthcoming.
- B. Any offsetting savings or reimbursement the claimant received from any source (e.g. federal, state grants, foundations, etc.) as a result of this mandate, shall be identified and deducted so only net local costs are claimed.

8. Claiming Forms and Instructions

The diagram "Illustration of Claim Forms" provides a graphical presentation of forms required to be filed with a claim. A claimant may submit a computer generated report in substitution for forms HFE-1.0, HFE-1.1, and form HFE-2 provided the format of the report and data fields contained within the report are identical to the claim forms included in these instructions. The claim forms provided with these instructions should be duplicated and used by the claimant to file estimated and reimbursement claims. The State Controller's Office will revise the manual and claim forms as necessary. In such instances, new replacement forms will be mailed to claimants.

A. Form HFE-2, Health Services

This form is used to list the health services the community college provided during the 1986/87 fiscal year and the fiscal year of the reimbursement claim.

B. Form HFE-1.1, Claim Summary

This form is used to compute the allowable increased costs an individual college of the community college district has incurred to comply with the state mandate. The level of health services reported on this form must be supported by official financial records of the community college district. A copy of the document must be submitted with the claim. The amount shown on line (13) of this form is carried to form HFE-1.0.

C. Form HFE-1.0, Claim Summary

This form is used to list the individual colleges that had increased costs due to the state mandate and to compute a total claimable cost for the district. The "Total Amount Claimed", line (04) on this form is carried forward to form FAM-27, line 13, for the reimbursement claim, or line (07) for the estimated claim.

D. Form FAM-27, Claim for Payment

This form contains a certification that must be signed by an authorized representative of the local agency. All applicable information from form HFE-1.0 and HFE 1.1 must be carried forward to this form for the State Controller's Office to process the claim for payment.



Illustration of Claim Forms

	State Controller's O	ffice	C	ommunity College Mandated Cost Manu
		CLAIM FOR PAYMEN	For State Controller. Use Only	
	Pursua	nt to Government Code S	(19) Program Number 00234 (20) Date Filed/	
		HEALTH FEE ELIMINAT	The second se	
-				(21) LRS Input/ Reimbursement Claim Data
L	(01) Claimant Identification Nu	JWDEL		
A B E	(02) Claimant Name			(22) HFE-1.0, (04)(b)
L	County of Location			(23)
H E	Street Address or P.O. Box		Suite	(24)
p	City	State	Zio Coae	(25)
	Type of Claim	Estimated Claim	Reimbursement Claim	(25)
	1366 01 212111	(03) Estimated	(09) Reimbursement	(27)
		(04) Combined	(10) Combined	(28)
		(05) Amended	(11) Amended	(29)
ŀ	Fiscal Year of Cost	(05) 20 /20	(12) 20 /20	(30)
	Total Claimed Amount		(13)	(31)
			(14)	(32)
	Less: 10% Late Penalty			(33)
F	_ess: Prior Claim Paym	ent Received	(15)	(34)
' !	Net Claimed Amount		(16)	
i T	Je from State	(08)	(17)	(35)
	oue to State		(18)	(36)
lr d v	istrict to file mandated co iolated any of the provisic further certify that there w	visions of Government Code So ost claims with the State of Calif ons of Government Code Section vas no application other than fro	om the claimant, nor any gran	the officer authorized by the community college ertify under penalty of perjury that I have not t or payment received, for reimbursement of es of an existing program. All offsetting savings osts claimed are supported by source
a d Ti ad	nd reimbursements set fo ocumentation currently m he amounts for this Estim ctual costs set forth on the	antained by the claimant. ated Claim and/or Reimbursem e attached statements. I certify	er to bestwelsigned	from the State for payment of estimated and/or r the laws of the State of California that the
fo	regoing is true and corre gnature of Authorized Office	ec I		Dale
				Tite
Ty	pe or Print Name 8) Name of Conjact Person for	Craim	Tolophan Number	() - Ext.
Τ _{γ1} (3)	es or Pinit Name 8) Name of Contact Person for	Claim	Telephone Number -	() - Ext.

State Controller's Office



HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION Certification Claim Form Instructions

FORM FAM-27

- (01) Enter the payee number assigned by the State Controller's Office.
- (02) Enter your Official Name, County of Location, Street or P. O. Box address, City, State, and Zip Code.
- (03) If filing an estimated claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (03) Estimated.
- (04) Leave blank.
- (05) If filing an amended estimated claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (05) Amended.
- (06) Enter the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred.
- (07) Enter the amount of the estimated claim. If the estimate exceeds the previous year's actual costs by more than 10%, complete form HFE-1.1 and enter the amount from line (13).
- (08) Enter the same amount as shown on line (07).
- (09) If filing a reimbursement claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (09) Reimbursement.
- (10) Leave blank.
- (11) If filing an amended reimbursement claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (11) Amended.
- (12) Enter the fiscal year for which actual costs are being claimed. If actual costs for more than one fiscal year are being claimed, complete a separate form FAM-27 for each fiscal year.
- (13) Enter the amount of the reimbursement claim from form HFE-1.1, line (13). The total claimed amount must exceed \$1,000.
- (14) Reimbursement claims must be filed by January 15 of the following fiscal year in which costs are incurred or the claims shall be reduced by a late penalty. Enter zero if the claim was timely filed, otherwise, enter the product of multiplying line (13) by the factor 0.10 (10% penalty), or \$1,000, whichever is less.
- ... (15) If filing an actust reimbursement claim and an estimated claim was previously filed for the same fiscal year, enter the amount received for the claim. Otherwise, enter a zero.
- (16) Enter the result of subtracting line (14) and line (15) from line (13).
- (17) If line (16), Net Claimed Amount, is positive, enter that amount on line (17), Due from State.
- (18) If line (16), Net Claimed Amount, is negative, enter that amount on line (18), Due to State.
- (19) to (21) Leave blank.
- (22) to (36) Reimbursement Claim Data. Bring forward the cost information as specified on the left-hand column of lines (22) through (36) for the reimbursement claim, e.g., HFE-1.0, (04)(b), means the information is located on form HFE-1.0, block (04), column (b). Enter the information on the same line but in the right-hand column. Cost information should be rounded to the nearest dollar, i.e., no cents. Indirect costs percentage should be shown as a whole number and without the percent symbol, i.e., 7.548% should be shown as 8. Completion of this data block will expedite the payment process.
- (37) Read the statement "Certification of Claim." If it is true, the claim must be dated, signed by the agency's authorized officer, and must include the person's name and title, typed or printed. Claims cannot be paid unless accompanied by an original signed certification. (To expedite the payment process, please sign the form FAM-27 with blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.)
- (38) Enter the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person whom this office should contact if additional information is required.

Claims should be rounded to the nearest dollar. Submit a signed original and a copy of form FAM-27, Claim for Payment, and all other forms and supporting documents. (To expedite the payment process, please sign the form in blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.) Use the following mailing addresses:

Address, if delivered by U.S. Postal Service:

OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER ATTN: Local Reimbursements Section Division of Accounting and Reporting P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250 Address, if delivered by other delivery service:

OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER ATTN: Local Reimbursements Section Division of Accounting and Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 500 Sacramento, CA 95816

School Mandated Cost Manual

.

State Controller's Office	State	Contro	ller's	Office
---------------------------	-------	--------	--------	--------

. ,

1

 	MANDATED COSTS IEALTH FEE ELIMINATION CLAIM SUMMARY	FORM HFE-1.0
(01) Claimant	(02) Type of Claim Reimbursement Estimated	Fiscal Yea 19/19_
(03) List all the colleges of the o	community college district identified in form h	HFE-1.1, line (03)
	(a) Name of College	(b) Claimed Amounl
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
ə.		
10.		
11.		
12.		
13.		
14.		
15.		
16.		
17.		
8.		
9.		
20.		
21.		line (3.21b)]

evised 9/97

ſ

Chapters 1/84 and 1118/87

School Mandated Cost Manual

HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION CLAIM SUMMARY Instructions

- (01) Enter the name of the claimant. Only a community college district may file a claim with the State Controller's Office on behalf of its colleges.
- (02) Check a box, Reimbursement or Estimated, to identify the type of claim being filed. Enter the fiscal year for which the expenses were/are to be incurred. A separate claim must be filed for each fiscal year.

Form HFE-1.0 must be filed for a reimbursement claim. Do not complete form HFE-1.0 if you are filing an estimated claim and the estimate is not more than 110% of the previous fiscal year's actual costs. Simply enter the amount of the estimated claim on form FAM-27, line (07). However, if the estimated claim exceeds the previous fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%, forms HFE-1.0 and HFE-1.1 must be completed and a statement attached explaining the increased costs. Without this information the high estimated claim will automatically be reduced to 110% of the previous fiscal year's actual costs.

(03) List all the colleges of the community college district which have increased costs. A separate form HFE-1.1 must be completed for each college showing how costs were derived.

(04) Enter the total claimed amount of all colleges by adding the Claimed Amount, line (3.1b) + line (3.2b) ...+ (3.21b).

Community College Mandated Cost Manual

ī

State Controller's Office				Commun	ity College	Mandated (<u>Cost Man</u>
Program 234		HEALTH F	ATED COS EE ELIMIN/ I SUMMAR	ATION			FORM HFE-1
(01) Claimant			Rei	e of Claim mbursemer mated	nt		
(03) Name of College							
(04) Indicate with a check mar comparison to the 1986-87 fisc allowed.	k, the level at whic cal year. If the "Les LESS	s" box is che	vices were pr ecked, STOP AME	, do not com	ng the fiscal ye plete the form DRE	ar of reimbu: No reimbur	rsement ir sement is
					Direct Cost	Indirect Cost	Total
(05) Cost of health services	for the fiscal yea	ar of claim					
(06) Cost of providing curre	nt fiscal year hea	alth services	s in excess	of 1986-87			
(07) Cost of providing curren	nt fiscal year hea	alth services	s at 1986-87	/ level			
[Line (05) - line (06)] (08) Complete columns (a) 1	through (g) to pro	ovide detail	data for he	alth fees			
Collection Period	(a) Number of Students Enrolled	EC	(c) Students Exempt per EC 76355(c)(2)	EC	Subject lo	(f) Unit Cost Per Student Per EC 76355	(g) Studer Health Fees (e) x (f
1. Per Fall Semester							
2. Per Spring Semester							
3. Per Summer Session							
4. Per First Quarter							
5. Per Second Quarter							
5. Per third Quarter							
	d have been col	lected: The s	um of (Line (0	8)(1)(c) throug	gh line (08)(6)(c	:)	
10) Subtotal			(Line (C	07) - line (09)]			
Cost Reduction							
11) Less: Offsetting Savings							
12) Less: Other Reimburserr	ients						
.) Total Claimed Amount	<u></u>		(Line (10) - (li	ne (11) + line	(12))]		

1

.

Prog		FORM
$2\overline{3}$		HFE-1
4 J)4 Instructions	
01)	Enter the name of the claimant. Only a community college district may file a c (SCO) on behalf of its colleges.	laim with the State Controller's O
02)	Type of Claim. Check a box, Reimbursement or Estimated, to identify the typ year of costs.	e of claim being filed. Enter the fi
	Form HFE-1.1 must be filed for a reimbursement claim. Do not complete estimated claim and the estimate does not exceed the previous fiscal yea Simply enter the amount of the estimated claim on form FAM-27, line (0 exceeds the previous fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%, form statement attached explaining the increased costs. Without this informa automatically be reduced to 110% of the previous fiscal year's actual costs.	r's actual costs by more than 1 7). However, if the estimated c HFE-1.1 must be completed an
)3)	Enter the name of the college or community college district that provided stu fiscal year and continue to provide the same services during the fiscal year of	ident health services in the 1986 claim.
94)	Compare the level of services provided during the fiscal year of reimburser indicate the result by marking a check in the appropriate box. If the "Less" complete the remaining part of this claim form. No reimbursement is forthcomin	box is checked, STOP and do
5)	Enter the direct cost, indirect cost, and total cost of health services for the fis cost of health services is identified on the college expenditure report authoriz included in the Community College Annual Financial and Budget Report CCFS the amount of direct costs claimed is different than that shown on the expendit those community college costs that are in addition to, or a reduction to exp claiming indirect costs, college districts have the option of using a federall Management and Budget Circular A-21, form FAM-29C, or a 7% indirect cost r	red by Education Code §76355 S-311, EDP Code 6440, column ure report, provide a schedule lis enditures shown on the report. y approved rate from the Office
5)	Enter the direct cost, indirect cost, and total cost of health services that are in 1986-87 fiscal year.	excess of the level provided in
7)	Enter the difference of the cost of health services for the fiscal year of claim, current fiscal year services that are in excess of the level provided in the 1986-	line (05) and the cost of provid 37 fiscal year line (06).
3)	Complete columns (a) through (g) to provide details on the number of studen exempt per EC Section 76355(c)(1), (2), and (3), and the amount of health collected. After 05/01/01, the student fees for health supervision and services summer school, and \$9 for each quarter.	service fees that could have be
)	Enter the sum of student health fees that could have been collected, other than	exempt students.
)	Enter the difference of the cost of providing health services at the 1986-87 lev that could have been collected, line (09). If line (09) is greater than line (07), no	el, line (07) and the total health claim shall be filed.
)	Enter the total savings experienced by the school identified in line (03) as a di detailed schedule of savings with the claim.	rect cost of this mandate. Subm
)	Enter the total of other reimbursements received from any source, (i.e., fee Submit a detailed schedule of reimbursements with the claim.	deral, other state programs, etc
	Subtract the sum of Offsetting Savings, line (11), and Other Reimbursements, li Service Cost excluding Student Health Fees.	ne (12), from Total 1986-87 Hea

÷

Revised 09/03

,

(

MANDATED C HEALTH ELIMINA HEALTH SERV	TION FEE		-ORM HFE-2
01) Claimant:	(02) Fiscal Year costs were incur	red:	
03) Place an "X" in columns (a) and/or (b), as applicabl vere provided by student health service fees for the ind	e, to indicate which health services icated fiscal years.	(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Clair
Accident Reports			
Appointments College Physician, surgeon Dermatology, family practice Internal Medicine Outside Physician Dental Services Outside Labs, (X-ray, etc.) Psychologist, full services Cancel/Change Appointments Registered Nurse Check Appointments Assessment, Intervention and Counseling Birth Control Lab Reports			
Nutrition Test Results, office Venereal Disease Communicable Disease Upper Respiratory Infection Eyes, Nose and Throat Eye/Vision Dermatology/Allergy Gynecology/Pregnancy Service Neuralgic Orthopedic Genito/Urinary Dental Gastro-Intestinal Stress Counseling Crisis Intervention	·		
Child Abuse Reporting and Counseling Substance Abuse Identification and Counseling Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome Eating Disorders Weight Control Personal Hygiene Burnout Other Medical Problems, list			
Examinations, minor illnesses Recheck Minor Injury			
Health Talks or Fairs, Information Sexually Transmitted Disease Drugs Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome			

C

State Controller's Office

School Mandated Cost Manual

MANDATED COSTS HEALTH ELIMINATION FEE HEALTH SERVICES

FORM	
HFE-2	

HEALTH SERVICE	ES		
(01) Claimant:	(02) Fiscal Year costs were incurr	ed:	
(03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/or (b), as applicable, to ir provided by student health service fees for the indicated fisca	ndicate which health services were al years.	(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Claim
Child Abuse Birth Control/Family Planning Stop Smoking Library, Videos and Cassettes			
First Aid, Major Emergencies			
First Aid, Minor Emergencies			
First Aid Kits, Filled			
Immunizations Diphtheria/Tetanus Measles/Rubella Influenza Information			
Insurance On Campus Accident Voluntary Insurance Inquiry/Claim Administration			
Laboratory Tests Done Inquiry/Interpretation Pap Smears			
Physical Examinations Employees Students Athletes			
Medications Antacids Antidiarrheal Aspirin, Tylenol, Etc Skin Rash Preparations Eye Drops Ear Drops Toothache, oil cloves Stingkill Midol, Menstrual Cramps Other, list			
Parking Cards/Elevator Keys Tokens Return Card/Key Parking Inquiry Elevator Passes Temporary Handicapped Parking Permits			

 $\left(\right)$

MANDATED COSTS HEALTH ELIMINATION FEE HEALTH SERVICES			FORM HFE-2	
(01) Claimant:	(02) Fiscal Year costs were incur	red:		
(03) Place an "X" in columns (a) and/or (b), as were provided by student health service fees for	applicable, to indicate which health services r the indicated fiscal years.	(a) FY 1986/8	(b) FY 7 of Claim	
Referrals to Outside Agencies Private Medical Doctor Health Department Clinic Dental Counseling Centers Crisis Centers Transitional Living Facilities, battered/ho Farmily Planning Facilities Other Health Agencies Tests Blood Pressure Hearing Tuberculosis Reading Information Vision Glucometer Urinalysis Hemoglobin EKG Strep A testing PG Testing Monospot Hemacult Others, list Miscellaneous Absence Excuses/PE Waiver Allergy Injections Bandaids Booklets/Pamphlets Dressing Change	meless women			
Rest Suture Removal Temperature Weigh Information Report/Form Wart Removal Others, list				
Committees Safety Environmental Disaster Planning				

(°.

.

Exhibit D



JUH 1-7 2010

STATE CEPTER OF CHENTY COLLECE OF STREAT

STATE CENTER COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

Audit Report

HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION PROGRAM

Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd Extraordinary Session, and Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987

July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2007



JOHN CHIANG California State Controller

June 2010



JOHN CHIANG California State Controller

June 11, 2010

Patrick E. Patterson, President Board of Trustees State Center Community College District 1525 East Weldon Avenue Fresno, CA 93704

Dear Mr. Patterson:

The State Controller's Office audited the costs claimed by State Center Community College District for the legislatively mandated Health Fee Elimination Program (Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd Extraordinary Session, and Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987) for the period of July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2007.

The district claimed \$2,258,471 (\$2,268,471 less a \$10,000 penalty for filing a late claim) for the mandated program. Our audit disclosed that \$1,355,727 is allowable and \$902,744 is unallowable. The costs are unallowable because the district understated salaries, benefits, and services and supplies; overstated indirect costs; and understated authorized health service fees. The State paid the district \$807,192. Allowable costs claimed exceed the amount paid by \$548,535.

If you disagree with the audit findings, you may file an Incorrect Reduction Claim (IRC) with the Commission on State Mandates (CSM). The IRC must be filed within three years following the date that we notify you of a claim reduction. You may obtain IRC information at the CSM's Web site at www.csm.ca.gov/docs/IRCForm.pdf.

If you have any questions, please contact Jim L. Spano, Chief, Mandated Cost Audits Bureau, at (916) 323-5849.

Sincerely rownful

JETFREYV. BROWNFIELD Chief, Division of Audits

JVB/sk

cc: Deborah G. Blue, Ph.D., Chancellor State Center Community College District
Edwin Eng, Director of Finance State Center Community College District
Douglas R. Brinkley, Vice Chancellor, Finance and Administration State Center Community College District
Christine Atalig, Auditor
Fiscal Services Unit
California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office
Thomas Todd, Principal Program Budget Analyst
Education Systems Unit
Department of Finance

Contents

Audit Report

Summary	1.
Background	1
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	Ç
Conclusion	2
Views of Responsible Official	3
Restricted Use	3
Schedule 1—Summary of Program Costs	4
Findings and Recommendations	7
Attachment—District's Response to Draft Audit Report	

Audit Report

Summary	The State Controller's Office (SCO) audited the costs claimed by State Center Community College District for the legislatively mandated Health Fee Elimination Program (Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2 nd Extraordinary Session, and Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987) for the period of July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2007.
	The district claimed \$2,258,471 (\$2,268,471 less a \$10,000 penalty for filing a late claim) for the mandated program. Our audit disclosed that \$1,355,727 is allowable and \$902,744 is unallowable. The costs are unallowable because the district understated salaries, benefits, and services and supplies; overstated indirect costs; and understated authorized health service fees. The State paid the district \$807,192. Allowable costs claimed exceed the amount paid by \$548,535.
Background	Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2 nd Extraordinary Session (E.S.) repealed Education Code section 72246 which authorized community college districts to charge a health fee for providing health supervision and services, providing medical and hospitalization services, and operating student health centers. This statute also required that health services for which a community college district charged a fee during fiscal year (FY) 1983-84 had to be maintained at that level in FY 1984-85 and every year thereafter. The provisions of this statute would automatically sunset on December 31, 1987, reinstating the community college districts' authority to charge a health service fee as specified.
	Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987, amended Education Code section 72246 (subsequently renumbered as section 76355 by Chapter 8, Statutes of 1993). The law requires any community college district that provided health services in FY 1986-87 to maintain health services at the level provided during that year for FY 1987-88 and for each fiscal year thereafter.
	On November 20, 1986, the Commission on State Mandates (CSM) determined that Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2 nd Extraordinary Session imposed a "new program" upon community college districts by requiring specified community college districts that provided health services in FY 1983-84 to maintain health services at the level provided during that year for FY 1984-85 and for each fiscal year thereafter. This maintenance-of-effort requirement applied to all community college districts that levied a health service fee in FY 1983-84.
	On April 27, 1989, the CSM determined that Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987, amended this maintenance-of-effort requirement to apply to all community college districts that provided health services in FY 1986-87, requiring them to maintain that level in FY 1987-88 and for each fiscal year thereafter.

Objective, Scope,

and Methodology

The program's parameters and guidelines establish the state mandate and define reimbursement criteria. CSM adopted parameters and guidelines on August 27, 1987, and amended them on May 25, 1989. In compliance with Government Code section 17558, the SCO issues claiming instructions to assist school districts in claiming mandated program reimbursable costs.

We conducted the audit to determine whether costs claimed represent increased costs resulting from the Health Fee Elimination Program for the period of July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2007.

Our audit scope included, but was not limited to, determining whether costs claimed were supported by appropriate source documents, were not funded by another source, and were not unreasonable and/or excessive.

We conducted this performance audit under the authority of Government Code sections 12410, 17558.5, and 17561. We did not audit the district's financial statements. Except for the following issue, we conducted the audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We were unable to assess fraud risk because the district did not respond to our inquiries regarding fraud assessment. As a result, we increased our substantive testing; however, this would not necessarily identify fraud or abuse that may have occurred.

We limited our review of the district's internal controls to gaining an understanding of the transaction flow and claim preparation process as necessary to develop appropriate auditing procedures.

We asked the district's representative to submit a written representation letter regarding the district's accounting procedures, financial records, and mandated cost claiming procedures as recommended by generally accepted government auditing standards. However, the district declined our request.

Our audit disclosed instances of noncompliance with the requirements outlined above. These instances are described in the accompanying Summary of Program Costs (Schedule 1) and in the Findings and

> Recommendations section of this report. For the audit period, State Center Community College District claimed \$2,258,471 (\$2,268,471 less a \$10,000 penalty for filing a late claim) for costs of the Health Fee Elimination Program. Our audit disclosed that

Conclusion

\$1,355,727 is allowable and \$902,744 is unallowable.

For the fiscal year (FY) 2002-03 claim, the State paid the district \$615,935. Our audit disclosed that \$378,171 is allowable. The State will offset \$237,764 from other mandated program payments due the district. Alternatively, the district may remit this amount to the State.

For the FY 2003-04 through FY 2005-06 claims, the State made no payment to the district. Our audit disclosed that \$877,337 is allowable. The State will pay that amount, contingent upon available appropriations.

For the FY 2006-07 claim, the State paid the district \$191,257. Our audit disclosed that \$100,219 is allowable. The State will offset \$91,038 from other mandated program payments due the district. Alternatively, the district may remit this amount to the State.

We issued a draft audit report on April 23, 2010. Douglas R. Brinkley, Vice-Chancellor, responded by letter dated May 12, 2010 (Attachment), agreeing with Finding 1 and disagreeing with Findings 2 through 5. This final audit report includes the district's response.

Restricted Use

Views of

Official

Responsible

This report is solely for the information and use of State Center Community College District, the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, the California Department of Finance, and the SCO; it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

www

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD Chief, Division of Audits

June 11, 2010

Schedule 1— Summary of Program Costs July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2007

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed		Allowable per Audit			Audit Adjustment	Reference ¹
July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003							
Direct costs: Salaries Benefits Services and supplies	\$	504,055 103,765 97,869	\$	504,055 103,765 105,906	\$	8,037	Finding 1
Total direct costs Indirect costs		705,689 287,146	-	713,726 107,630	. <u></u>	8,037 (179,516)	Finding 2
Total direct and indirect costs Less authorized health service fees Less offsetting savings/reimbursements		992,835 (368,100) (8,800)	_	821,356 (434,385) (8,800)		(171,479) (66,285)	Finding 3
Total program costs Less amount paid by the State	\$	615,935		378,171 (615,935)	\$	(237,764)	
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) a	imou	nt paid	\$	(237.764)			
July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004							
Direct costs: Salaries Benefits Services and supplies	\$	334,958 82,966 56,086	\$	424,961 97,236 86.107	\$	90,003 14,270 30,021	Finding 1 Finding 1 Finding 1
Total direct costs Indirect costs		474,010 183,820		608,304 98,241		134,294 (85,579)	Finding 2
Total direct and indirect costs Less authorized health service fees Less offsetting savings/reimbursements		657,830 (279,653) (8,850)	_	706,545 (429,150) (8,850)		48,715 (149,497)	Finding 3
Total program costs Less amount paid by the State	\$	369,327		268,545	<u>\$ (</u>	(100,782)	
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		\$	268,545		,		

Schedule 1 (continued)

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed		Allowable per Audit			Audit Adjustment	Reference
July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2005							
Direct costs: Salarics Benefits Services and supplies	\$	347,653 94,282 94,296	\$	522,636 124,140 99,366	\$	174,983 29,858 5,070	Finding 1 Finding 1 Finding 1
Total direct costs Indirect costs		536,231 195,724		746,142 203,548		209,911 7,824	Finding 2
Total direct and indirect costs Less authorized health service fees Less offsetting savings/reimbursements Less allowable costs that exceed costs claimed ²		731,955 (332,627) (4,165)		949,690 (460,769) (4,165) (89,593)		217,735 (128,142) (89,593)	Finding 3
Total program costs Less amount paid by the State	\$	395,163	_	395,163	<u>\$</u>		
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid			\$	395,163			
July 1, 2005, through June 30, 2006							
Direct costs: Salaries Benefits Services and supplies	\$	534,260 127,785 103,914	\$	534,260 127,785 103,914	\$		
Total direct costs Indirect costs		765,959 279,575		765,959 192,868		(86,707)	Finding 2
Total direct and indirect costs Less authorized health service fees Less offsetting savings/reimbursements Less late filing penalty		1,045,534 (338,695) (10,050) (10,000)		958,827 (725,148) (10,050) (10,000)	((86,707) (386,453) 	Finding 3
Total program costs Less amount paid by the State	\$	686,789		213,629	<u>\$ (</u>	473,160)	
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid			\$	213,629			

Schedule 1 (continued)

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed	Allowable per Audit	Audit Adjustment	Reference ¹
July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007				
Direct costs: Salaries Benefits Services and supplies	\$ 556,482 126,554 	\$ 644,821 148,315 154,682	\$ 88,339 21,761 44,091	Finding 1 Finding 1 Finding 1
Total direct costs Indirect costs	793,627 289,674	947,818 252,120	154,191 (37,554)	Finding 2
Total direct and indirect costs Less authorized health service fees Less offsetting savings/reimbursements	1,083,301 (883,224) (8,820)	1,199,938 (1,090,899) (8,820)	116,637 (207,675)	Finding 3
Total program costs Less amount paid by the State	<u>\$ 191,257</u>	100,219 (191,257)	\$ (91,038)	
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) a	mount paid	<u>\$ (91,038)</u>		
Summary: July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2007				
Direct costs: Salaries Benefits Services and supplies	\$ 2,277,408 535,352 462,756	\$ 2,630,733 601,241 549,975	\$ 353,325 65,889 87,219	
Total direct costs Indirect costs	3,275,516 1,235,939	3,781,949 854,407	506,433 (381,532)	
Total direct and indirect costs Less authorized health service fees Less offsetting savings/reimbursements Less late filing penalty Less allowable costs that exceed costs claimed ²	4,511,455 (2,202,299) (40,685) (10,000)	4,636,356 (3,140,351) (40,685) (10,000) (89,593)	124,901 (938,052) 	
Total program costs Less amount paid by the State	\$ 2,258,471	1,355,727 (807,192)	\$ (902,744)	
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) ar	nount paid	\$ 548,535		

¹ See the Findings and Recommendations section.

² Government Code section 17568 stipulates that the State will not reimburse any claim more than one year after the filing deadline specified in the SCO's claiming instructions. That deadline has expired for FY 2004-05.
Findings and Recommendations

FINDING 1— Understated salaries, benefits, and services and supplies The district understated salaries, benefits, and services and supplies by \$506,433. The district understated costs for the following reasons:

- For fiscal year (FY) 2003-04 and FY 2004-05, the district did not claim mandate-related psychological interns' costs.
- For FY 2002-03, FY 2003-04, and FY 2004-05, the district did not claim mandate-related health service costs that it funded with California Lottery revenue. The district's Lottery revenue does not result from the statute that established the mandated program. In addition, the district does not receive Lottery revenue specifically to fund mandated program costs. Therefore, Lottery revenue is not offsetting revenue for mandated program purposes.
- For FY 2006-07, the district did not claim mandate-related costs for its North Centers locations. The district believed that these costs were not mandate-related because the North Centers locations did not exist in the 1986-87 base year. However, the mandated program requires that the *district* provide the same level of health services that it provided in the 1986-87 base year; the *location(s)* where it provides those services is irrelevant.

The following table summarizes the audit adjustment:

	Fiscal Year						_
	_2	002-03		2003-04	2004-05	2006-07	Total
Salaries:							
Psychological interns North Centers	\$		\$	90,003	\$ 174,983		- \$264,986
North Centers			•			88,33	9 88,339
Total, salaries				90,003	174,983	88,33	9 353,325
Benefits:							
Psychological interns				14,270	29,858		- 44,128
North Centers				<u></u>		21,76	1 21,761
Total, benefits				14,270	29,858	21,76	65,889
Services and supplies:							
Psychological interns				1,116	2,772		- 3,888
Lottery-funded costs		8,037		28,905	2,298		- 39,240
North Centers						44,091	44,091
Total, services and supplies		8,037		30,021	5,070	44,091	87,219
Audit adjustment	\$	8,037	\$	134,294	\$209,911	\$ 154.191	\$ 506,433

The program's parameters and guidelines state:

Actual costs for one fiscal year should be included in each claim. . . .

For auditing purposes, all costs claimed must be traceable to source documents and/or worksheets that show evidence of the validity of such costs....

Any offsetting savings the claimant experiences as a direct result of this statute must be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate received from any source, e.g., federal, state, etc., shall be identified and deducted from this claim....

Recommendation

We recommend that the district claim mandate-related costs that its accounting records support.

District's Response

The district agreed with the audit finding.

The district overstated indirect costs by \$381,532.

For FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04, the district claimed indirect costs based on indirect cost rates prepared using the principles of Title 2, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 220 (Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21). However, the district did not obtain federal approval for these rates.

For FY 2004-05, FY 2005-06, and FY 2006-07, the district claimed indirect costs based on its federally approved rate. However, the parameters and guidelines and the SCO's claiming instructions do not provide districts the option of using a federally approved rate for these fiscal years.

We calculated each fiscal year's allowable indirect cost rate using the SCO's FAM-29C methodology. We applied each fiscal year's allowable rate to the corresponding allowable direct costs.

The following table summarizes the audit adjustment:

	Fiscal Year					
	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	Total
Allowable direct costs Allowable indirect cost rate	\$713,726 <u>× 15.08%</u>	\$608,304 × 16.15%	\$746,142 <u>× 27.28%</u>	\$765,959 <u>× 25.18%</u>	\$947,818 <u>× 26.60%</u>	
Allowable indirect costs Indirect costs claimed	107,630 (287,146)	98,241 (183,820)	203,548 (195,724)	192,868 (279,575)	252,120 (289,674)	
Audit adjustment	\$(179,516)	\$ (85.579)	<u>\$ 7,824</u>	\$ (86,707)	\$ (37,554)	\$(381,532)

The parameters and guidelines state:

Indirect costs may be claimed in the manner described by the State Controller in his claiming instructions.

For FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04, the SCO's claiming instructions state:

A college has the option of using a federally approved rate, utilizing the cost accounting principles from Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21 "Cost Principles for Educational Institutions," or the Controller's [FAM-29C] methodology...,

FINDING 2— Overstated indirect costs For FY 2004-05 forward, the SCO's claiming instructions state:

A CCD [community college district] may claim indirect costs using the Controller's methodology (FAM-29C)... If specifically allowed by a mandated program's P's & G's [parameters and guidelines], a district may alternately choose to claim indirect costs using either (1) a federally approved rate prepared in accordance with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-21, *Cost Principles for Educational Institutions*; or (2) a flat 7% rate.

Recommendation

We recommend that the district claim Health Fee Elimination Program indirect costs based on indirect cost rates computed in accordance with the SCO's FAM-29C methodology.

District's Response

... The District's FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04 annual claims used a federal study method prepared by District staff pursuant to a federal rate proposal, including capital costs. The Controller used the CCFS-311, less capital costs, to calculate the indirect cost rate using its Form FAM-29C method. The Controller's policy was not to include depreciation costs in the calculation for these fiscal years. The Controller has not stated a basis for not including depreciation or capital costs....

The District used a federally approved cost study rate for FY 2004-05, FY 2005-06, and FY 2006-07. The Controller has decided, but has not stated a basis for this decision, to discontinue, retroactively to FY 2004-05, the use of federal rates, approved or not. Instead, the Controller is using the CCFS-31 1, less capital costs, but with audited district financial statement depreciation costs included, to calculate the indirect cost rate using its Form FAM-29C method.

The parameters and guidelines for the Health Fee Elimination program (as amended on May 25, 1989), which are the legally enforceable standards for claiming costs, state that: "Indirect costs may be claimed in the manner described by the Controller in his claiming instructions" (Emphasis added). Therefore, the parameters and guidelines do not require that indirect costs be claimed in the manner described by the Controller. Instead, the burden is on the Controller to show that the indirect cost method used by the District is excessive or unreasonable, which is the only mandated cost audit standard in statute (Government Code Section 17651(d)(2)). However, the Controller's claiming instructions were never adopted as rules or regulations, so they have no force of law. If the Controller wishes to enforce different audit standards for mandated cost reimbursement, the Controller should comply with the Administrative Procedure Act. ...

SCO's Comment

Our finding and recommendation are unchanged.

The district implies that it need not comply with the SCO's claiming instructions. We disagree with the district's interpretation of the parameters and guidelines language. Using the district's interpretation of the parameters and guidelines, districts would be allowed to claim indirect costs in whatever manner they choose. The phrase "may be claimed" simply permits the district to claim indirect costs. However, if the district chooses to claim indirect costs, then the parameters and guidelines require that it comply with the SCO's claiming instructions.

The district states, "... the Controller's claiming instructions were never adopted as rules or regulations, so they have no force of law." We disagree. The parameters and guidelines state, "Indirect costs may be claimed in the manner described by the State Controller in his claiming instructions." The Commission on State Mandates (CSM) adopted the parameters and guidelines pursuant to Government Code section 17557. The SCO issued its claiming instructions pursuant to Government Code section 17558, subdivision (b). If the district believes that the SCO's claiming instructions are deficient, it should request that the CSM review the claiming instructions pursuant to Title 2, *California Code of Regulations* (CCR), Section 1186. If the district believes that the program's parameters and guidelines are deficient, it should initiate a request to amend the parameters and guidelines pursuant to Government Code section 17557, subdivision (d). However, in either case, any amendment would not be applicable to this audit period.

The district understated authorized health service fees by \$938,052. The district understated these fees because it reported actual receipts rather than authorized fees. The district believes that it is required to report only actual receipts. In addition, we noted that the district did not charge all students the full authorized fee amount for the 2004 and 2005 summer sessions, the 2006 fall semester, and the 2007 spring semester. Also, for all school terms, the district did not charge the full authorized fee amount for students attending off-campus classes only.

Mandated costs do not include costs that are reimbursable from authorized fees. Government Code section 17514 states that "costs mandated by the state" means any increased costs that a school district is *required* to incur. To the extent community college districts can charge a fee, they are not *required* to incur a cost. In addition, Government Code section 17556 states that the Commission on State Mandates shall not find costs mandated by the State if the school district has the authority to levy fees to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service.

For the period July 1, 2002, through December 31, 2005, Education Code section 76355, subdivision (c), states that health fees are authorized for all students except those who: (1) depend exclusively on prayer for healing; (2) are attending a community college under an approved apprenticeship training program; or (3) demonstrate financial need. Effective January 1, 2006, only Education Code section 76355, subdivisions (c)(1) and (2) are applicable.

The California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office (CCCCO) identified the fees authorized by Education Code section 76355, subdivision (a).

FINDING 3— Understated authorized health service fees

	Authorized Health Fee Rate					
	Fall and Spring	Summer				
Fiscal Year	Semesters	Session				
2002-03	\$12	\$9				
2003-04	\$12	\$9				
2004-05	\$13	\$10				
2005-06	\$14	\$11				
2006-07	\$15	\$12				

The following table summarizes the authorized fees:

We obtained student enrollment, Board of Governors Grant (BOGG) recipient, and apprenticeship program enrollee data from the CCCCO. The CCCCO identified enrollment and BOGG recipient data from its management information system (MIS) based on student data that the district reported. The CCCCO identified the district's enrollment based on CCCCO's MIS data element STD7, codes A through G. The CCCCO eliminated any duplicate students based on their social security numbers. From the district enrollment, the CCCCO identified the number of BOGG recipients based on MIS data element SF21, all codes with first letter of B or F. The CCCCO also identified the number of apprenticeship program enrollees based on its data element SB 23, code 1. The district did not identify any students excluded from the health service fee pursuant to Education Code section 76355, subdivision (c)(1).

The following table shows the authorized health service fee calculation and audit adjustment:

		nmer sion		Fall Semester		Spring mester		Total
Fiscal Year 2002-03:								
Number of enrolled students	1	3,064		32,522		31,597		
Less number of BOGG				(1 6 80.0)				
recipients	(6,209)		(16,703)	((16,189)		
Less number of apprenticeship program enrollees		(2)		(85)		(83)		
· · ·			·					
Subtotal Authorized health fee rate	×	6,853 \$(9)	×	15,734 \$(12)	×	15,325		
						\$(12)		
Authorized health service fees	\$ (6	1,677)	5	(188,808)	\$(18	33,9 00)	\$	(434,385)
Less authorized health service								
fees claimed								368,100
Audit adjustment, FY 2002-03								(66,285)
Fiscal Year 2003-04:								
Number of enrolled students		9,416		32,811		31,236		
Less number of BOGG								
recipients	(4	1,658)		(15,727)	(15,941)		
Less number of apprenticeship								
program enrollees		(4)	•••••••	(80)		(102)		
Subtotal	4	1,754		17,004	I	15,193		
Authorized health fee rate	<u>×</u>	\$(9)	<u>ک</u>	\$(12)	×	\$(12)		
Authorized health service fees	\$ (42	2,786)	\$	(204,048)	\$ (18	2,3 16)	((429,150)
Less authorized health service fee	s claim	ed						279,653
Audit adjustment, FY 2003-04							(149,497)
						-		

		Summer Session		Fall Semester	Spring Semester	Total
Fiscal Year 2004-05: Number of enrolled students Less number of BOGG		10,825		32,216	30,985	
recipients		(4.853)		(16,314)	(15,949)
Less number of apprenticeship program enrollees		(4)		(85)		-
Subtotal Authorized health fee rate	×	5,968 \$(10)	×	15,817 \$(13)	15,036 × \$(13))
Authorized health service fees	\$	(59,680)	\$	(205,621)	\$ (195,4 68	(460,769)
Less authorized health service fee	es c	laimed	_			332,627
Audit adjustment, FY 2004-05						(128,142)
Fiscal Year 2005-06: Number of enrolled students Less number of BOGG		12,184		32,720	30,757	
recipients		(5,957)		(16,428)		
Less number of apprenticeship program enrollees		(3)		(57)		
Subtotal		6,224		16,235	30,671	
Authorized health fee rate	× 	\$(11)		\$(14)	× \$(14)	-
Authorized health service fees	\$	(68,464)	\$	(227,290)	\$ (429,3 94)	(725,148)
Less authorized health service fees claimed						338,695
Audit adjustment, FY 2005-06						(386,453)
Fiscal Year 2006-07: Number of enrolled students Less number of apprenticeship		12,105		32,988	30,139	
program enrollees		(3)		(82)		
Subtotal Authorized health fee rate	×	12,102 \$(12)	x	32,906 \$(15)	30,139 × \$(15)	
Authorized health service fees	\$	(145,224)	\$	(493,590)	\$ (452.0 85)	(1,090,899)
Less authorized health service fees claimed						
Audit adjustment, FY 2006-07						(207,675)
Total audit adjustment						\$ (938,052)

Recommendation

We recommend that the district:

- Deduct authorized health service fees from mandate-related costs claimed. To properly calculate authorized health service fees, we recommend that the district identify the number of enrolled students based on the CCCCO data element STD7, codes A through G.
- Identify the number of apprenticeship program enrollees based on data elements SB 23, code 1, and STD7, codes A through G.
- Eliminate duplicate entries for students who attend more than one college within the district.

- Maintain documentation that identifies the number of students excluded from the health service fee based on Education Code section 76355, subdivision (c)(1). If the district denies health services to any portion of its student population, it should maintain contemporaneous documentation of a district policy that excludes those students from receiving health services and documentation identifying the number of students excluded. The district must also provide documentation that it excluded the same student population from receiving health services during the 1986-87 base year.
- Charge students the authorized fee amount for each school term.
- Waive_the_health-service_fee -only-for-those students specified in -Education Code section 76355, subdivision (c).

District's Response

"Authorized" Fee Amount

The draft audit report asserts that claimants must compute the total student health service fees collectible based on the highest "authorized" rate. The draft audit report does not provide the statutory basis for the calculation of the "authorized" rate, nor the source of the legal right of any state entity to "authorize" student health service fee amounts, absent rulemaking or compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act by the "authorizing" state agency. The fee amounts "identified" by the State Chancellor's office referenced in the draft audit report merely informs, by form letter to the local districts, that the Implicit Price Deflator has increased and that the districts may increase their student health service fee if the district so chooses. The State Chancellor is not authorized by statute to direct the local districts to increase the student health service fee.

Education Code Section 76355

Education Code Section 76355, subdivision (a)(1), states that "[t]he governing board of a district maintaining a community college <u>may</u> <u>require</u> community college students to pay a fee ... for health supervision and services..." (Emphasis added). There is no requirement that community colleges levy these fees. The permissive nature of the provision is further illustrated in subdivision (b) which states:

<u>If</u>, pursuant to this section, a fee is required, the governing board of the district shall decide the amount of the fee, <u>if any</u>, that a part-time student is required to pay. <u>The governing board may decide whether the fee shall be mandatory or optional</u>. (Emphasis added).

Government Code Section 17514

The draft audit report relies upon Government Code Section 17514 for the conclusion that "[t]o the extent that community college districts can charge a fee, they are not *required* to incur a cost." First, charging a fee has no relationship to whether costs are incurred to provide the student health services program.... There is nothing in the language of the statute regarding the authority to charge a fee, any nexus of fee revenue to increased cost, nor any language that describes the legal effect of fees collected.

Government Code Section 17556

The draft audit report relies upon Government Code Section 17556 for the conclusion that "the Commission on State Mandates shall not find costs mandated by the State if the school district has the authority to levy fees to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service...."

The draft audit report misrepresents the law. Government Code Section 17556 prohibits the Commission from finding costs subject to reimbursement, that is, approving a test claim activity for reimbursement where the authority exists to levy fees in an amount sufficient to offset the entire mandated costs. Here, the Commission has already approved the test claim and made a finding of a new program or higher level of service for which the claimants do not have the ability to levy a fee in an amount sufficient to offset the entire mandated costs.

Parameters and Guidelines

The parameters and guidelines, as amended on May 25, 1989, state, in relevant part: "Any offsetting savings the claimant experiences as a direct result of this statute must be deducted from the costs claimed... This shall include the amount of [student fees]... as authorized by Education Code Section 72246 (a)." Therefore, the student fees actually collected must be used to offset costs, but not student fees that could have been collected and were not, because uncollected fees are "offsetting savings" that were not "experienced."

SCO's Comment

Our finding and recommendation are unchanged.

Authorized Fee Amount

The district states, "The draft audit report does not provide the statutory basis for the calculation of the 'authorized' rate, nor the source of the legal right of any state entity to 'authorize' student health service fee amounts...." The audit finding specifies Education Code section 76355, subdivision (a), as the statutory basis to calculate authorized health service fee rates. Our report does not state or infer that any state agency "authorizes" the health service fee rate.

The district also states, "The State Chancellor is not authorized by statute to direct the local districts to increase the student health service fee." We agree that the CCCCO is not authorized to direct districts to increase fees. Our finding states that the CCCCO *identified* the fees authorized by Education Code section 76355, subdivision (a).

Education Code Section 76355

We agree that community college districts may choose not to levy a health service fee or to levy a fee less than the authorized amount. Regardless of the district's decision to levy or not levy the authorized health service fee, Education Code section 76355, subdivision (a), provides districts the *authority* to levy the fee. The district's failure to collect authorized fees does not relieve it from its responsibility to offset authorized fees from its mandated program claims.

Government Code Section 17514

The district states, "... charging a fee has no relationship to whether costs are incurred to provide the student health services program." We disagree. The simple correlation is that if the district charges a fee that in turn pays for a health service expense, then there is no "cost" to the district. Government Code section 17514 states, "Costs mandated by the state' means any increased costs which a local agency or school district is *required* [emphasis added] to incur. ..." If the district has authority to collect fees attributable to health service expenses, then it is not *required* to incur a cost. Therefore, mandated costs do not include those health service expenses that may be paid by authorized fees.

Government Code Section 17556

The district believes that the statutory language applies only when the fee authority is sufficient to offset the "entire" mandated costs. We disagree. The CSM recognized that the Health Fcc Elimination Program's costs are not uniform among districts. Districts provided different levels of service in FY 1986-87 (the "base year"). Furthermore, districts provided these services at varying costs. As a result, the fee authority may be sufficient to pay for some districts' mandated program costs, while it is insufficient to pay the "entire" costs of other districts. Meanwhile, Education Code section 76355 (formerly section 72246) established a uniform health service fee assessment for students statewide. Therefore, the CSM adopted parameters and guidelines that clearly recognize an available funding source by identifying the health service fees as offsetting reimbursements. To the extent that districts have authority to charge a fee, they are not required to incur a cost.

Two court cases addressed the issue of fee authority.¹ Both cases concluded that "costs," as used in the constitutional provision, exclude "expenses that are recoverable from sources other than taxes." In both cases, the source other than taxes was fee authority.

¹ County of Fresno v. California (1991) 53 Cal. 3d 482; Connell v. Santa Margarita (1997) 59 Cal. App. 4th 382.

Parameters and Guidelines

The CSM recognized the *availability* of another funding source by including the fees as offsetting savings in the parameters and guidelines. The CSM's staff analysis of May 25, 1989, states the following regarding the proposed parameters and guidelines amendments that the CSM adopted that day:

Staff amended Item "VIII. Offsetting Savings and Other Reimbursements" to reflect the reinstatement of [the] fee authority.

In response to that amendment, the [Department of Finance (DOF)] has proposed the addition of the following language to Item VIII. to clarify the impact of the fee authority on claimants' reimbursable costs:

"If a claimant does not levy the fee authorized by Education Code Section 72246(a), it shall deduct an amount equal to what it would have received had the fee been levied."

Staff concurs with the DOF proposed language which does not substantively change the scope of Item VIII.

The CSM intended that claimants deduct authorized health service fees from mandate-reimbursable costs claimed. Furthermore, the staff analysis included an attached letter from the CCCCO dated April 3, 1989. In that letter, the CCCCO concurred with the DOF and the CSM regarding authorized health service fees.

The CSM did not revise the proposed parameters and guidelines amendments further, since the CSM's staff concluded that the DOF's proposed language did not substantively change the scope of staff's proposed language. The CSM's meeting minutes of May 25, 1989, show that the CSM adopted the proposed parameters and guidelines on consent, with no additional discussion. Therefore, no community college districts objected and there was no change to the CSM's interpretation regarding authorized health service fees. FINDING 4— Inaccurate reporting of health services provided For all fiscal years, the district inaccurately reported base-year and current-year services provided. We reviewed the services that the district reported in the 1986-87 base year, along with the base-year and currentyear services that the district reported for each fiscal year of the audit period. We noted the following inconsistencies:

- The district's 1986-87 base-year report identified a service provided; however, the district did not report the same service as a base-year and/or current-year service in one or more fiscal years during the audit period.
- For one or more years during the audit period, the district reported that it provided a service during the base year and the current year; however, the district's 1986-87 base-year report did not show that it provided the same service.

The table shown on the following page summarizes the reporting inconsistencies.

The parameters and guidelines state:

Community college districts which provided health services in 1986-87 fiscal year and continue to provide the same services as a result of this mandate [emphasis added] are eligible to claim reimbursement of those costs.

The parameters and guidelines identify reimbursable health services and state that the district will be reimbursed only for those services that it provided in the 1986-87 base year. They also state:

For auditing purposes, all costs claimed must be traceable to source documents and/or worksheets that show evidence of the validity of such costs. This would include documentation for the fiscal year 1986-87 program to substantiate a maintenance of effort. These documents must be kept on file by the agency submitting the claim....

Recommendation

We recommend that the district accurately report health services that it provided in the 1986-87 base year and during the current year for which it intends to claim mandate-related costs. We recommend that the district refrain from claiming any mandated costs if it does not provide one or more services that it provided during the 1986-87 base year. In addition, we recommend that the district deduct the actual cost of any current-year services that exceed the services that the district provided during the 1986-87 base year. .

			RTING			ICLES			105.05		00/ 07
	FY	FY 2(02-03	FY 20			04-05)05-06		006-07
	1986-87	Base	Current	Base	Current	Base	Current	Base	Current	Base	Curren Year
Health Services	Base Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	rear
Assessment, Intervention	-		1								
and Counseling:									4		÷
Acquired Immune							1	,		,	/
Deficiency Syndrome	1		1					· · ·	. *	· •	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other medical problems:	\checkmark								• • • • •		
Hypertension		$\frac{}{}$	\checkmark			<u> </u>			•		
Cardiovascular		\checkmark	V	1				****			
Seizure disorder		\checkmark	~		1		۱ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ	Ì			
Pulmonary		\checkmark	17								1
Health Talks or Fairs.				!			1	1	1	l	
Information:)		l			1		1
Other - blood drive	1										L
			- <u>}</u>	1			1		Ī	i	Ì
Immunizations:				i			1	1			1
Diptheria/Tetanus					i		1	1	1		
Insurance:				t			1	1			1
Insurance Inquiry/claim	v		1	1 1		1	1				
administration		! 				1	1				Cabar C. Barn
Medications:		1			l						
RID			1						· · ·	l	
Tolnafiate		L		V	· · · · ·			· · ·	1		
Cortisone		1		· · · ·		¥ √				·	
CTM		√			×	↓ ↓			+		
Pseudoephedrine HCE	/ /	V .	¥	· · · ·		\checkmark		· · ·	· ·		
Diphenhydramine	- i	1	1	· · · · ·				V V			
Pediculosis Control		1				$\overline{\checkmark}$		~	· · · ·		÷
Cough syrup		1	~		V	1	↓ ¥ ↓ ↓		· · ·		-
Lozenges		1	1 V		1	 ✓ 		*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · ·	
Referrals to Outside					ł					.	
Agencies:				1 	ļ	1		$\overline{\checkmark}$			
Crisis Centers	1	\checkmark	\checkmark	1	 ✓ 	\checkmark	1	V	V	×	
Tests:		1				1					
Vision	~	\checkmark	1	1	V]		×	· <	 ✓ 	
Glucometer			1	1		✓	1	1	1		
	~		1	1	1			 ✓ 	1	Ý	<u> </u>
Urinalysis Hemoglobin		 ✓ 	\checkmark					l			
Committees:					1		1]		
Environmental			·								
			1								1
Communicable disease	✓	1		1	1						
control	·		j ·	•	•	1	1	1			
Self-esteem groups						•••·	· · ···				Î.
Mental health crisis			i I	·	<u>.</u>		4		-	•	1
Alcoholics anonymous	✓	1	1	2			1	1	;	•	
group			-		-				÷		
Adult children of	~	;			:	1			:		•
alcoholics group			•	1	•	·		:		•	•
Workshops:		:				. ·					
Stress managem		:			•	-		t.			
Communication	·										-
Weight loss				,	:	:					•
Assertiveness skills	✓	1				<u> </u>		:	:		

District's Response

... As to the base-year, using the documentation provided by the auditor and originally submitted by the District many years ago, the District will be able to accurately report the FY 1986-87 base-year services on future claims.

For each subsequent fiscal year, claimants must certify that the base year services continue to be *available*, although not necessarily *provided*. The District is certifying that the same level of services continues to be available, not that each and every service was *rendered* each subsequent year. The draft audit report incorrectly recommends that "the district refrain from claiming any mandated costs if it does not provide one or more services that it provided during the 1986-87 base year." Rather, the District has to continue to make these services available, whether they are rendered or not. For example, hearing tests may be available every year, but there may be a year in which no hearing tests were required by students. Of course, if an available service is not provided in the current year, then there would be no cost incurred to be claimed.

The District must first certify the services available, then it is required to identify the cost of current year services, and then deduct the cost of any services provided in excess of the base-year services available. Base-year services must continue to be available, but cost is claimed on services actually utilized, which is to say *rendered*, each year. District staff will continue to evaluate the list of services available each future year to make sure they are correctly reported and claim costs only for those services available in the base-year.

SCO's Comment

Our finding and recommendation are unchanged. The district makes a distinction between "services provided," "services available", and "services rendered." Such a distinction is not relevant; the parameters and guidelines address services *provided*.

The parameters and guidelines, Section III, Eligible Claimants, states:

Community college districts which *provided* [emphasis added] health services in 1986-87 fiscal year and continue to *provide* [emphasis added] the same services as a result of this mandate are eligible to claim reimbursement of those costs.

Section V, subdivision A, Scope of Mandate, states:

Eligible community college districts shall be reimbursed for the costs of providing a health services program. Only services *provided* [emphasis added] in 1986-87 fiscal year may be claimed.

Section V, subdivision B, Reimbursable Activities, states:

For each eligible claimant, the following cost items are reimbursable to the extent they were *provided* [emphasis added] by the community college district in fiscal year 1986-87.

The district did not comment on the factual accuracy of the reporting inconsistencies noted in the audit finding.

The district states, "The draft audit report incorrectly recommends that 'the district refrain from claiming any mandated costs if it does not provide one or more services that it provided during the 1986-87 base year." We stand by the recommendation. The parameters and guidelines state, "Community college districts which provided health services in 1986-87 fiscal year *and continue to provide the same services* [emphasis added] as a result of this mandate are eligible to claim reimbursement of those costs." Districts that do not provide the same services are ineligible to claim mandated costs.

Fresno City College and the district's North Centers (Clovis Center, Madera Center, and Oakhurst Center) did not sufficiently document actual health services that they provided. These locations maintained health service records that do not identify the services provided consistent with the parameters and guidelines. The records either identified the services provided using general, vague descriptions or did not identify a specific service provided.

The parameters and guidelines identify approximately 125 specific reimbursable health services and state that the district will be reimbursed only for those services that it provided in FY 1986-87. They state that the district must support claimed salaries and benefits in the following manner:

Identify the employee(s), show the classification of the employee(s) involved, describe the mandated functions performed and specify the actual number of hours devoted to each function [emphasis added]....

The parameters and guidelines also state:

For auditing purposes, all costs claimed must be traceable to source documents and/or worksheets that show evidence of the validity of such costs.

Recommendation

We recommend that Fresno City College and the district's North Centers maintain health service records identifying actual services that they provided in the same manner that the parameters and guidelines and the SCO's claim forms identify health services.

District's Response

The draft audit report states that Fresno City College and the North Centers did not sufficiently document actual health services provided. As stated in Finding 4, claimants are required to certify that base-year services continue to be *available*, and the certification is at the district-level, not by site. Claimants are also required to deduct the cost of current year services *rendered* in excess of base-year services *available*. We will evaluate our recordkeeping systems district wide to improve the identification of any excess services provided, if any, for each future fiscal year.

FINDING 5— Insufficient documentation of health services provided

SCO's Comment

Our finding and recommendation are unchanged. Similar to Finding 4, the district makes a distinction between "services provided," "services available", and "services rendered." Such a distinction is not relevant; the parameters and guidelines address services *provided*.

Regarding insufficient documentation of health services provided, the district states, "We will evaluate our recordkeeping systems district wide to improve the identification of any excess services provided, if any, for each future fiscal year." The district did not comment on the factual accuracy of the audit finding. The parameters and guidelines state that only services provided in FY 1986-87 are eligible for reimbursement. They also state that the district must identify the mandated functions performed.

We continue to recommend that the district maintain health service records identifying actual services that it provided in the same manner that the parameters and guidelines and the SCO's claim forms identify those services. If the district is unable to validate that it has claimed costs only for services that are reimbursable under the mandated program, the SCO will conclude that the entire claim is unallowable.

Statute of

Limitations

The district's response included other comments related to the mandated cost claims. The district's comments and SCO's responses are presented below.

The district's response included comments related to the statute of limitations applicable to the district's FY 2002-03, FY 2003-04, and FY 2004-05 mandated cost claims. The district's comment and SCO's response are as follows:

District's Response

<u>ation</u> s
2007
3,2007
, 2008

Regarding the annual claims for FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04, Government Code Section 17558.5 (as amended by Statutes of 2002, Chapter 1128, Section 14.5, operative January 1, 2003) states:

(a) A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim.

Regarding the annual claim for FY 2004-05, Government Code Section 17558.5 (as amended by Statutes of 2004, Chapter 890, Section 18, operative January 1, 2005) states:

(a) A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced.

Since there were state appropriations, although minimal and not specifically or contemporaneously paid to this District, for those three fiscal years, the statute of limitations to initiate the audit of those three fiscal years expired three years after the date of annual claim filing. The audit was initiated with the entrance conference conducted on June 9, 2009, which is more than three years after the annual claims were filed.

SCO's Comment

Our findings and recommendations are unchanged. The district infers that the time for the SCO to initiate an audit commenced with the appropriation made for each fiscal year. We disagree. Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), states, "... However, if no funds are **Public Records**

Request

appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed [emphasis added], the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim...." Only one condition need be true to extend the time for the SCO to commence an audit; i.e., either no funds are appropriated or no payment is made.

For its FY 2002-03 claim, the district did not receive its initial payment until October 25, 2006. Therefore, the SCO had until October 25, 2009, to commence an audit. As stated in the district's response, the SCO commenced the audit on June 9, 2009, before the statute of limitations expired for this fiscal year.

For its FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05 claims, the district received no payment as of the audit entrance conference date. Therefore, the SCO properly initiated its audit of these fiscal years within the statute of limitations.

The district's response included a public records request. The district's comment and SCO's response are as follows:

District's Response

The District requests that the Controller provide the District any and all written instructions, memoranda, or other writings in effect and applicable during the claiming period to Finding 2 (indirect cost rate calculation standards) and Finding 3 (calculation of the student health services fees offset).

SCO's Comment

The SCO provided the district the requested records by separate letter dated May 19, 2010.

Attachment— District's Response to Draft Audit Report



State Center Community College District

1525 East Weldon Avenue • Presno, California 93704-6398 • (559) 226-0720 • FAX 559-229-7039 • www.secced.edu May 12, 2010

Mr. Jim L. Spano, Chief Mandated Costs Audits Bureau Division of Audits California State Controller P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250-5874

Re: Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, 2nd E. S. Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987 Health Fee Elimination Program Annual Claim Fiscal Years: 2002-03 through 2006-07 State Center Community College District

Dear Mr. Spano:

This letter is the response of the State Center Community College District to the draft audit report for the above referenced program and fiscal years transmitted by the letter from Jeffrey V. Brownfield, Chief, Division of Audits, State Controller's Office, dated April 23, 2010, and received by the District on May 3, 2010.

Finding 1 - Understated salaries, benefits, and services and supplies

The draft audit report increases the program amounts claimed by \$506,433 for three types of program costs not included in the claims.

1. Psychological Intern Program Costs

The adjustments add the psychological intern costs (salaries, benefits, and supply costs) to FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05 which were not included in those annual claims. The District agrees that the costs should have been included in those claims. The District included these program costs in FY 2005-06 and FY 2006-07 annual claims.

Fresno City College • Receiley College • Clovis Center • Madera Center • Oakhurst Center • The Training Institute • Career & Technology Center EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

2. Lottery Funds

The adjustment adds costs funded by the State Lottery in a separate program fund for FY 2002-03, FY 2003-04, and FY 2004-05. The District agrees that the costs should have been included in these claims, without offset.

2

3. North Center Costs

The adjustments add program costs for the North Centers for FY 2006-07. The District agrees that the costs should have been included in that claim.

Finding 2 - Overstated indirect costs

The draft audit report concludes that the District overstated indirect costs by \$381,532 for the four fiscal years included in the audit. The draft audit report states that the District overstated indirect costs for two reasons:

- The FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04 annual claims used an indirect cost rate based on the principles of OMB Circular A-21, but that it was not a cost study approved by the federal government as required by the Controller's claiming instructions.

- The claims for FY 2004-05, FY 2005-06, and FY 2006-07 utilized a federally approved rate, but that the Commission on State Mandates parameters and guidelines and the Controller's claiming instructions do not provide claimants the option of using federally approved rates for these fiscal years.

Claimed Fiscal Year	Auditec <u>Rate</u>	J Source	Rate	Source
FY 2002-03	40.69%	District	15.08%	FAM 29C-no
depreciation FY 2003-04 depreciation	38.78%	District	16.15%	FAM 29C-no

The draft audit report states that the Controller's claiming instructions relevant to these fiscal years state that when claiming indirect costs, college districts have the option of using a federally approved rate from the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21, a rate calculated using form FAM-29C, or a 7% indirect cost rate.

The District's FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04 annual claims used a federal study method prepared by District staff pursuant to a federal rate proposal, including capital costs. The Controller used the CCFS-311, less capital costs, to calculate the indirect cost rate using its Form FAM-29C method. The Controller's policy was not to include depreciation costs in the calculation for these fiscal years. The Controller has not stated a basis for not including depreciation or capital costs.

FY 2004-05 depreciation	36.50%	Federal	27.28%	FAM 29C-with
FY 2005-06 depreciation	36.50%	Federal	25.18%	FAM 29C-with
FY 2006-07 depreciation	36.50%	Federal	26.60%	FAM 29C-with

The District used a federally approved cost study rate for FY 2004-05, FY 2005-06, and FY 2006-07. The Controller has decided, but has not stated a basis for this decision, to discontinue, retroactively to FY 2004-05, the use of federal rates, approved or not. Instead, the Controller is using the CCFS-311, less capital costs, but with audited district financial statement depreciation costs included, to calculate the indirect cost rate using its Form FAM-29C method.

The parameters and guidelines for the Health Fee Elimination program (as amended on May 25, 1989), which are the legally enforceable standards for claiming costs, state that: "Indirect costs *may be claimed* in the manner described by the Controller in his claiming instructions" (Emphasis added). Therefore, the parameters and guidelines *do not require* that indirect costs be claimed in the manner described by the Controller. Instead, the burden is on the Controller to show that the indirect cost method used by the District is excessive or unreasonable, which is the only mandated cost audit standard in statute (Government Code Section 17651(d)(2)). However, the Controller's claiming instructions were never adopted as rules or regulations, so they have no force of law. If the Controller wishes to enforce different audit standards for mandated cost reimbursement, the Controller should comply with the Administrative Procedure Act.

Since the draft audit report has stated no legal basis to disallow the indirect cost rate calculation method used by the District, and has not shown a factual basis to reject the District's rates as unreasonable or excessive, the adjustment should be withdrawn.

Finding 3 - Understated authorized health service fees

The draft audit report states that "authorized" student health service fee revenues were understated by \$938,052 for the audit period. This adjustment is due to the fact that the District reported the actual student health service fees that it collected rather than

a

"authorized" student health service fees that could have been collected. The audit report notes that the District did not charge the greatest possible fee amount "authorized" by the Chancellor's Office for several semesters and did not charge the students attending the off-campus learning centers.

"Authorized" Fee Amount

The draft audit report asserts that claimants must compute the total student health

service fees collectible based on the highest "authorized" rate. The draft audit report does not provide the statutory basis for the calculation of the "authorized" rate, nor the source of the legal right of any state entity to "authorize" student health service fee

amounts, absent rulemaking or compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act by the "authorizing" state agency. The fee amounts "identified" by the State Chancellor's office referenced in the draft audit report merely informs, by form letter to the local districts, that the Implicit Price Deflator has increased and that the districts may increase their student health service fee if the district so chooses. The State Chancellor is not authorized by statute to direct the local districts to increase the student health service fee.

Education Code Section 76355

Education Code Section 76355, subdivision (a)(1), states that "[t]he governing board of a district maintaining a community college <u>may require</u> community college students to pay a fee . . . for health supervision and services" (Emphasis added). There is no requirement that community colleges levy these fees. The permissive nature of the provision is further illustrated in subdivision (b) which states:

<u>If</u>, pursuant to this section, a fee is required, the governing board of the district shall decide the amount of the fee, <u>if any</u>, that a part-time student is required to pay. <u>The governing board may decide whether the fee shall be mandatory or optional</u>. (Emphasis added).

Government Code Section 17514

The draft audit report relies upon Government Code Section 17514 for the conclusion that "[t]o the extent that community college districts can charge a fee, they are not *required* to incur a cost." First, charging a fee has no relationship to whether costs are incurred to provide the student health services program. Second, Government Code Section 17514, as added by Chapter 1459, Statutes of 1984, actually states:

5

"Costs mandated by the state" means any increased costs which a local agency or school district is required to incur after July 1, 1980, as a result of any statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, or any executive order implementing any statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, which mandates a new program or higher level of service

of an existing program within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution.

There is nothing in the language of the statute regarding the authority to charge a fee, any nexus of fee revenue to increased cost, nor any language that describes the legal effect of fees collected.

Government Code Section 17556

The draft audit report relies upon Government Code Section 17556 for the conclusion that "the Commission on State Mandates shall not find costs mandated by the State if

the school district has the authority to levy fees to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service." Government Code Section 17556, as last amended by Statutes of 2006, Chapter 538, actually states:

The commission shall not find costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514, in any claim submitted by a local agency or school district, if after a hearing, the commission finds any one of the following:

(d) The local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service.

The draft audit report misrepresents the law. Government Code Section 17556 prohibits the Commission from finding costs subject to reimbursement, that is, approving a test claim activity for reimbursement where the authority exists to levy fees in an amount sufficient to offset the entire mandated costs. Here, the Commission has already approved the test claim and made a finding of a new program or higher level of service for which the claimants do not have the ability to levy a fee in an amount sufficient to offset the entire mandated costs.

THE REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.

Parameters and Guidelines

The parameters and guidelines, as amended on May 25. 1989, state, in relevant part: "Any offsetting savings the claimant experiences as a direct result of this statute must be deducted from the costs claimed . . . This shall include the amount of [student fees] as authorized by Education Code Section 72246(a)." Therefore, the student fees actually collected must be used to offset costs, but not student fees that could have been collected and were not, because uncollected fees are "offsetting savings" that were not "experienced."

6

Therefore, the audit report findings and recommendations regarding enrollment data obtained from the Chancellor's Office, the students to be charged, and the amounts to charge these students are not relevant to the District claimed amounts since the District

claimed actual revenues collected that resulted from the District's policy regarding which students are to be charged and how much they are to be charged.

The audit report should be changed to comply with the appropriate application of the parameters and guidelines and the Government Code concerning audits of mandate claims.

Finding 4 - Inaccurate reporting of health services provided

The draft audit report states that the District inaccurately reported base-year and current-year services *provided*. As to the base-year, using the documentation provided by the auditor and originally submitted by the District many years ago, the District will be

able to accurately report the FY 1986-87 base-year services on future claims.

For each subsequent fiscal year, claimants must certify that the base year services continue to be *available*, although not necessarily *provided*. The District is certifying that the same level of services continues to be *available*, not that each and every service was *rendered* each subsequent year. The draft audit report incorrectly recommends that "the district refrain from claiming any mandated costs if it does not provide one or more services that it provided during the 1986-87 base year." Rather, the District has to continue to make these services available, whether they are rendered or not. For example, hearing tests may be available every year, but there may be a year in which no hearing tests were required by students. Of course, if an available service is not provided in the current year, then there would be no cost incurred to be claimed.

The District must first certify the services available, then it is required to identify the cost of current year services, and then deduct the cost of any services provided in excess of the base-year services available. Base-year services must continue to be available, but cost is claimed on services actually utilized, which is to say *rendered*, each year District staff will continue to evaluate the list of services available each future year to make sure they are correctly reported and claim costs only for those services available in the base-year.

Finding 5 - Insufficient documentation of health service provided

The draft audit report states that Fresno City College and the North Centers did not sufficiently document actual health services provided. As stated in Finding 4, claimants are required to certify that base-year services continue to be *available*, and the certification is at the district-level, not by site. Claimants are also required to deduct the cost of current year services *rendered* in excess of baseyear services *available*. We will evaluate our recordkeeping systems district wide to improve the identification of any excess services provided, if any, for each future fiscal year.

OTHER MATTERS

Statute of Limitations

Fiscal Year Limitations	Date Claim Filed	Audit Statute of
FY 2002-03 FY 2003-04	January 9, 2004 December 13, 2004	Past audit January 9, 2007 Past audit December 13,
2007 FY 2004-05 2008	December 5, 2005	Past audit December 5.

Regarding the annual claims for FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04, Government Code Section 17558.5 (as amended by Statutes of 2002, Chapter 1128, Section 14.5, operative January 1, 2003) states:

(a) A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. Mr. Jim L. Spano

Regarding the annual claim for FY 2004-05, Government Code Section 17558.5 (as amended by Statutes of 2004, Chapter 890, Section 18, operative January 1, 2005) states:

(a) A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced.

Since there were state appropriations, although minimal and not specifically or contemporaneously paid to this District, for those three fiscal years, the statute of limitations to initiate the audit of those three fiscal years expired three years after the date of annual claim filing. The audit was initiated with the entrance conference conducted on June 9, 2009, which is more than three years after the annual claims were filed.

Public Records Request

0

The District requests that the Controller provide the District any and all written instructions, memoranda, or other writings in effect and applicable during the claiming period to Finding 2 (indirect cost rate calculation standards) and Finding 3 (calculation of the student health services fees offset).

Government Code section 6253, subdivision ©, requires the state agency that is the subject of the request, within ten days from receipt of a request for a copy of records, to determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable public records in possession of the agency and promptly notify the requesting party of that determination and the reasons therefore. Also, as required, when so notifying the District, the agency must state the estimated date and time when the records will be made available.

0

0

Mr. Jim L. Spano

The District requests that the final audit report comply with the appropriate application of the Parameters and Guidelines regarding allowable activity costs and the Government Code sections concerning audits of mandate claims

Sincerely,

Douglas R. Brinkley Vice-Chancellor

DRB:ch

Constant of This advertise

State Controller's Office Division of Audits Post Office Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250-5874

http://www.sco.ca.gov

S09-MCC-054

.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE

раменто, СА 95814-6511) 445-8752 НТТР://www.cccco.edu



March 5, 2001

To;

- Superintendents/Presidents
- Chief Business Officers
- Chief Student Services Officers
- Health Services Program Directors
- Financial Aid Officers
- Admissions and Records Officers
- Extended Opportunity Program Directors

From: Thomas J. Nussbaum Chancellor

Subject: Student Health Fee Increase

Education Code Section 76355 provides the governing board of a community college district the option of increasing the student health services fee by the same percentage as the increase in the Implicit Price Deflator for State and Local Government Purchase of Goods and Services. Whenever that calculation produces an increase of one dollar above the existing fee, the fee may be increased by \$1,00.

Based on calculations by the Financial, Economic, and Demographic Unit in the Department of Finance, the Implicit Price Deflator Index has now increased enough since the last fee increase of March 1997 to support a one dollar increase in the student health fees. Effective with the Summer Session of 2001, districts may begin charging a maximum fee of \$12.00 per semester, \$9.00 for summer session, \$9.00 for each intersession of at least four weeks, or \$9.00 for each guarter.

For part-time students, the governing board shall decide the amount of the fee, if any, that the student is required to pay. The governing board may decide whether the fee shall be mandatory or optional.

The governing board operating a health services program must have rules that exempt the following students from any health services fee:

Students who depend exclusively upon prayer for healing in accordance with the teachings of a bona fide religious sect, denomination, or organization.

Superintendents/Presidu/hts

- Students who are attending a community college under an approved apprenticeship training program.
- Students who receive Board of Governors Enrollment Fee Waivers, including students who demonstrate financial need in accordance with the methodology set forth in federal law or regulation for determining the expected family contribution of students seeking financial aid and students who demonstrate eligibility according to income standards established by the board of governors and contained in Section 58620 of Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations.

All fees collected pursuant to this section shall be deposited in the Student Health Fee Account in the Restricted General Fund of the district. These fees shall be expended only to provide health services as specified in regulations adopted by the board of governors. Allowable expenditures include health supervision and services, including direct or indirect medical and hospitalization services, or the operation of a student health center or centers, or both. Allowable expenditures exclude athletic-related salaries, services, insurance, insurance deductibles, or any other expense that is not available to all students. No student shall be denied a service supported by student health fee on account of participation in athletic programs.

If you have any questions about this memo or about student health services, please contact Mary Gill, Dean, Enrollment Management Unit at 916.323.5951. If you have any questions about the fee increase or the underlying calculations, please contact Patrick Ryan in Fiscal Services Unit at 916.327.6223.

Patrick J. Lenz Ralph Black Judith R. James Frederick E. Harris

CC:

I:\Fisc/FiscUnit/01StudentHealthFees/011StuHealthFees.doc

•

FOREWORD

The claiming instructions contained in this manual are issued for the sole purpose of assisting claimants with the preparation of claims for submission to the State Controller's Office. These instructions have been prepared based upon interpretation of the State of California statutes, regulations, and parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission on State Mandates. Therefore, unless otherwise specified, these instructions should not be construed in any manner to be statutes, regulations, or standards.

If you have any questions concerning the enclosed material, write to the address below or call the Local Reimbursements Section at (916) 324-5729, or email to Irsdar@sco.ca.gov.

State Controller's Office Attn: Local Reimbursements Section Division of Accounting and Reporting P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

Prepared by the State Controller's Office Updated September 30, 2003

.

Fiscal Year

2002 - 2003

SixTen and Associates Mandate Reimbursement Services

→ I B. PETERSEN, MPA, JD, President 525∠ Balboa Avenue, Suite 807 San Diego, CA 92117

Telephone: (858) 514-8605 Fax: (858) 514-8645 E-Mail: Kbpsixten@aol.com

January 9, 2004

CERTIFIED MAIL # 7003 1010 0003 2876 7098

Ms. Virginia Brummels, Section Manager Local Reimbursement Section Division of Accounting and Reporting Office of the State Controller P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

Dear Ms. Brummels,

RE: Annual Reimbursement Claims State Center Community College District S10225

Enclosed please find the original claim and an extra copy of the FAM-27 for State Center Community College District's reimbursement claims for:

961/75	Collective Bargaining	2002-2003
1/84	Health Fee Elimination	2002-2003
783/95	Investment Reports	2002-2003

If you have any questions regarding these claims, please contact me at (858) 514-8605.

Sincerely,

Keith B. Petersen
School Mandated Cost Manual

		CLAIM FOR PAYM Int to Government Cod HEALTH FEE ELIMIN	For State Controller Use (19) Program Number (20) Date File/ (21) LRS Input/	00029	
L	(01) Claimant Identif S10225	ication Number:		Heimburse	ment Claim Data
A B	(02) Mailing Address	::		(22) HFE - 1.0, (04)(b)	\$ 615,935
E	Claimant Name			(23)	
L	State Center Commur County of Location	hity College District		(24)	
	Fresno			(47)	
	Street Address 1525 East Weldon Ave	enue		(25)	
E	City Fresno	State CA	Zip Code 93704-6398	(26)	
	Type of Claim	Estimated Claim	Reimbursement Claim	(27)	
		(03) Estimated X	(09) Reimbursement	(28)	· · · · ·
		(04) Combined	(10) Combined	(29)	
		(05) Amended	(11) Amended	(30)	
	Fiscal Year of Cost	(06) 2003-2004	(12) 2002-2003	(31)	
	Total Claimed	(07)	(13)	(32)	
	Amount Less: 10% Late Penalty	\$ 600,000	\$ 615,935 (14)	(33)	
ļ)0	, but not to exceed	- ·	(33)	
	Estimate Claim P : عند	ayment Received	(15) \$ -	(34)	
	Net Claimed Amount		(16) \$	(35)	
	Due from State	(08) \$ 600,000	(17) \$ 615,935	(36)	
	Due to State		(18) \$	(37)	
	(38) CERTIFICATION	OF CLAIM			
	California for costs mandate	sions of Government Code § 1 d by Chapter 1, Statutes of 19 ernment Code Sections 1090 f	17561, I certify that I am the officer at 84, and Chapter 1118, Statutes of 19 to 1096, inclusive.	ithorized by the local agency to fi 87, and certify under penalty of p	le claims with the State of perjury that I have not violated
	l further certify that there wa such costs are for a new pro 1987.	s no application other than from gram or increased level of sen	m the claimant, nor any grant or payn vices of an existing program mandate	nent received, for reimbursement ed by Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984	of costs claimed herein; and , and Chapter 1118, Statutes of

The amounts for Estimated Claim and/or Reimbursement Claim are hereby claimed from the State for payment of estimated and/or actual costs for the mandated program of Chapter 1, Statutes of 1984, and Chapter 1118, Statutes of 1987, set forth on the attached statements.

Signature of Authorized Officer

Date

1-8-04

Vice Chancellor, Finance & Administration Title

Douglas'R. Brinkley) סר Print Name

(35, .vame of Contact Person or Claim

SixTen and Associates

Telephone Number

(858) 514-8605

E-Mail Address kbpsixten@aol.com

State Controller's Office		School Ma	indated Cost Manua
Program 029	MANDATED COSTS HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION CLAIM SUMMARY		FORM HFE-1.0
(01) Claimant:	(02) Type of Claim:		Fiscal Year
Claimant Name	Reimbursement	X	
State Center Community College District	Estimated		2002-2003
(03) List all the colleges of the commun	ity college district identified in form HFE	-1.1, line (03)	
N	(a) lame of College		(b) Claimed Amount
1. Fresno City College		\$	414,902.52
2. Reedley College		\$	201,032.74
3.		\$	-
4		\$	-
5.		\$	-
6.		\$	-
7.		\$	-
u.		\$	-
9.		\$	_
10.		\$	-
11.		\$	-
12.		\$	-
13.		\$	_
14.		\$	
15.		\$	
6.		\$	
17.		\$.	
8.		\$ 1	-
9.		\$	-
0.		\$	-
)		\$	_
04) Total Amount Claimed	[Line (3.1b) + line (3.2b) + line (3.3b) +line (3.21	16)] \$	615.935

)

(

F		E ELIMIN SUMMAF (02) Type Reimburse Estimated	Y of Claim:	~				ORM E-1.2
	CLAIM	(02) Type Reimburse	of Claim:	~	<u> </u>			
		Reimburse		~				
		Estimated		X		F	isca	al Year
								2002-20
oolth cond	Reedley Co	ollege					****	
plete the fo	ces were pro orm. No reim	vided during the	e fiscal year llowed,	of reimbursement	in corr	parison to th	ie 19	36/87 fisca
Ĺ	ESS	SAME X						
			·	Direct Cost	Indir	rect Cost of: 40.69%		Total
year of C	Claim			\$ 233,064	\$	94,834	\$	327,89
ealth ser	vices whic	h are in exce	ess of the	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
ealth ser	vices at the	e 1986/87 le	vel	\$ 233,064	\$	94,834	\$	327,89
ı (g) to j	orovide c	letail data	for healt	h fees	-l		L	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)		(f)		(g)
Number of Full-time Students	Number of Part-time Students	Unit Cost for Full-time Student per Educ. Code § 76355	Student	Unit Cost for Part-time Student per Educ. Code § 76355	He	Student alth Fees	Fees H	dent Heal That Cou ave Been Collected (d) + (f)
			\$ -		\$	-		
			\$ -		\$	-		
			\$ -		\$	-		
			\$-		\$	-	\$	-
			\$-		\$	-	\$	-
			\$-		\$	-	\$	-
ollected		(Line (8	8.1g) + (8.2g	+(8.6g)]			\$	125,090
		[Line	(07) - line (0	9)]				202,808
							<u> </u>	
							<u>۶</u>	1,775
	ealth ser ealth ser (g) to (a) Number of Full-time Students	ealth services at the (g) to provide c (a) (b) Number of Full-time Students Students 	year of Claim ealth services which are in exce ealth services at the 1986/87 le (g) to provide detail data (a) (b) (c) Number of Full-time Students Students Student per Educ. Code § 76355	year of Claim palth services which are in excess of the palth services at the 1986/87 level (g) to provide detail data for healt (a) (b) (c) (d) Number of Number of Part-time Students Students Student per Educ. Code § 76355 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Junction Direct Cost year of Claim \$ 233,064 salth services which are in excess of the \$ - salth services at the 1986/87 level \$ 233,064 (g) to provide detail data for health fees \$ 233,064 (g) to provide detail data for health fees \$ 233,064 (g) to provide detail data for health fees \$ 233,064 (g) to provide detail data for health fees \$ 100 (c) (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) Number of Full-time Student per Educ. Code § 76355 \$ - \$ - \$ - Students Students \$ - \$ - \$ - Students \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - Image: service	Direct Cost Indir year of Claim \$ 233,064 \$ salth services which are in excess of the \$ - \$ \$ salth services at the 1986/87 level \$ 233,064 \$ (g) to provide detail data for health fees \$ 233,064 \$ (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) Unit Cost for Full-time Full-time Students Unit Cost for Full-time Full-time Students Unit Cost for Full-time Full-time Students P Sudents Students Student per Educ. Code \$ - \$ Sudents \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ Sudents \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Sudents \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Sudents	Direct Cost Indirect Cost of: 40.69% year of Claim \$ 233,064 \$ 94,834 palth services which are in excess of the palth services at the 1986/87 level \$ 233,064 \$ 94,834 (g) to provide detail data for health fees \$ 233,064 \$ 94,834 (g) to provide detail data for health fees (d) (e) (f) Vumber of Full-time Students Unit Cost for Full-time Students Vunit Cost for Full-time Students Part-time Student per Educ. Code \$ 76355 Part-time Student per Educ. Code \$ 160 x (e) 1 1 \$ - \$ - \$ - 2 2 \$ - \$ - \$ - 2 2 \$ - \$ - \$ - 2 2 \$ - \$ - \$ - 2 2 \$ - \$ - \$ - 3 - \$ - \$ - \$ - 2 2 2 \$ - \$ - \$ - 3 - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - 2 2 2 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - 3 - \$ - \$ - \$	Jume Direct Cost Indirect Cost of: 40.69% year of Claim \$ 233,064 \$ 94,834 \$ salth services which are in excess of the services at the 1986/87 level \$ 233,064 \$ 94,834 \$ (g) to provide detail data for health fees \$ 233,064 \$ 94,834 \$ (g) to provide detail data for health fees \$ 233,064 \$ 94,834 \$ (g) to provide detail data for health fees \$ 233,064 \$ 94,834 \$ (g) to provide detail data for health fees \$ 233,064 \$ 94,834 \$ (g) to provide detail data for health fees \$ 1000000000000000000000000000000000000

,

Program		MANE	ATED CC	ST	S					d Cost N
029	H	EALTH	EE ELIMI	INA [.]	TION					FORM
		CLAI		RY						HFE-1.
(01) Claimant:			(02) Typ							
			Reimbur			X			Г	iscal Yea
State Center Community College Distri	ct		Estimate	d	Γ-					
				u 	L					2002-
(03) Name of College			ty College							
(04) Indicate with a check mark, the level at why year. If the "Less" box is checked, STOP, do not	ich health se	rvices were p	provided during	the fis	scal year	of reimburseme	nt in c	omparisor	n to th	1e 1986/87
		LESS	SAME		yeu.					
	[X		IORE					
						Direct Cost	Ind	irect Cost	of:	Total
								40.69%		lotar
05) Cost of Health Services for the Fish						\$ 472,620	3 \$	192,3	12	\$ 664,9
06) Cost of providing current fiscal yea evel provided in 1986/87	r health se	rvices whi	ch are in exc	cess	of the					
07) Cost of providing current fiscal year	r health se	nvices at th	1096/971			\$ -	\$	•		\$.
_ine (05) - line (06)]				evei		\$ 472,626	\$	192,31	2	\$ 664,9
08) Complete Columns (a) throu	gh (g) to	provide	detail data	for	health	fees	. I			
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)			(f)		
Period for which health fees were			Unit Cost for		(u)	(e)		(f)		(g)
collected	Number o Full-time		Full-time	Fi	ull-time tudent	Unit Cost for Part-time		art-time		Student Hea ees That Co
	Students	Part-time Students	Student per Educ. Code	Hea	Ith Fees	Student per Educ. Code		Student alth Fees		Have Beer Collected
			§ 76355	(8	1) X (C)	§ 76355		b) x (e)		(d) + (f)
Per fall semester				\$	-		\$		+	
							+			
Per spring semester				\$	-		\$	-		
Per summer session				\$	-		\$	-		
Per first quarter				\$			\$		+	
							Ψ		\$	
Per second quarter				\$	-		\$	-	\$	-
Per third quarter				\$	-		\$	-	\$	_
	collocted		[Line (8.	.1a) +	(8.2a) +	(8.6g)]				
Total health fee that could have been	conected			. 37 .	(0,29)	(0.09)]			\$	243,010
								1		
Sub-total	conected		(Line (0	7) - lin	ie (09)]				\$	421.928
Sub-total st Reduction			(Line (O	7) - lin	ie (09)]				\$	421,928
Sub-total			[Line (0	7) - lin	ie (09)]				\$ \$ \$	421,928

State Center Community College District Facilities and Administration Rate Summary of Indirect Cost Pools & Rate Computation Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2002

}

J

Schedule A

Facilities and Adminstration Costs from Schedule B	Amount	F&A Rate
Facilities		
Operation & maintenance Depreciation Library Total facilities	\$ 9,773,711 3,662,230 <u>3,180,511</u> 16,616,452	11.71% 4.39% <u>3.81%</u> 19.90%
Administration		
General administration Departmental administration	16,201,368 1,148,949	19.41% 1.38%
Total administration	17,350,317	20,78%
Total	\$ 33,966,769	40.69%
Direct cost base	\$ 83,486,368	+0.0770

State of California	<u></u>	School Mandated	Cost Manua
Program 029	MANDATED COSTS HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION COMPONENT/ACTIVITY COST DETAIL		ORM =E-2.1
laimant		Fisc	al Year
State Center Community College Di	strict	200	2-2003
(03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/	or (b), as applicable, to indicate which health	(o)	(b)
Service was provided by stude	nt health service fees for the indicated fiscal year.	(a) FY	(b) FY
Accident Reports		<u> </u>	of Claim X
Appointments College Physician, surgeon Dermatology, Family practic Internal Medicine			
Outside Physician Dental Services		X	×
Outside Labs, (X-ray, etc.,)			
Psychologist, full services		X	X
Cancel/Change Appointmen	its	X	X
Registered Nurse Check Appointments		X X	
Assessment, Intervention and C	ounseling		
Birth Control Lab Reports		X	X
Nutrition		x	
Test Results, office		^	X
Venereal Disease		x	х
Communicable Disease		x	x
Upper Respiratory Infection		Х	Х
Eyes, Nose and Throat		X	X
Eye/Vision		X	X
Dermatology/Allergy		Х	X
Gynecology/Pregnancy Serv	ice	Х	X
Neuralgic Orthopedic			
Genito/Urinary		X	Х
Dental		X	X
Gastro-Intestinal		X	X
Stress Counseling		X X	X
Crisis Intervention		x	X X
Child Abuse Reporting and C	ounseling	x	x
Substance Abuse Identification		X	x
Eating Disorders	5	X	x
Weight Control		X	x
Personal Hygiene		X	X
Burnout		X	X
Other Medical Problems, list:	Hypertenson, Cardio-Vascular, Seizure Disorder, Pulmonary	X	x
Examinations, minor illnesses			
Recheck Minor Injury		X	×
Health Talks or Fairs, Information			
Sexually Transmitted Disease		X	X
Drugs		X	X
Acquired Immune Deficiency S Child Abuse	Syndrome	X X	X X

Program 0.29	MANDATED COSTS HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION COMPONENT/ACTIVITY COST DETAIL		FORM FE-2.1
/ laimant		Fis	cal Year
ate Center Community Colleg	ge District	200	02-2003
3) Place an "X" in column (a) Service was provided by s	and/or (b), as applicable, to indicate which health tudent health service fees for the indicated fiscal year.	(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Clair
Birth Control/Family P Stop Smoking Library, Videos and Ca		X X X	X X X
First Aid, Major Emergenc First Aid, Minor Emergenc First Aid Kits, Filled			X X X
Immunizations Diphtheria/Tetanus Measles/Rubella Influenza Information		X X X	X X X
Insurance On Campus Accident Voluntary) Insurance Inquiry/Clair	n Administration	X X	XX
Laboratory Tests Done Inquiry/Interpretation Pap Smears			
Physical Examinations Employees Students Athletes		×	x
Medications Antacids Antidiarrheal Aspirin, Tylenol, etc., Skin Rash Preparation Eye Drops	S	X X X X X	X X X X X X
Р	Cortisone, CTM, Pseudoephedrine HCE, Diphenhydramine ediculosis Control, Cough Syrup, Lozenges		X X X X X
Parking Cards/Elevator Ke Tokens Return Card/Key Parking Inquiry Elevator Passes Temporary Handicappe			

pol Mandated Cost Manual

٢

te of California		jool Mandated Cost Manual							
	MANDATED COSTS HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION COMPONENT/ACTIVITY COST DETAIL		ORM E-2.1						
Jaimant		Fisca	al Year						
te Center Community Col	lege District	2002	2-2003						
Place an "X" in column (a) and/or (b), as applicable, to indicate which health	(a)	(b)						
Service was provided by	y student health service fees for the indicated fiscal year.	(4) FY 1986/87	FY of Cla						
Referrals to Outside Age	ancies								
Private Medical Doct		x	X						
Health Department		X	X						
Clinic		X	X						
Dental		X	X						
Counseling Centers		X	X						
Crisis Centers		X	X						
Transitional Living Fa	acilities, battered/homeless women	X	X						
Family Planning Fac		X	X						
Other Health Agencie		X	X						
Tests									
Blood Pressure		X	x						
Hearing		X	X						
Tuberculosis		X	X						
Reading			x						
Information		X	X						
Vision		X	x						
Glucometer									
Urinalysis									
Hemoglobin		х	х						
EKG			~						
Strep A Testing									
PG Testing									
Monospot									
Hémacult									
Others, list									
Miscellaneous									
Absence Excuses/PE	Waiver	x	Х						
Allergy Injections			~						
Bandaids		x	Х						
Booklets/Pamphlets			X						
Dressing Change		X	X						
Rest		X	X						
Suture Removal		X	X						
Temperature		X	X						
Weigh		x	x						
Information		X	X						
Report/Form		X	X						
Wart Removal			~						
Others, list									
ommittees									
Safety		x	х						
Environmental									
Disaster Planning									
	Committees								

Fiscal Year

2003 - 2004

SixTen and Associates Mandate Reimbursement Services

2. I B. PETERSEN, MPA, JD, President 5252 Balboa Avenue, Suite 807 San Diego, CA 92117

Telephone: (858) 514-8605 Fax: (858) 514-8645 E-Mail: Kbpsixten@aol.com

December 13, 2004

CERTIFIED MAIL # 7003 1010 0003 2876 7418

Ms. Virginia Brummels, Section Manager Local Reimbursement Section Division of Accounting and Reporting Office of the State Controller P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

RE: Annual Reimbursement Claims State Center Community College District CC10225

Dear Ms. Brummels:

Enclosed please find the original claims and extra copies of the FAM-27 for San Jose Unified School District's reimbursement claim listed below:

448/75	Mandate Reimbursement Process	2003-2004
961/75	Collective Bargaining	2003-2004
1/84	Health Fee Elimination	2003-2004
641/86	Open Meetings Act/Brown Act Reform	2003-2004

If you have any questions regarding this claim, please contact me at (858) 514-8605.

Sincerely.

Keith B. Petersen



State Controller's Office

A

В

Ε

L

Н

Е

R Е City

Community College Mandated Cost Manual For State Controller Use only Program CLAIM FOR PAYMENT (19) Program Number 00234 Pursuant to Government Code Section 17561 (20) Date Filed / 234 HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION (21) LRS Input (01) Claimant Identification Number: CC10225 Reimbursement Claim Data (02) Claimant Name (22) HFE-1.0, (04)(b) State Center Community College District 369,327 County of Location (23)Fresno Street Address (24)1525 East Weldon Avenue State Zip Code (25)Fresno CA 93704-6398 Type of Claim Estimated Claim **Reimbursement Claim** (26)(03) Estimated Х (09) Reimbursement X (27)(04) Combined (10) Combined (28)(05) Amended (11) Amended (29)(06)(12) (30)Fiscal Year of Cost 2004-2005 2003-2004 (07)(13)(31)Total Claimed Amount \$----406,000 -\$ 369,327 (14)(32)Less: 10% Late Penalty \$ (15)(33)s: Prior Claim Payment Received \$ (16)(34) Net Claimed Amount \$ 369,327 (08)(17)(35) Due from State \$ 406,000 \$ 369,327 (18)(36)Due to State (37) CERTIFICATION OF CLAIM In accordance with the provisions of Government Code Section 17561, I certify that I am the officer authorized by the community college district to file mandated cost claims with the State of California for this program, and certify under penalty of perjury that I have not violated any of the provisions of Government Code Sections 1090 to 1098, inclusive. I further certify that there was no application other than from the claimant, nor any grant or payment received, for reimbursement of costs claimed herein, and such costs are for a new program or increased level of services of an existing program. All offsetting savings and reimbursements set forth in the Parameters and Guidelines are identified, and all costs claimed are supported by source documentation currently maintained by the claimant. The amounts for this Estimated Claim and/or Reimbursement Claim are hereby claimed from the State for payment of estimated and/or actual costs set forth on the attached statements. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Signature of Authori Officer HUSE BLUE INK Date Douglas R. Brinkley Vice Chancellor, Finance & Administration Type or Print Name Title (38) Name of Contact Person for Claim Telephone Number: (858) 514-8605 d en and Associates E-mail Address: kbpsixten@aol.com

Form FAM-27 (Revised 09/03)

State Controller's Office	Community College M	landated Cost Manual
HEALTH FEE	TED COSTS E ELIMINATION SUMMARY	FORM HFE-1.0
(01) Claimant:	(02) Type of Claim: Reimbursement	Fiscal Year
State Center Community College District	Estimated	2003-2004
(03) List all the colleges of the community co	ollege district identified in form HFE-1.1	, line (03)
	a) f College	(b) Claimed Amount
1. Fresno City College		\$213,843.27
2. Reedley College		\$155,483.42
3.		
4.		
5.		
6		
7		
3.	·	
).		
10.		
1		
2.		
3.	·····	
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
J.		

State Controller's Office	(:			Coll	ege Mandat	ed C	Cost Manua
PROGRAM 234		MANDA ⁻ HEALTH FE			0	N						FORM HFE-1.1
237		CLAIM	SU	MMARY								
(01) Claimant:			(02	2) Type of Cl	air	n:					F	iscal Year
State Center Communi	y College District			Reimbu	rse	ement		X			2	2003-2004
				Estimat	ed					a los film film a		
(03) Name of College:	Fresno City Coll	ege										
(04) Indicate with a check mark, comparison to the 1986/87 fiscal allowed.	year. If the "Les	s" box is che <u>S</u> A	ices cke	ed, STOP,	do do	ded during f o not comple	the ete MC	the form.	r of Nc	reimburse reimburse	eme	nt in ent is
			<u>^ </u>]					Direct Cost	Ir	ndirect Cost of:		Total
										38.78%		
(05) Cost of Health Services for the Fisc	al year of Claim						\$	304,093	\$	117,927	\$	422,020
(06) Cost of providing current fiscal year	health services in e	excess of 1986/8	37				\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
(07) Cost of providing current fiscal year [Line (05) - line (06)]	health services at 1	986/87 level					\$	304,093	\$	117,927	\$	422,020
(08) Complete Columns (a) through (g)	o provide detail data	a for health fees	6									
Collection Period	(a) Number of Full-time Students	(b) Number of Part-time Students		(C) Jnit Cost for Full-time Student per Educ. Code §76355		(d) Full-time Student Health Fees (a) x (c)		(e) Jnit Cost for Part-time Student per Educ. Code §76355		(f) Part-time Student Health Fees (b) x (e)	Fee H	(g) udent Health es That Could fave Been Collected (d) + (f)
Per Fall Semester 1.	2,819	8,297	\$	12.00	\$	33,828	\$	12.00	\$	99,564	\$	133,392
Per Spring Semester 2.	2,396	8,358	\$	12.00	\$	28,752	\$	12.00	\$	100,296	\$	129,048
Per Summer Session 3.	91	2,892	\$	9.00	\$	819	\$	9.00	\$	26,028	\$	26,847
Per First Quarter 4.					\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
Per Second Quarter 5.					\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
Per Third Quarter 6.					\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
(09) Total health fee that could have bee	n collected:			The sum	of	(Line (08)(1)(c) th	rough line (()(80	(6)(c)	\$	200,537
(10) Subtotal		<u>,</u>				[Line (07)	- line	e (09)]			\$	221,483
Cost Reduction		<u></u>					1. J					
(11) Less: Offsetting Savings, if applica											\$	
(12) Less: Other Reimbursements, if a	oplicable										\$	7,640.00
(13) Total Amount Claimed Revised 09/03				[Lir	ne (10) - {line ((11)	+ line (12)}]			\$	213,843

							, î						
State Control	er's Office	1	MANDA						.nmunity C	olle	ge Mandate	ed C	ost Manual
PROGRAM		1											FORM
234		ł	HEALTH FE				-					ŀ	HFE-1.2
(01) Claiman			CLAIM	(02) Typ		aim:						LF	iscal Year
(01) Claiman	 State Center Community C	ollege District			Reimbu		nent		X				003-2004
	State Senter Sommanity S				Estimate	ed							
(03) Name of	College:	Reedley College		1									
(04) Indicat comparison allowed.	e with a check mark, the to the 1986/87 fiscal yea LESS	e level at which ar. If the "Less	s" box is che SA	ices we cked, S ME	ere pro STOP,	vide do i	ed during t not comple	the ete MC	the form.	of I No	reimburse reimburse	mei eme	nt in nt is
				·····					Direct Cost	Ind	lirect Cost of: 38.78%		Total
(05) Cost of ⊢	ealth Services for the Fiscal y	vear of Claim			L			\$	169,916	\$	65,893	\$	235,809
(06) Cost of p	roviding current fiscal year he	alth services in e	xcess of 1986/8	37				\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	roviding current fiscal year he) - line (06)]	alth services at 1	986/87 level					\$	169,916	\$	65,893	\$	235,809
(08) Complete	e Columns (a) through (g) to p	orovide detail data	for health fees	i									
Collecti	on Period	(a) Number of Full-time Students	(b) Number of Part-time Students	(C Unit C Full- Stude Educ. §76	ost for time nt per Code	F	(d) Full-time Student Health Fees (a) x (c)		(e) Jnit Cost for Part-time Student per Educ. Code §76355		(f) Part-time Student lealth Fees (b) x (e)	Fee H	(g) udent Health es That Could Have Been Collected (d) + (f)
Per Fall : 1.	Semester	1,933	3,865	\$	12.00	\$	23,196	\$	12.00	\$	46,380	\$	69,576
	ng Semester	1,619	1,311	\$	12.00	\$	19,428	\$	12.00	\$	15,732	\$	35,160
	mer Session	14	1,063	\$	9.00	\$	126	\$	9.00	\$	9,567	\$	9,693
Per First 4.	Quarter					\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
	nd Quarter					\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
Per Third	I Quarter					\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
	alth fee that could have been c	collected:		Т	he sum	of (Line (08)(1)	(c) tl	nrough line (08)(6	6)(c)	\$	79,116
(10) Subtotal							[Line (07)	- lin	e (09)]			\$	156,693
Cost Redu	ction												
(11) Less: (Offsetting Savings, if applicable	9										\$	-
(12) Less: (Other Reimbursements, if appl	icable										\$	1,210.00
(13) Total A	mount Claimed					[Line	e (10) - {line	(11)	+ line (12)}]			\$	155,483

Revised 09/03

State of California	hool Mandated (Cost Manual
Program MANDATED COSTS 029 1/84 HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION COMPONENT/ACTIVITY COST DETAIL	HF	RM E-2
(01) Claimant (02) Fiscal Year costs were ind State Center Community College District		-2004
(03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/or (b), as applicable, to indicate which health Service was provided by student health service fees for the indicated fiscal year.	(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Claim
Accident Reports	X	X
Appointments College Physician, surgeon Dermatology, Family practice Internal Medicine	×	X
Outside Physician Dental Services Outside Labs, (X-ray, etc.,)	x	x
Psychologist, full services Cancel/Change Appointments Registered Nurse Check Appointments	X X X X	X X X X
Assessment, Intervention and Counseling Birth Control Lab Reports Nutrition	x x	x x
Test Results, office Venereal Disease Communicable Disease Upper Respiratory Infection Eyes, Nose and Throat Eye/Vision Dermatology/Allergy	X X X X X X	X X X X X X
Gynecology/Pregnancy Service Neuralgic Orthopedic Genito/Urinary Dental Gastro-Intestinal Stress Counseling Crisis Intervention Child Abuse Reporting and Counseling Substance Abuse Identification and Counseling Eating Disorders Weight Control Personal Hygiene Burnout Other Medical: Hypertension, Cardio-Vascular, Seizure Disorders, Pulmonary	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
Examinations, minor illnesses Recheck Minor Injury	X	X
Health Talks or Fairs, Information Sexually Transmitted Disease Drugs Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome Child Abuse	X X X X X	× × × ×

1

I

Program MAN 1/84 HEAL COMPONENT	FORM HFE-2		
(01) Claimant State Center Community College District	(02) Fiscal Year costs were incurr		-2004
(03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/or (b), as app Service was provided by student health serv	plicable, to indicate which health vice fees for the indicated fiscal year.	(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Claim
Birth Control/Family Planning Stop Smoking Library, Videos and Cassettes		X X X	X X X
First Aid, Major Emergencies First Aid, Minor Emergencies First Aid Kits, Filled		X X X	X X X
Immunizations Diphtheria/Tetanus Measles/Rubella Influenza		x	x x
Information		x	x
On Campus Accident Voluntary Insurance Inquiry/Claim Administration		X X	× ×
Laboratory Tests Done Inquiry/Interpretation Pap Smears			
Physical Examinations Employees Students			
Athletes		X	x
Medications Antacids Antidiarrheal Aspirin, Tylenol, etc., Skin Rash Preparations Eye Drops Ear Drops Toothache, oil cloves Stingkill Midol, Menstrual Cramps Other, list>Toinaftatge, Cortisone, CTM, Diphenhydramine, Pediculo		× × × × × × × × ×	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
Parking Cards/Elevator Keys Tokens Return Card/Key Parking Inquiry Elevator Passes Temporary Handicapped Parking Permits			

l

ĺ

J

Program MANDATED COSTS 1/84 HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION COMPONENT/ACTIVITY COST DETAIL	FORM HFE-2		
(01) Claimant (02) Fiscal Year costs were incurr State Center Community College District		3-2004	
(03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/or (b), as applicable, to indicate which health Service was provided by student health service fees for the indicated fiscal year.	(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Claim	
Referrals to Outside Agencies Private Medical Doctor Health Department Clinic Dental Counseling Centers Crisis Centers Transitional Living Facilities, battered/homeless women Family Planning Facilities Other Health Agencies	X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X	
Tests Blood Pressure Hearing Tuberculosis Reading Information Vision Glucometer Urinalysis Hemoglobin EKG Strep A Testing PG Testing Monospot Hemacult Others, list	× × × × × × × ×	× × × × × × × × × × × × ×	
Miscellaneous Absence Excuses/PE Waiver	x	x	
Allergy Injections Bandaids Booklets/Pamphlets Dressing Change Rest Suture Removal Temperature Weigh Information Report/Form Wart Removal Others, list	× × × × × × × × × ×	X X X X X X X X X	
Committees Safety Environmental Disaster Planning Skin Rash Preparations Eye Drops	X	х	

Fiscal Year

2004 - 2005

`

SixTen and Associates Mandate Reimbursement Services

B. PETERSEN, MPA, JD, President 5252 Balboa Avenue, Suite 807 San Diego, CA 92117

Telephone: (858) 514-8605 Fax: (858) 514-8645 E-Mail: Kbpsixten@aol.com

December 5, 2005

CERTIFIED MAIL # 7004 2510 0004 4007 0596

Ms. Virginia Brummels, Section Manager Local Reimbursement Section Division of Accounting and Reporting Office of the State Controller P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

RE: Annual Reimbursement Claim State Center Community College District CC10225

Dear Ms. Brummels:

Enclosed please find the original claim and extra copy of the FAM-27 for State Center Community College's reimbursement claim listed below:

1/84

Health Fee Elimination

2004-2005

If you have any questions regarding this claim, please contact me at (858) 514-8605.

Sincerely,

Sergio/M. Perez. ce-President

Claims Processing Manager



State Controller's C	office)))	Mandated Cost Manua
CLAIM FOR PAYMENT Pursuant to Government Code Section 17561 HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION				Controlling Conlege Firstule Controller (19) Program Number 0023 (20) Date Filed (21) LRS Input	Program	
(01) Claimant Identific	ation Number:	С	C 10225		Reimbursemen	t Claim Data
A B E E	Sta	ate Center Co	ommunity College District	· · · ·	(22) HFE-1.0, (04)(b)	395,163
County of Location		· · ·	Fresno		(23)	, ·
Street Address		1525 Eas	st Weldon Avenue		(24)	
City Fresno	State CA		Zip Code 93704-6398		(25)	
Type of Claim	Estimated	l Claim	Reimbursement	Claim	(26)	
	(03) Estimated	X	(09) Reimbursement	X	(27)	
	(04) Combined		(10) Combined		(28)	
	(05) Amended		(11) Amended		(29)	
Fiscal Year of Cost	(06) 2005-2	006	(12) 2004-2005	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(30)	
Total Claimed Amou	nt (07) \$	434,000	(13) \$	395,163	(31)	
Less : 10% Late Pena	lty		(14) \$	-	(32)	· ·
Prior Claim Pay	ment Received		(15) \$		(33)	
Net Claimed Amount			(16) \$	395,163	(34)	
Due from State	(08) \$	434,000	(17) \$	395,163	(35)	
Due to State			(18)		(36)	·
(37) CERTIFICATION			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
In accordance with the mandated cost claims v Government Code Sect	with the State of Californ	la for this pro	on 17561, I certify that I am gram, and certify under per	the officer a alty of perju	uthorized by the community colleg ry that I have not violated any of th	ge district to file ne provisions of
and such costs are for a	i new program or increa	sed level of se	rvices of an existing progra	am. All offse	received, for reimbursement of co tting savings and reimbursements ion currently maintained by the cla	not forth in the
The amounts for this Es				۰.		

The amounts for this Estimated Claim and/or Reimbursement Claim are hereby claimed from the State for payment of estimated and/or actual costs set forth on the attached statements + cartify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Officer USE BLUE INK

0

Date

Vice Chancellor, Finance & Administration Title

(35 ye of Contact Person for Claim

SixTen and Associates

Douglas R. Brinkley

Type or Print Name

Telephone Number: <u>(858) 514-8605</u> E-mail Address: kbpsixten@aol.com

Form FAM-27 (Revised 09/03)

State Controller's Office

Community College Mandated Cost Manual

HEALTH FEE	ED COSTS ELIMINATION SUMMARY	FORM HFE-1.0
(01) Claimant:	(02) Type of Claim:	Fiscal Year
State Center Community College District	Reimbursement Estimated	X 2004-2005
(03) List all the colleges of the community co	llege district identified in form HFE-1.1,	, line (03)
	a) f College	(b) Claimed Amount
1. Fresno City College		\$239,941.07
2. Reedly College		\$155,222.25
3		
4		
5.		
6.		
7		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.	·	
12.		
13.		
14.	·	
15.		
16.		
17.	·	
18.		
19		
<u></u>		
21.		
(04) Total Amount Claimed [Line	(3.1b) + line (3.2b) + line (3.3b) +line (3.21b)]	\$ 395,163

ate Controller's Office	3					, C	ommunity	Colle	ae Manda	tod (ost Manua
ROGRAM		MANDA	ATED COSTS	5			ominanty	00110	ge manua	T	
		HEALTH FE	EE ELIMINA ⁻		l						FORM HFE-1.1
		CLAIM	I SUMMARY								11 6. 11
1) Claimant:			(02) Type of	Claim	, , ,					F	iscal Year
ate Center Community College District			Reiml	ourse	ment		X			2	004-2005
			Estim	ated							
3) Name of College:	Fresno City Co	llege									
4) Indicate with a check mark, th mparison to the 1986/87 fiscal ye owed.	e level at whice ear. If the "Les	ch health serv ss" box is che	vices were pr ecked, STOF	ovid ?, do	ed during not comp	the plete	fiscal yea the form.	r of i No	eimburse reimburs	emer eme	nt in Int is
LESS	3	_	AME X			мо	RE				
							Direct Cost	Ind	irect Cost of: 36.50%		Total
i) Cost of Health Services for the Fiscal	year of Claim					\$	351,918	\$	128,450	\$	480,368
 Cost of providing current fiscal year he 			/87			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
 Cost of providing current fiscal year he [Line (05) - line (06)] 	ealth services at	1986/87 level				\$	351,918	\$	128,450	\$	480,368
3) Complete Columns (a) through (g) to p	provide detail dat	a for health fee	S								
Cullection Period	(a) Number of Full-time Students	(b) Number of Part-time Students	(C) Unit Cost for Full-time Student per Educ. Code §76355		(d) Full-time Student lealth Fees (a) x (c)	S E	(e) hit Cost for Part-time tudent per duc. Code §76355	He	(f) Part-time Student ealth Fees (b) x (e)	Fees Ha C	(g) dent Health That Could ave Been Collected (d) + (f)
Per Fall Semester				\$	-			\$	· _	\$	-
Per Spring Semester		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$				\$	-	\$	-
Per Summer Session		i		\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
Per First Quarter				\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per Second Quarter				\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
Per Third Quarter				\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
) Total health fee that could have been c	ollected:		The sum	n of (l	.ine (08)(1)	(c) thr	ough line (C	8)(6)	(C)	\$	236,982
) Subtotal		······································			[Line (07)	- line	(09)]			\$	243,386
st Reduction						•				700.707	
) Offsetting Savings, if applicable									Ş	\$	-
Less: Other Reimbursements, if applicable						9	3	8,445.00			
Total Amount Claimed				[Line	(10) - {line ((11) +	line (12)}]		\$		239,941

tate Controller's Office	;					, C	ommunity	Colle	ege Manda	ted C	Cost Manu
PROGRAM			ATED COST		1		<u></u>			T	FORM
											HFE-1.2
)1) Claimant:		CLAIN	(02) Type of		•			- Vene		⊥_	
State Center Comm	unity College Distr	ict	Reim				X				Fiscal Year
	unity Conege Dist	ici	Estim		ment					Z	2004-2005
3) Name of College:	Reedly College	e	Esum	aleu	<u></u>						
 Indicate with a check mark, pmparison to the 1986/87 fiscal plowed. 	the level at which year. If the "Le	ch health ser ss" box is cho	vices were p ecked, STOF	rovid P, do	ed during not comp	the plete	fiscal yea the form.	r of r No	eimburse reimburs	eme	nt in ent is
	ss T	S.	AME X			МО	RE				
	<u></u>		<u></u>				Direct Cost		rect Cost of: 36.50%		Total
5) Cost of Health Services for the Fisc	al year of Claim					\$	184,313	\$	67,274	\$	251,587
3) Cost of providing current fiscal year	health services in	excess of 1986/	'87			\$	-	\$		\$	-
7) Cost of providing current fiscal year [Line (05) - line (06)]	health services at	1986/87 level	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\$	184,313	\$	67,274	\$	251,587
3) • plete Columns (a) through (g) to	o provide detail da	ta for health fee	S								
Cullection Period	(a) Number of Full-time Students	(b) Number of Part-time Students	(C) Unit Cost for Full-time Student per Educ. Code §76355		(d) Full-time Student ealth Fees (a) x (c)	F SI Ed	(e) hit Cost for Part-time udent per Juc. Code §76355	ې He	(f) art-time Student alth Fees b) x (e)	Fees H	(g) Ident Health s That Could ave Been Collected (d) + (f)
Per Fall Semester				\$	· _			\$	-	\$	-
Per Spring Semester			· ·	\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per Summer Session			· ·	\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per First Quarter				\$	-			\$		\$	-
Per Second Quarter				\$	· _			\$		\$	
Per Third Quarter				\$				\$	-	\$	
) Total health fee that could have been	collected:		The sum	of (L	ine (08)(1)(c) thro	ough line (0	8)(6)(c)	\$	95,645
) Subtotal					[Line (07) -	line (09)]				155,942
st Reduction									l		
) Offsetting Savings, if applicab	le									\$	
Less: Other Reimbursements, if app	olicable					<u> </u>					720.00
Total Amount Claimed			[Line (11) + I	ine (12)}]		\$		155,222
/ised 09/03									<u> </u> 4		100,222

;

State	of	Californ	ia

j

Program MA 029 1/84 HEA COMPONEN	FORM HFE-2		
(01) Claimant State Center Community College District	(02) Fiscal Year costs were incurre		1-2005
(03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/or (b), as a Service was provided by student health se		(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Claim
Accident Reports		X	X
Appointments College Physician, surgeon Dermatology, Family practice		×	×
Internal Medicine Outside Physician Dental Services Outside Labs, (X-ray, etc.,)		x	x
Psychologist, full services Cancel/Change Appointments Registered Nurse Check Appointments		X X X X	X X X X
Assessment, Intervention and Counseling Birth Control		X	x
Lab Reports Nutrition		x	x
Test Results, office Venereal Disease Communicable Disease Upper Respiratory Infection Eyes, Nose and Throat Eye/Vision Dermatology/Allergy Gynecology/Pregnancy Service Neuralgic		X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X
Orthopedic Genito/Urinary Dental Gastro-Intestinal Stress Counseling Crisis Intervention Child Abuse Reporting and Counseling Substance Abuse Identification and Co Eating Disorders Weight Control Personal Hygiene Burnout Other Medical Problems, list		X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
Examinations, minor illnesses Recheck Minor Injury		• X	×
Health Talks or Fairs, Information Sexually Transmitted Disease Drugs Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome Child Abuse		X X X X	× × × ×

Program MANDATEI 1/84 HEALTH FEE COMPONENT/ACTIV	ELIMINATION		0RM E-2
(01) Claimant State Center Community College District	(02) Fiscal Year costs were incur		-2005
(03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/or (b), as applicable, Service was provided by student health service fees		(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Ćlaim
Birth Control/Family Planning Stop Smoking Library, Videos and Cassettes		X X X	X X X
First Aid, Major Emergencies First Aid, Minor Emergencies First Aid Kits, Filled		X X X	X X X
Immunizations Diphtheria/Tetanus Measles/Rubella Influenza Information		X X X	X X X
Insurance On Campus Accident Voluntary Insurance Inquiry/Claim Administration		X X X	X X
Laboratory Tests Done Inquiry/Interpretation Pap Smears Physical Examinations Employees			
Students Athletes		×	Х
Medications Antacids Antidiarrheal Aspirin, Tylenol, etc., Skin Rash Preparations Eye Drops Ear Drops Toothache, oil cloves Stingkill Midol, Menstrual Cramps Other, list> Tolnaftate, Cortisone, CTM, Pseudoephedr Diphenhydramine, Pediculosis Control, Cough Sym Parking Cards/Elevator Keys Tokens		X X X X X X X X X X	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
Return Card/Key Parking Inquiry Elevator Passes Temporary Handicapped Parking Permits			

Program 029	MANDATED COSTS 1/84 HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION COMPONENT/ACTIVITY COST DETAIL		E-2
(01) Claimant State Center Community C	ollege District		-2005
(03) Place an "X" in column	(a) and/or (b), as applicable, to indicate which health by student health service fees for the indicated fiscal	n (a) year. FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Claim
Family Planning Fa	octor t rs Facilities, battered/homeless women acilities		X X X X X X X X X X X X
Other Health Agen Tests Blood Pressure	cies	x	x
Hearing Tuberculosis Reading Information		X X X X	X X X X
Vision Glucometer Urinalysis Hemoglobin EKG Strep A Testing PG Testing Monospot Hemacult Others, list		X	X
Miscellaneous Absence Excuses/	PE Waiver	x	x
Allergy Injections Bandaids Booklets/Pamphlet Dressing Change Rest Suture Removal Temperature Weigh Information Report/Form		X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X
Wart Removal Others, list			
Committees Safety Environmental Disaster Planning		Х	×

Fiscal Year

2005 - 2006

•

·

Six (en and Associates Mandate Reimbursement Services

KEITH B. PETERSEN, MPA, JD, President E-Mail: Kbpsixten@aol.com

San Diego 5252 Balboa Avenue, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92117 Telephone: (858) 514-8605 Fax: (858) 514-8645

Sacramento 3841 North Freeway Blvd., Suite 170 Sacramento, CA 95834 Telephone: (916) 565-6104 Fax: (916) 564-6103

December 17, 2007

CERTIFIED MAIL #7006 3450 0000 3941 8659

Ms. Virginia Brummels, Section Manager Local Reimbursement Section Division of Accounting and Reporting Office of the State Controller P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

Claim File Copy

RE: Annual Reimbursement Claims State Center Community College District CC10225

Dear Ms. Brummels:

Enclosed please find the original claims and extra copies of the FAM-27 for State Center Community College District's reimbursement claims listed below:

961/75	Collective Bargaining	2006-2007
1/84	Health Fee Elimination (Amended)	2005-2006
1/84	Health Fee Elimination	2006-2007

If you have any questions regarding these claims, please contact me at (858) 514-8605.

Sincerely,

JJ Branne

for Keith B. Petersen, President

State Controller's Office	9	an shekala kala an an shekala s		Jon munity College M	andated Cost Manua
Ри	CLAIM FOR PAYME rsuant to Government Code HEALTH FEE ELIMINA	Section 17561		For Sate Controller Use only (19) Program Number 00234 (20) Date Filed// (21) LRS Input//	Program 234
(01) Claimant Identification I	Number: CC	0 10225		Reimbursement	Claim Data
A B E E	State Center Co	mmunity College District		(22) HFE-1.0, (04)(b)	696,789
L County of Location		Fresno		(23)	
H E R	1525 Eas	t Weldon Avenue		(24)	
E City Fresno	State CA	Zip Code 93704-6398		(25)	
Type of Claim	Estimated Claim	Reimbursement	Claim	(26)	
	(03) Estimated	(09) Reimbursement		(27)	
	(04) Combined	(10) Combined		(28)	
	(05) Amended	(11) Amended	X	(29)	
Fiscal Year of Cost	(06)	(12) 2005-2006	<u></u>	(30)	
Total Claimed Amount	(07)	(13) \$	696,789	(31)	
Less : 10% Late Penalty,	not to exceed \$10,000	(14) \$	10,000	(32)	
Less : Prior Claim Payme	ent Received	(15) \$	-	(33)	
Net Claimed Amount		(16) \$	686,789	(34)	
Due from State	(08)	(17) \$	686,789	(35)	
		(18)		(36)	

(37) CERTIFICATION OF CLAIM

In accordance with the provisions of Government Code Section 17561, I certify that I am the officer authorized by the community college district to file mandated cost claims with the State of California for this program, and certify under penalty of perjury that I have not violated any of the provisions of Government Code Sections 1090 to 1098, inclusive.

I further certify that there was no application other than from the claimant, nor any grant or payment received, for reimbursement of costs claimed herein, and such costs are for a new program or increased level of services of an existing program. All offsetting savings and reimbursements set forth in the Parameters and Guidelines are identified, and all costs claimed are supported by source documentation currently maintained by the claimant.

The amounts for this Estimated Claim and/or Reimbursement Claim are hereby claimed from the State for payment of estimated and/or actual costs set forth on the attached statements. Vcertify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

pized Off Signature of KUSE BLÆIDE/INH

Date

Douglas R. Brinkley

Type or Print Name

(38) Name of Contact Person for Claim

SixTen and Associates

Vice Chancellor. Finance and Administration Title

Те	lephone Number:	<u>(858) 514-8605</u>	
	E-mail Address:	kbpsixten@aol.com	

Form FAM-27 (Revised 09/03)

Communit, Jollege Mandated Cost Manual

HEALTH F	ATED COSTS EE ELIMINATION M SUMMARY	FORM HFE-1.0
(01) Claimant:	(02) Type of Claim: Reimbursement	Fiscal Year X
State Center Community College District	Estimated	2005-2006
(03) List all the colleges of the community	college district identified in form HFE-1.1, lir	ne (03)
Name	(a) e of College	(b) Claimed Amount
1. Fresno City College		\$471,798.06
2. Reedly College		\$224,990.98
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		
)7		
8		
9.		
10.		
11.		
12.		
13.		
14.		
15.		
16.		
17.		
18	······································	
19.		
)		
21.		
(04) Total Amount Claimed	Line (3.1b) + line (3.2b) + line (3.3b) +line (3.21b)]	\$ 696,789

State Controller's Office						C	Community	Coll	ege Manda	ited (Cost Manua
PROGRAM		MANDA	TED COST	S						Т	
234	HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION									,	FORM HFE-1.1
	CLAIM SUMMARY									'	II L-1.1
(01) Claimant:			(02) Type of	Claim:						F	iscal Year
State Center Community Colleg	je District		Reiml	oursem	ent		X			2	005-2006
			Estim	ated							
(03) Name of College:	Fresno City Co	ollege	_								
(04) Indicate with a check comparison to the 1986/8 allowed.	7 fiscal year. If the "Less	" box is check	ed, STOP, c	ided o lo not	during th complet	e fisc e the	al year o form. N	f reir o rei	nburseme mbursem	ent ir ent i	า ร
	LESS	S/				MO	RE				
		,,,	X				Direct Cost	Ind	irect Cost of: 36.50%		Totai
(05) Cost of Health Services for	r the Fiscal year of Claim					\$	527,644	\$	192,590	\$	720,234
(06) Cost of providing current fi	scal year health services in exc	cess of 1986/87				\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
(07) Cost of providing current fis [Line (05) - line (06)]	scal year health services at 198	86/87 level	·			\$	527,644	\$	192,590	\$	720,234
(08) Complete Columns (a) thro	ough (g) to provide detail data f	or health fees									
villection Period	(a) Number of Full-time Students	(b) Number of Part-time Students	(C) Unit Cost for Full-time Student per Educ. Code §76355	He	(d) Full-time Student alth Fees a) x (c)	F St Ec	(e) hit Cost for Part-time udent per Juc. Code §76355	He	(f) Part-time Student alth Fees b) x (e)	Fees Ha Co	(g) dent Health That Could we Been ollected d) + (f)
Per Fall Semester 1.				\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
Per Spring Semester 2.				\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per Summer Session 3.				\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per First Quarter				\$	-			\$		\$	
Per Second Quarter				\$				\$	-	\$	
Per Third Quarter				\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
09) Total health fee that could h	ave been collected:		The sun	n of (Lir	ne (08)(1)(c) thro	ough line (0	B)(6)(c)	\$	239,766
10) Subtotal				l	Line (07) -	line (09)]			\$	480,468
ost Reduction											
1) Less: Offsetting Savings, in										6 8	,670.00
2) Other Reimburseme	nts, if applicable								\$;	-
3) Total Amount Claimed				Line (1	0) - {line (11) + I	ine (12)}]		\$	4	71,798
evised 12/05									L*	·	

State Controller's Office					Communi	ty College Mand	dated	Cost Manu		
PROGRAM		MANDA	TED COST	S			Τ			
234	HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION									
	CLAIM SUMMARY									
(01) Claimant:			(02) Type of	Claim:			F	iscal Year		
State Center Community College	e District		Reim	bursement	X		2	2005-2006		
			Estim	ated						
(03) Name of College:	Reedly Colleg									
(04) Indicate with a check comparison to the 1986/87 allowed.	fiscal year. If the "Less	" box is check	ed, STOP, d	rided during th to not comple	ne fiscal year of the the form. N	of reimbursen No reimburser	nent i nent i	า 'S		
					MORE					
,		~	<u>A_L</u>		Direct Cost	Indirect Cost of 36.50%		Total		
(05) Cost of Health Services for	the Fiscal year of Claim				\$ 238,315	5 \$ 86,985	5 \$	325,300		
(06) Cost of providing current fis	cal year health services in exc	cess of 1986/87			\$ -	\$-	\$	<u> </u>		
07) Cost of providing current fis [Line (05) - line (06)]	cal year health services at 198	36/87 level			\$ 238,315	\$ 86,985	\$	325,300		
08) Complete Columns (a) throu	ugh (g) to provide detail data fo	or health fees								
Jllection Period	(a) Number of Full-time Students	(b) Number of Part-time Students	(C) Unit Cost for Full-time Student per Educ. Code §76355	(d) Full-time Student Health Fees (a) x (c)	(e) Unit Cost for Part-time Student per Educ. Code §76355	(f) Part-time Student Health Fees (b) x (e)	Fees Ha C	(g) dent Health That Could ive Been ollected d) + (f)		
Per Fall Semester				\$-		\$ -	\$			
Per Spring Semester				\$ -		\$-	\$	-		
Per Summer Session				\$ -		\$-	\$			
Per First Quarter				\$ -		\$-				
Per Second Quarter				\$-		φ - \$ -	\$ \$			
Per Third Quarter				\$-		\$-	\$			
9) Total health fee that could ha	ve been collected:		The sum	of (Line (08)(1)	(c) through line (C	98)(6)(c)	\$	98,929		
0) Subtotal				[Line (07)	- line (09)]			226,371		
ost Reduction										
) Less: Offsetting Savings, if	applicable						\$1,	,380.00		
2) / Other Reimbursemen	ts, if applicable						\$	-		
) Total Amount Claimed			[Line (10) - {line (11) + line (12)}]		\$ 2	24,991		
vised 12/05							r <u> </u>	- 1,001		

Program MANDATED COSTS 1/84 HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION COMPONENT/ACTIVITY COST DETAIL	н	огм FE-2
(01) Claimant (02) Fiscal Year costs were incu State Center Community College District		05-2006
(03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/or (b), as applicable, to indicate which health Service was provided by student health service fees for the indicated fiscal year.	(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Claim
Accident Reports	X	X
Appointments College Physician, surgeon Dermatology, Family practice Internal Medicine Outside Physician	x	x
Dental Services		
Outside Labs, (X-ray, etc.,) Psychologist, full services Cancel/Change Appointments Registered Nurse Check Appointments	X X X X	X X X X
Assessment, Intervention and Counseling Birth Control Lab Reports	x	x
Nutrition	X	X
Test Results, office		
Venereal Disease Communicable Disease Upper Respiratory Infection Eyes, Nose and Throat Eye/Vision Dermatology/Allergy Gynecology/Pregnancy Service Neuralgic	X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X
Orthopedic Genito/Urinary Dental Gastro-Intestinal Stress Counseling Crisis Intervention Child Abuse Reporting and Counseling Substance Abuse Identification and Counseling Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome Eating Disorders Weight Control Personal Hygiene Burnout Other Medical Problems, list	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
Examinations, minor illnesses Recheck Minor Injury	x	x
Health Talks or Fairs, Information Sexually Transmitted Disease Drugs Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome Child Abuse	X X X X	x x x x

Т

Program MANDATED COSTS 1/84 HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION COMPONENT/ACTIVITY COST DETAIL	HF	огм Е-2
(01) Claimant (02) Fiscal Year costs were incurr State Center Community College District		5-2006
(03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/or (b), as applicable, to indicate which health Service was provided by student health service fees for the indicated fiscal year.	(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Claim
Birth Control/Family Planning Stop Smoking Library, Videos and Cassettes	X X X	X X X
First Aid, Major Emergencies First Aid, Minor Emergencies First Aid Kits, Filled	X X X	X X X
Immunizations Diphtheria/Tetanus Measles/Rubella Influenza Information	x x x	X X X
Insurance On Campus Accident Voluntary Insurance Inquiry/Claim Administration	× ×	x x
Laboratory Tests Done Inquiry/Interpretation Pap Smears		
Physical Examinations Employees Students Athletes	х	x
Medications Antacids Antidiarrheal Aspirin, Tylenol, etc., Skin Rash Preparations Eye Drops Ear Drops Toothache, oil cloves Stingkill Midol, Menstrual Cramps Other, (Tolnaftate, Cortisone, CTM, Pseudoephedrine HCE, Diphenhydramine Pediculosis Control, Cough Syrup, Lozenges) Parking Cards/Elevator Keys Tokens Return Card/Key Parking Inquiry Elevator Passes Temporary Handicapped Parking Permits	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X

Program MANDATED COSTS 1/84 HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION COMPONENT/ACTIVITY COST DETAIL	Н	огм FE-2
(01) Claimant (02) Fiscal Year costs were incu State Center Community College District		05-2006
(03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/or (b), as applicable, to indicate which health Service was provided by student health service fees for the indicated fiscal year.	(a) FY 1986/8	(b) FY 7 of Claim
Referrals to Outside Agencies Private Medical Doctor Health Department Clinic Dental Counseling Centers Crisis Centers Transitional Living Facilities, battered/homeless women Family Planning Facilities Other Health Agencies	X X X X X X X X X X	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
Tests Blood Pressure Hearing Tuberculosis Reading Information Vision Glucometer Urinalysis Hemoglobin EKG Strep A Testing PG Testing Monospot Hemacult Others, list	X X X X X X	X X X X X X
Miscellaneous Absence Excuses/PE Waiver Allergy Injections Bandaids Booklets/Pamphlets Dressing Change Rest Suture Removal Temperature Weigh Information Report/Form Wart Removal Others, list	× × × × × × × × × × × ×	X X X X X X X X X X X X
Committees Safety Environmental Disaster Planning	х	x

)

Fiscal Year

2006 - 2007

Six ien and Associates Mandate Reimbursement Services

KEITH B. PETERSEN, MPA, JD, President E-Mail: Kbpsixten@aol.com

San Diego 5252 Balboa Avenue, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92117 Telephone: (858) 514-8605 Fax: (858) 514-8645

December 17, 2007

CERTIFIED MAIL #7006 3450 0000 3941 8659

Ms. Virginia Brummels, Section Manager Local Reimbursement Section Division of Accounting and Reporting Office of the State Controller P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

- RE: Annual Reimbursement Claims State Center Community College District CC10225
- Dear Ms. Brummels:

Enclosed please find the original claims and extra copies of the FAM-27 for State Center Community College District's reimbursement claims listed below:

961/75	Collective Bargaining	2006-2007
1/84	Health Fee Elimination (Amended)	2005-2006
1/84	Health Fee Elimination	2006-2007

If you have any questions regarding these claims, please contact me at (858) 514-8605.

Sincerely,

Gr Keith B. Petersen, President



Sacramento 3841 North Freeway Blvd., Suite 170

Sacramento, CA 95834 Telephone: (916) 565-6104 Fax: (916) 564-6103

Sta	ate Controller's Office	e		Community College	e Mandated Cost Manua
	Ри	CLAIM FOR PAY rsuant to Government Co HEALTH FEE ELIM	(19) Program Number 002 (20) Date Filed/_/ (21) LRS Input/_/	³⁴ Program - 234	
L (01) Claimant Identification N	Number:	CC 10225	Reimburseme	nt Claim Data
A B E) Claimant Name	State Center	Community College District	(22) HFE-1.0, (04)(b)	191,25
L Cou	unty of Location	<u> </u>	Fresno	(23)	
H E R	eet Address	1525	East Weldon Avenue	(24)	
E City	resno	State CA	Zip Code 93704-6398	(25)	
1	Type of Claim	Estimated Claim	Reimbursement Claim	(26)	
		(03) Estimated	(09) Reimbursement	(27)	
		(04) Combined	(10) Combined	(28)	
		(05) Amended	(11) Amended	(29)	
Fise	cal Year of Cost	(06) 2007-2008	(12) 2006-2007	(30)	
Tot	al Claimed Amount	(07) \$ 210,0	00 (13) \$ 191.:	(31) 257	
Les	s: 10% Late Penalty,	not to exceed \$10,000	(14) \$	- (32)	
Les.	s: Prior Claim Payme	ent Received	(15) \$ 231,8	(33) 315	
Net	Claimed Amount	5.5.5. 3 000000000000000000000000000000000000	(16) \$ (40,5	(34)	
Due	e from State	(08) \$ 210,0	(17)	(35)	
Due	e to State		(18) \$ 40,5	(36)	
(37)	CERTIFICATION OF	CLAIM			
ma	accordance with the prov ndated cost claims with vernment Code Sections	the State of California for this	ection 17561, I certify that I am the off program, and certify under penalty of	icer authorized by the community co perjury that I have not violated any c	blege district to file of the provisions of

i further certify that there was no application other than from the claimant, nor any grant or payment received, for reimbursement of costs claimed herein, and such costs are for a new program or increased level of services of an existing program. All offsetting savings and reimbursements set forth in the Parameters and Guidelines are identified, and all costs claimed are supported by source documentation currently maintained by the claimant.

The amounts for this Estimated Claim and/or Beimbursement aim are pereby claimed from the State for payment of estimated and/or actual costs set forth on the attached statements. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

USE BLUE INK Signature of Au

Douglas R. Brinkley

Type or Print Name

Date

Vice Chancellor, Finance and Administration Title

(38) Name of Contact Person for Claim

JixTen and Associates

(858) 514-8605 Telephone Number: E-mail Address: kbpsixten@aol.com

Form FAM-27 (Revised 09/03)

P. 02/02

RON F. Y. 1 cecelia

> Detach on dotted line Keep This Portion for Your Records

60=180797

ISSUE DATE: 03/12/2007 ISSUE DATE: 03/12/2007

CLAIM SCHEDULE NBR: MA64147E

REIMBURSEMENT OF STATE MANDATED COSTS PLEASE CALL GWEN @916-3242341 FOR QUERIES ABOUT THIS CLAIM. ACL : CH 1/84 PROG : HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION (CC) 2006/2007 ESTIMATED PAYMENT CLAIMED AMT: 400,000.00 TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS: .00 TOTAL APPROVED CLAIMED AMT: 400,000.00 LESS PRIOR PAYMENTS: .00 **PRORATA PERCENT:** 57,953835 PRORATA BALANCE DUE: 168,185.00-APPROVED PAYMENT AMOUNT: 231,815.00 PAYMENT OFFSETS -NONE

NET PAYMENT AMOUNT:

231,815.00

SHETTICHLINCO [84-1] 58-02

THE UACK OF THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS AN ARTIFICIAL WATERMARK . VIEW AT AN ANGLE WARBANT NUMBER NI FO <u>/</u>Δ\Π 60-180797 0 PUND NO. FUND NAME H THE THEASUR IDENTIFICATION NO. THE TREASURER OF THE STATE WILL PAY OUT OF THE 0001 GENERAL FUND MO.I DAY I YR. CC10225 90-1342/1211 6870 03 12 2007 60180797 TO: 180797 1815-00 TREASURER STATE CENTER COMM COLL DIST FRESNO COUNTY 1525 E WELDON FRESNO CA 93704

CALIFORNIA STATE CONTROLLER

HEALTH FE	MANDATED COSTS EALTH FEE ELIMINATION CLAIM SUMMARY				
(01) Claimant:	(02) Type of Claim:	Fiscal Ye			
	Reimbursement	X			
State Center Community College District	Estimated	2006-20			
(03) List all the colleges of the community co		e (03)			
	(a) of College	(b) Claimed Amount			
1. Fresno City College		\$ 58,6			
2. Reedley College		\$ 132,6			
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
0.					
1.					
	e (3.1b) + line (3.2b) + line (3.3b) + …line (3.21b)]	\$ 191,257			

State Controller's Office						C	Community	/ Coli	lege Mand	ated	Cost Manu
PROGRAM		MAND	ATED COSTS	5			· · · · ·			Т	
< <u>734</u>		HEALTH FI	EE ELIMINA	TION							FORM HFE-1.1
		CLAIN	I SUMMARY								nr c- 1.1
(01) Claimant:			(02) Type of	Claim:							Fiscal Year
State Center Community College Dist	rict		Reimt	oursen	nent		X				2006-2007
			Estima	ated							
(03) Name of College:	Fresno City Co										
(04) Indicate with a check mar comparison to the 1986/87 fisc allowed.	k, the level at which al year. If the "Less"	health servic " box is checł	es were prov (ed, STOP, c	ided Io no	during th t complet	e fisc te the	al year c form. N	f reir o rei	mbursem mbursen	ent i 1ent	n is
	LESS	s. L	AME X			MO	RE				
		L_	<u>^_</u>				 Direct Cost	Ind	lirect Cost of:	T	Total
									36.50%		
(05) Cost of Health Services for the F	iscal year of Claim				******	\$	514,359	\$	187,741	\$	702,100
(06) Cost of providing current fiscal ye	ear health services in exc	ess of 1986/87				\$	•	\$	-	\$	-
(07) Cost of providing current fiscal ye [Line (05) - line (06)]	ear health services at 198	6/87 level				\$	514,359	\$	187,741	\$	702,100
(08) Complete Columns (a) through (j) to provide detail data fo	or health fees									
)Collection Period	(a) Number of Full-time Students	(b) Number of Part-time Students	(C) Unit Cost for Full-time Student per Educ. Code §76355	н	(d) Full-time Student ealth Fees (a) x (c)	F St Ec	(e) it Cost for art-time udent per luc. Code \$76355	He	(f) Part-time Student ealth Fees (b) x (e)	Fees Hi	(g) Ident Health s That Could ave Been Collected (d) + (f)
Per Fall Semester				\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per Spring Semester				\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per Summer Session				\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per First Quarter			· · · · · ·	\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per Second Quarter				\$	-			\$		\$	
Per Third Quarter				\$	-			* \$	-	• 	
)9) Total health fee that could have be	en collected:		The sum	n of (L	ine (08)(1)(c) thro	ugh line (0	8)(6)(c)	\$	636,054
0) Subtotal		70 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			[Line (07) -	line (09)]			<u>\$</u>	66,046
ost Reduction										<u> </u>	
Less: Offsetting Savings, if appli	cable									\$	7,405
2) Less: Other Reimbursements, if	applicable										
3) Total Amount Claimed				Line (10) - (line (11) + I	ne (12)}]		•		58.641

Revised 12/05

58,641

State Controller's Office						0	Community	Coll	ege Manda	ited (Cost Manua
PROGRAM MANDATED COSTS								5001			
P34 HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION										FORM HFE-1.1	
		CLAIM	SUMMARY								
(01) Claimant:			(02) Type of (Claim:						F	Fiscal Year
State Center Community College District			Reimb	ursem	ent		X			2	2006-2007
			Estima	ted							
(03) Name of College:	Reedley Colleç	-									
(04) Indicate with a check mark, comparison to the 1986/87 fiscal allowed.	the level at which year. If the "Less'	health service " box is check	es were prov ed, STOP, d	ided o o not	during th complet	e fisc e the	al year o form. N	f reir o rei	nburseme mbursem	ent ir ent i	า S
	LESS		AME X			мо					
							Direct Cost	Ind	irect Cost of: 36.50%		Total
(05) Cost of Health Services for the Fisc	al year of Claim					\$	279,268	\$	101,933	\$	381,201
(06) Cost of providing current fiscal year	health services in exc	ess of 1986/87				\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
(07) Cost of providing current fiscal year [Line (05) - line (06)]	health services at 198	6/87 level				\$	279,268	\$	101,933	\$	381,201
(08) Complete Columns (a) through (g) to	o provide detail data fo	or health fees									
Pollection Period	(a) Number of Full-time Students	(b) Number of Part-time Students	(C) Unit Cost for Full-time Student per Educ. Code §76355	He	(d) Full-time Student alth Fees a) x (c)	F St Ec	(e) it Cost for Part-time udent per luc. Code §76355	He	(f) Part-time Student salth Fees (b) x (e)	Fees Ha C	(g) dent Health That Could ave Been collected (d) + (f)
Per Fall Semester				\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per Spring Semester				\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
Per Summer Session				\$	-			\$	-	\$	-
Per First Quarter			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per Second Quarter				\$	-			\$	-	\$	
Per Third Quarter				\$	-			\$		\$	
: 1 09) Total health fee that could have beer	n collected:		The sum	n of (Lii	ne (08)(1)(c) thro	ugh line (0	8)(6)(c)	¢	047.170
10) Subtotal					Line (07)	· line (09)]				247,170 134,031
Cost Reduction											
1) Less: Offsetting Savings, if applicat	ble							•		\$	1,415
2)	olicable										
3) Total Amount Claimed [Line (10) - {line (11) + line (12)}]											
					,			·		<u> </u>	132,616

Program 234	MAND 1/84 HEALTH COMPONENT/A		FORM HFE-2			
(01) Claimant State Center Col	nmunity College District	(02) Fiscal Year costs were inc		ed: 2006-2007		
(03) Place an "X Service was	' in column (a) and/or (b), as appli s provided by student health servic	cable, to indicate which health the fees for the indicated fiscal year.	(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Claim		
Accident Re	ports		X	Х		
Dermato	ts Physician, surgeon ology, Family practice Medicine		x	×		
Outside Dental S	Physician ervices		X	х		
	Labs, (X-ray, etc.,)					
Cancel/(Register	ogist, full services Change Appointments ed Nurse ppointments		X X X X	X X X X		
Birth Co			x	x		
Lab Rep Nutrition	οπε					
	sults, office		X	X		
Venerea Commur Upper R Eyes, No Eye/Visio Dermato	Disease licable Disease espiratory Infection lise and Throat on logy/Allergy ogy/Pregnancy Service		X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X		
Orthoped Genito/U Dental Gastro-Ir Stress Co Crisis Int Child Abu Substand Acquired Eating Di Weight C Personal Burnout	lic rinary testinal bunseling ervention use Reporting and Counseling e Abuse Identification and Counse Immune Deficiency Syndrome sorders ontrol	eling	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×		
	, minor illnesses Minor Injury		x	x		
Sexually Drugs	or Fairs, Information Fransmitted Disease mmune Deficiency Syndrome se		X X X X X	x x x x		

State of California	Commun College Mandated Cost Manual					
	MANDATED COSTS 1/84 HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION COMPONENT/ACTIVITY COST DETAIL			FORM HFE-2		
(01) Claimant State Center Community College Di	istrict	(02) Fiscal Year costs were incu	urred: 2006-2007			
(03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/ Service was provided by stude	for (b), as applicable, nt health service fee:	to indicate which health s for the indicated fiscal year.	(a) FY 1986/87	(b) FY of Claim		
Birth Control/Family Planni Stop Smoking Library, Videos and Casset	-		X X X	X X X		
First Aid, Major Emergencies First Aid, Minor Emergencies First Aid Kits, Filled			X X X	X X X		
Immunizations Diphtheria/Tetanus Measles/Rubella Influenza Information			X X X	X X X		
Insurance On Campus Accident Voluntary Insurance Inquiry/Claim Adr	ninistration		x x	x x		
Laboratory Tests Done Inquiry/Interpretation Pap Smears						
Physical Examinations Employees Students Athletes			x	x		
Medications Antacids Antidiarrheal Aspirin, Tylenol, etc., Skin Rash Preparations Eye Drops Ear Drops Toothache, oil cloves Stingkill Midol, Menstrual Cramps Other, list>			X X X X X X X X X X X	× × × × × × × × × ×		
Parking Cards/Elevator Keys Tokens Return Card/Key Parking Inquiry Elevator Passes Temporary Handicapped Par	king Permits					

Program MANDATED COSTS FORM 1/84 HEALTH FEE ELIMINATION 234HFE-2 COMPONENT/ACTIVITY COST DETAIL (01) Claimant (02) Fiscal Year costs were incurred: State Center Community College District 2006-2007 (03) Place an "X" in column (a) and/or (b), as applicable, to indicate which health (a) (b) Service was provided by student health service fees for the indicated fiscal year. FY FY 1986/87 of Claim Referrals to Outside Agencies Private Medical Doctor Х Х Health Department Х Х Clinic Х Х Dental Х Х **Counseling Centers** Х Х Crisis Centers Х Х Transitional Living Facilities, battered/homeless women Х Х Family Planning Facilities Х Х Other Health Agencies Х Х Tests **Blood Pressure** Х Х Hearing Х Х Tuberculosis Х Х Reading Х Х Information Х Х Vision Х Х Glucometer Urinalysis Х Х Hemoglobin EKG Strep A Testing PG Testing Monospot Hemacult Others, list Miscellaneous Absence Excuses/PE Waiver Х Х Allergy Injections **Bandaids** Х Х Booklets/Pamphlets Х Х **Dressing Change** Х Х Rest Х Х Suture Removal Х Х Temperature Х Х Weigh Х Х Information Х Х Report/Form Х Х Wart Removal Others, list Committees Safety Х Х Environmental **Disaster Planning**