

#### Gavin Newsom Governor

915 L Street = Sacramento CA = 95814-3706 = www.dof.ca.gov

October 14, 2024



# VIA DROP BOX

Heather Halsey Executive Director Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814

Comments on Draft Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines and on Claimants' Written Comments and Proposed Reasonable Reimbursement Methodologies. San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, Order No. R9-2007-001, Test Claim 07-TC-09-R.

Dear Director Halsey:

On July 27, 2023, the Commission issued a Draft Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines, Schedule for Comments, and Notice of Hearing in the abovecaptioned matter. On March 29, 2024, the Commission approved a written stipulation of the parties to extend the time by six months for claimants to submit written comments and proposed reasonable reimbursement methodologies (RRMs), and a further six months for the Department of Finance (Finance), the Water Boards and the State Controller's Office to review, file comments on and make objections to claimants' comments and proposed RRMs.

Claimants filed comments and the proposed RRMs on February 20, 2024.<sup>1</sup> Comments were also filed by claimants on February 16, 2024.<sup>2</sup> The Commission set a deadline of September 30, 2024, for Finance, the Water Boards and the State Controller's Office to file their comments, and thereafter granted a two-week extension of time to file comments, until October 14, 2024. Finance submits the following comments in opposition to the proposed RRMs and claimants' various arguments and requests.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This filing was submitted by the municipal claimants, which include County of San Diego and the Cites of Carlsbad, Del Mar, Imperial Beach, Lemon Grove, Poway, San Marcos, Santee, Solana Beach, Chula Vista, Coronado, El Cajon, Encinitas, Escondido, La Mesa, National City, Oceanside, San Diego, and Vista.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This filing was submitted by the special district claimants which are the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority and the San Diego Unified Port District.

Finance also joins in the comments filed by the Water Boards.

The Commission should reject the proposed RRMs in their entirety because they fail to meet the statutory requirements for adoption of an RRM and would result in reimbursements in excess of what is required by law. Additionally, the Commission should deny claimants' requests to delete references to Chapter 536, Statutes of 2017 (SB 231), from the proposed decision and should continue to deny the additional reimbursement claimants contend is reasonably necessary for the performance of the mandated activities. And finally, the Commission should not alter its correct conclusion that the special district claimants are ineligible for mandate reimbursement.

Claimants' failure to meet the statutory requirements for the adoption of an RRM demonstrates that, while an RRM may be an appropriate tool in some other circumstance, it is not appropriate for the stormwater permits that are the subject of this mandate claim. As explained below and in the Water Boards' comments, numerous limited-term stormwater permits are issued to a wide variety of permittees with differing sizes, geographic considerations, and other operational issues specific to each permittee. In addition, each permit is individually tailored to each jurisdiction as required by the federal Clean Water Act and many of its requirements change each time a new permit is issued. Finally, only portions of the permit have been deemed reimbursable but those activities may be performed differently by the various permittees, which may have different costs and offsetting revenues. Thus, there is no commonality or consistency that would allow the Commission to fairly balance the presumed simplicity of the proposed RRMs with any assurance of accuracy.

## The Commission Should Reject the Proposed RRMs

## The Parameters and Guidelines Should Require Evidence of Actual Costs

For all of the reasons articulated by the Water Boards and as stated herein, the Commission should reject claimants' proposed RRMs. The statutory requirements of Government Code section 17518.5 have not been satisfied and the Parameters and Guidelines should require claimants to submit evidence of their actual costs. All of the permit's required activities have already been performed, and claimants know the costs that have actually been incurred to implement the permit activities. Only reliance on claimed costs supported by source documents created at or near the time the actual costs were incurred, together with corroborating evidence, will ensure that reimbursement is not in excess of what is required by law.

Furthermore, the evidence shows that claimants may have additional offsetting revenues that must be accounted for. The differences in revenues and costs among the various claimants are reason enough to reject a one-size-fits-all approach to reimbursement. Claimants must submit actual costs claims identifying all offsetting revenues and deduct those revenues from the costs submitted for reimbursement. And the individualized nature of these permits makes it essential to ensure that the State Controller has the opportunity to audit and reduce claims as appropriate.

The Requirements for Adoption of a Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology Have Not Been Met

Pursuant to Government Code section 17557, the Commission may adopt an RRM that balances accuracy with simplicity, and that meets the requirements set forth in Government Code section 17518.5, subdivisions (b) – (d) as follows:

(b) A reasonable reimbursement methodology shall be based on cost information from a representative sample of eligible claimants, information provided by associations of local agencies and school districts, or other projections of local costs.

(c) A reasonable reimbursement methodology shall consider the variation in costs among local agencies and school districts to implement the mandate in a cost-efficient manner.

(d) Whenever possible, a reasonable reimbursement methodology shall be based on general allocation formulas, uniform cost allowances, and other approximations of local costs mandated by the state, rather than detailed documentation of actual local costs. In cases when local agencies and school districts are projected to incur costs to implement a mandate over a period of more than one fiscal year, the determination of a reasonable reimbursement methodology may consider local costs and state reimbursements over a period of greater than one fiscal year, but not exceeding 10 years.

None of the above requirements are met by claimants' proposed RRMs. Claimants do not identify which individual claimants make up a representative sample of eligible claimants. Eligible claimants vary widely in their size, populations, and other characteristics. For example, the City of San Diego has a population of approximately 1.4 million residents compared to the City of Del Mar's population of approximately 4,000 residents. The wide variation in characteristics amongst claimants results in a wide variation in costs.

The proposed RRMs also fail to consider the variation in costs among local agencies and make no specific references to how this variation is accounted for. For example, many of the proposed RRMs' components are based on an individual claimant's percentage share of a "total stormwater budget." There is nothing in the supporting documentation to validate that the proposed percentage share of a total stormwater budget is even generally representative of any historic annual expenditures from any claimant, which could otherwise be determined if actual historic expenditures were provided. Further, the activities included in the category "total stormwater budgets" can vary widely among claimants as to what costs are included or not included, and there is no identification and analysis provided for how the RRMs consider that variation. Crucially, while claimants submitted 14 volumes and 80,000 pages of supporting documents, they did not include sufficient and complete information on the datasets, calculations, and methodologies used to develop the proposed RRMs. Finance was unable to determine which information in the supporting documents was used to develop or inform the RRMs, or which information was excluded and why it was excluded. It does not appear possible to reproduce the methodologies to determine how they were derived, or compare whether they are accurate and representative, and as such, the reimbursement estimates and proposed RRMs cannot be validated.

Moreover, the proposed RRMs do not demonstrate that all of the costs to implement the activities are properly limited to only those costs for the activities that were determined to be reimbursable by the Commission. Adoption of an RRM incorporating reimbursement for unapproved activities would therefore result in reimbursement by the state in excess of what is required by article XIII B, section 6.

## References to SB 231 Should Not Be Deleted

Claimants ask the Commission to delete portions of the proposed decision relating to SB 231, asserting that it is not relevant to the test claim and the proposed decision should not address it. The Commission should deny claimants' request.

SB 231 amended the definition of "sewer" to include stormwater sewers within the meaning of article XIII D. Under SB 231, local governments, including claimants, may use their constitutional police powers to impose stormwater fees on property owners subject only to the voter protest provisions of article XIII D. There are no costs mandated by the state when a local government has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for a mandated program.

SB 231 was central to the question of fee authority in Department of Finance v. Commission on State Mandates (2022) 85 Cal.App.5th 535. Although the court declined to apply it retroactively from the date of its enactment, SB 231 is relevant to this test claim to the extent that permit activities have been performed after December 31, 2017. Finance agrees with the finding in the proposed decision that after December 31, 2017, there are no costs mandated by the state and any reimbursement under this test claim or a subsequent one must end.

## No Additional Reimbursement Should Be Allowed

Claimants assert that additional activities should be reimbursable if the RRMs are not adopted. They again ask the Commission to approve reasonably necessary activities related to the development of policies and procedures for reporting certain activities and for the development of educational programs. The proposed decision analyzed each of these requests and determined that there is not substantial evidence in the record explaining why these activities are necessary to perform the mandate. Claimants continue to argue that these activities should be reimbursable, yet there is still insufficient evidence that they are reasonably necessary to comply with the approved mandated activities. The Commission should therefore reject claimants' request.

Claimants also ask the Commission to allow them to "recover any owed interest from the reimbursements, as well as recoverable legal and expert costs to process the Test Claim." This request must be denied. Nothing in article XIII B, section 6, or any of the implementing statutes or regulations authorize the Commission to allow a claimant to recover interest or costs to pursue their test claim.

# The Special District Claimants are Not Eligible for Mandate Reimbursement

Claimants ask the Commission to reconsider its finding that the special district claimants are not eligible claimants. They argue that the special districts need not be subject to the Constitution's tax-and-spend requirements, that the Airport Authority has the power to levy taxes, and that "equity" requires the special districts receive mandate reimbursement. The Commission should reject each of these arguments.

First, Government Code section 17559 and California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1187.15, provide that the Commission may order a reconsideration of all or part of a test claim on petition of any party, but the Commission's power to order a reconsideration or to amend a test claim decision expires 30 days after the adopted statement of decision has been served. Further, any request for reconsideration must be certified, filed and served in accordance with the Commission's regulations.

Claimants filed no such petition with the Commission following the originally-issued test claim decision finding the special district claimants are not eligible for mandate reimbursement. Instead, claimants attempt to use the process for filing comments at the parameters and guidelines stage to request the Commission reconsider and modify its decision. Claimants' request is untimely and fails to follow the required procedures for requesting the Commission reconsider and modify its adopted test claim decision. The Commission does not have jurisdiction to reconsider and modify its prior final decision and should deny claimants' request.<sup>3</sup>

Second, it is well-settled law that to be eligible for mandate reimbursement, a claimant must be subject to the taxing restrictions of articles XIII A and XIII C of the California Constitution and the spending limits of article XIII B of the California Constitution, and must have incurred increased costs paid from their local proceeds of taxes. For the reasons stated by the Commission, the special district claimants are not eligible for mandate reimbursement and the arguments to the contrary must fail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Nor may claimants seek the modifications by way of a request for a new test claim decision. Under Government Code section 17570, the Commission may adopt a new test claim decision only upon a showing that the state's mandate liability has been modified based on a subsequent change in law.

Finally, claimants argue that principles of equity require they receive mandate reimbursement. However, eligibility for mandate reimbursement is a question of law and not equity. The Commission must therefore find, as a matter of law, that the special district claimants are not eligible for mandate reimbursement.

# Conclusion

For all of the reasons set forth above, Finance urges the Commission to deny claimants' proposed RRMs and to require claimants to submit evidence of actual costs consistent with the Commission's Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines. The Commission should also reject claimants' requests to delete references to SB 231, to allow additional reimbursable activities where there is insufficient evidence they are necessary, and to allow the special district claimants, with no taxing and spending authority, to receive mandate reimbursement. Finance urges the Commission to reject the framework of an RRM as a suitable approach to mandate reimbursement in this and future stormwater permit mandates.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge, information, or belief.

Sincerely,

Teresa Calvert

TERESA CALVERT Program Budget Manager

# **DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL**

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On October 14, 2024, I served the:

- Current Mailing List dated September 26, 2024
- Finance's Comments on the Draft Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines filed October 14, 2024

San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R9-2007-0001, Permit CAS0108758, Parts D.3.a.(3)(b)(iii), D.5.a.(1), D.5.a.(2), D.5.b.(1)(a), D.5.b.(1)(b)(iii-vi), D.5.b.(1)(c), D.5.b.(1)(d), D.5.b.(2), D.5.b.(3), E.2.f., E.2.g., F.1., F.2., F.3., I.1., I.2., I.5., J.3.a.(3)(c)(iv)-(viii), (x)-(xv), the first sentence of L.1. as it applies to the newly mandated activities, and L.1.a.(3)-(6), 07-TC-09-R County of San Diego, Cites of Carlsbad, Del Mar, Imperial Beach, Lemon Grove, Poway, San Marcos, Santee, Solana Beach, Chula Vista, Coronado, Del Mar, El Cajon, Encinitas, Escondido, Imperial Beach, La Mesa, Lemon Grove, National City, Oceanside, San Diego, and Vista, Claimants

by making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on October 14, 2024 at Sacramento, California.

David Chavez Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 323-3562

# **COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES**

# **Mailing List**

Last Updated: 9/26/24

Claim Number: 07-TC-09-R

Matter: San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R9-2007-0001 Permit CAS0108758 Parts D.1.d.(7)-(8), D.1.g., D.3.a.(3), D.3.a.(5), D.5, E.2.f, E.2.g, F.1, F.2, F.3, I.1, I.2, I.5, J.3.a.(3)(c)iv-viii & x-xv, and L.

Claimants: City of Carlsbad City of Chula Vista City of Del Mar City of Encinitas City of Escondido City of Imperial Beach City of La Mesa City of Lemon Grove City of National City City of Oceanside City of Poway City of San Diego City of San Marcos City of Santee

# TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

City of Solana Beach

City of Vista

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

Adaoha Agu, County of San Diego Auditor & Controller Department Projects, Revenue and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Avenue, Ste. 410, MS:O-53, San Diego, CA 92123 Phone: (858) 694-2129 Adaoha.Agu@sdcounty.ca.gov

**Tiffany Allen**, Treasury Manager, *City of Chula Vista* **Claimant Contact** Finance Department, 276 Fourth Avenue, Chula Vista, CA 91910 Phone: (619) 691-5250 tallen@chulavistaca.gov

Rachelle Anema, Division Chief, *County of Los Angeles* Accounting Division, 500 W. Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 974-8321 RANEMA@auditor.lacounty.gov

**Donna Apar**, Finance Director, *City of San Marcos* **Claimant Contact** 1 Civic Center Drive, San Marcos, CA 92069 Phone: (760) 744-1050 dapar@san-marcos.net

Lili Apgar, Specialist, *State Controller's Office* Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-0254 lapgar@sco.ca.gov

Socorro Aquino, State Controller's Office Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 322-7522 SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Aaron Avery, Legislative Representative, *California Special Districts* Association 1112 I Street Bridge, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 442-7887 Aarona@csda.net

**Ginni Bella Navarre**, Deputy Legislative Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office* 925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 319-8342 Ginni.Bella@lao.ca.gov **Cindy Black**, City Clerk, *City of St. Helena* 1480 Main Street, St. Helena, CA 94574 Phone: (707) 968-2742 ctzafopoulos@cityofsthelena.org

# Jonathan Borrego, City Manager, *City of Oceanside* Claimant Contact 300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054

Phone: (760) 435-3065 citymanager@oceansideca.org

# Molly Brennan, Director of Finance, *City of National City* Claimant Contact 1243 National City Blvd., National City, CA 91950 Phone: (619) 336-4330 finance@nationalcityca.gov

## Serena Bubenheim, Assistant Chief Financial Officer, City of Huntington

Beach 2000 Main Street, Huntington Beach, CA 92648 Phone: (714) 536-5630 serena.bubenheim@surfcity-hb.org

### Guy Burdick, Consultant, MGT Consulting

2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815 Phone: (916) 833-7775 gburdick@mgtconsulting.com

## Allan Burdick,

7525 Myrtle Vista Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95831 Phone: (916) 203-3608 allanburdick@gmail.com

Shelby Burguan, Budget Manager, *City of Newport Beach* 100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660 Phone: (949) 644-3085 sburguan@newportbeachca.gov

**Rica Mae Cabigas**, Chief Accountant, *Auditor-Controller* Accounting Division, 500 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 974-8309 rcabigas@auditor.lacounty.gov

**Evelyn Calderon-Yee**, Bureau Chief, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-5919 ECalderonYee@sco.ca.gov

**Sheri Chapman**, General Counsel, *League of California Cities* 1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 658-8267 schapman@calcities.org

Annette Chinn, Cost Recovery Systems, Inc. 705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294, Folsom, CA 95630 Phone: (916) 939-7901 achinners@aol.com

**Carolyn Chu**, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office* 925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 319-8326 Carolyn.Chu@lao.ca.gov

Michael Coleman, Coleman Advisory Services 2217 Isle Royale Lane, Davis, CA 95616 Phone: (530) 758-3952 coleman@muni1.com

Erika Cortez, Administrative Services Director, *City of Imperial Beach* Claimant Contact 825 Imperial Beach Boulevard, Imperial Beach, CA 91932 Phone: (619) 423-8303 ecortez@imperialbeachca.gov

Eric Dargan, Chief Operating Officer, *City of San Diego* Claimant Contact City Hall, 202 C Street, Suite 901A, San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (858) 236-5587 Edargan@sandiego.gov

**Thomas Deak**, Senior Deputy, *County of San Diego* **Claimant Representative** Office of County Counsel, 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355, San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 531-4810 Thomas.Deak@sdcounty.ca.gov

**Margaret Demauro**, Finance Director, *Town of Apple Valley* 14955 Dale Evans Parkway, Apple Valley, CA 92307 Phone: (760) 240-7000 mdemauro@applevalley.org **Tracy Drager**, Auditor and Controller, *County of San Diego* **Claimant Contact** 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 166, San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 531-5413 tracy.drager@sdcounty.ca.gov

Eric Feller, Commission on State Mandates 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-3562 eric.feller@csm.ca.gov

**Donna Ferebee**, *Department of Finance* 915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-8918 donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov

**Tim Flanagan**, Office Coordinator, *Solano County* Register of Voters, 678 Texas Street, Suite 2600, Fairfield, CA 94533 Phone: (707) 784-3359 Elections@solanocounty.com

Jennifer Fordyce, Assistant Chief Counsel, State Water Resources Control Board Office of Chief Counsel, 1001 I Street, 22nd floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 324-6682 Jennifer.Fordyce@waterboards.ca.gov

David Gibson, Executive Officer, San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board 9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92123-4340 Phone: (858) 467-2952 dgibson@waterboards.ca.gov

Juliana Gmur, Commission on State Mandates 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-3562 juliana.gmur@csm.ca.gov

# Catherine George Hagan, Senior Staff Counsel, State Water Resources

Control Board c/o San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92108 Phone: (619) 521-3012 catherine.hagan@waterboards.ca.gov Shawn Hagerty, *Best Best & Krieger, LLP* San Diego Office, 655 West Broadway, 15th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 525-1300 Shawn.Hagerty@bbklaw.com

Heather Halsey, Executive Director, *Commission on State Mandates* 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-3562 heather.halsey@csm.ca.gov

Chris Hill, Principal Program Budget Analyst, *Department of Finance* Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-3274 Chris.Hill@dof.ca.gov

**Tiffany Hoang**, Associate Accounting Analyst, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-1127 THoang@sco.ca.gov

Christina Holmes, Director of Finance, *City of Escondido* Claimant Contact 201 North Broadway, Escondido, CA 92025 Phone: (760) 839-4676 cholmes@escondido.org

Rachel Jacobs, Finance Director/Treasurer, *City of Solana Beach* Claimant Contact 635 South Highway 101, Solana Beach, CA 92075-2215 Phone: (858) 720-2463

rjacobs@cosb.org

Heather Jennings, Director of Finance, *City of Santee* Claimant Contact 10601 Magnolia Avenue, Building #3, Santee, CA 92071 Phone: (619) 258-4100 hjennings@cityofsanteeca.gov

Jason Jennings, Director, *Maximus Consulting* Financial Services, 808 Moorefield Park Drive, Suite 205, Richmond, VA 23236 Phone: (804) 323-3535 SB90@maximus.com Angelo Joseph, Supervisor, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-0706 AJoseph@sco.ca.gov

Anita Kerezsi, *AK & Company* 2425 Golden Hill Road, Suite 106, Paso Robles, CA 93446 Phone: (805) 239-7994 akcompanysb90@gmail.com

Joanne Kessler, Fiscal Specialist, *City of Newport Beach* Revenue Division, 100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 90266 Phone: (949) 644-3199 jkessler@newportbeachca.gov

# Zach Korach, Finance Director, *City of Carlsbad* Claimant Contact 1635 Faraday Ave., Carlsbad, CA 92008 Phone: (442) 339-2127

zach.korach@carlsbadca.gov

Kari Krogseng, Chief Counsel, *Department of Finance* 1021 O Street, Suite 3110, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 322-0971 Kari.Krogseng@dof.ca.gov

Lisa Kurokawa, Bureau Chief for Audits, *State Controller's Office* Compliance Audits Bureau, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 327-3138 lkurokawa@sco.ca.gov

**Michael Lauffer**, Chief Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board* 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814-2828 Phone: (916) 341-5183 michael.lauffer@waterboards.ca.gov

Eric Lawyer, Legislative Advocate, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)* Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 650-8112 elawyer@counties.org

**Kim-Anh Le**, Deputy Controller, *County of San Mateo* 555 County Center, 4th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 599-1104 kle@smcgov.org

Fernando Lemus, Principal Accountant - Auditor, *County of Los Angeles* Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 974-0324 flemus@auditor.lacounty.gov

Erika Li, Chief Deputy Director, *Department of Finance* 915 L Street, 10th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-3274 erika.li@dof.ca.gov

**Diego Lopez**, Consultant, *Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee* 1020 N Street, Room 502, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 651-4103 Diego.Lopez@sen.ca.gov

**Everett Luc**, Accounting Administrator I, Specialist, *State Controller's Office* 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-0766 ELuc@sco.ca.gov

Jill Magee, Program Analyst, *Commission on State Mandates* 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-3562 Jill.Magee@csm.ca.gov

**Darryl Mar**, Manager, *State Controller's Office* 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-0706 DMar@sco.ca.gov

**Tim McDermott**, Director of Finance, *City of Poway* 13325 Civic Center Drive, Poway, CA 92064 Phone: (858) 668-4411 tmcdermott@poway.org

**Tina McKendell**, *County of Los Angeles* Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 974-0324 tmckendell@auditor.lacounty.gov

Michelle Mendoza, *MAXIMUS* 17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403 Phone: (949) 440-0845 michellemendoza@maximus.com

Monica Molina, Finance Manager/Treasurer, City of Del Mar

Claimant Contact 1050 Camino Del Mar, Del Mar, CA 92014 Phone: (858) 755-9354 mmolina@delmar.ca.us

Jill Moya, Financial Services Director, *City of Oceanside* 300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054 Phone: (760) 435-3887 jmoya@oceansideca.org

Marilyn Munoz, Senior Staff Counsel, *Department of Finance* 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-8918 Marilyn.Munoz@dof.ca.gov

Tim Nash, Director of Finance, *City of Encinitas* Claimant Contact 505 S Vulcan Avenue, Encinitas, CA 92054 Phone: N/A finmail@encinitasca.gov

Kaleb Neufeld, Assistant Controller, *City of Fresno* 2600 Fresno Street, Fresno, CA 93721 Phone: (559) 621-2489 Kaleb.Neufeld@fresno.gov

Andy Nichols, Nichols Consulting 1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819 Phone: (916) 455-3939 andy@nichols-consulting.com

**Dale Nielsen**, Director of Finance/Treasurer, *City of Vista* **Claimant Contact** Finance Department, 200 Civic Center Drive, Vista, CA 92084 Phone: (760) 726-1340 dnielsen@ci.vista.ca.us

Adriana Nunez, Staff Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board* Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 322-3313 Adriana.Nunez@waterboards.ca.gov **Eric Oppenheimer**, Executive Director, *State Water Resources Control Board* 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814-2828 Phone: (916) 341-5615 eric.oppenheimer@waterboards.ca.gov

**Frederick Ortlieb**, Senior Deputy City Attorney, *City of San Diego* 1200 Third Avenue, 11th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 236-6318 fortlieb@sandiego.gov

**Patricia Pacot**, Accountant Auditor I, *County of Colusa* Office of Auditor-Controller, 546 Jay Street, Suite #202, Colusa, CA 95932 Phone: (530) 458-0424 ppacot@countyofcolusa.org

Arthur Palkowitz, *Law Offices of Arthur M. Palkowitz* 12807 Calle de la Siena, San Diego, CA 92130 Phone: (858) 259-1055 law@artpalk.onmicrosoft.com

Kirsten Pangilinan, Specialist, *State Controller's Office* Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 322-2446 KPangilinan@sco.ca.gov

Helen Holmes Peak, Lounsbery Ferguson Altona & Peak, LLP 960 Canterbury Place, Ste. 300, Escondido, CA 92025 Phone: (760) 743-1201 hhp@lfap.com

**Brian Pierik**, *Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP* 2310 East Ponderosa Drive, Suite 25, Camarillo, CA 93010-4747 Phone: (805) 987-3468 bpierik@bwslaw.com

Johnnie Pina, Legislative Policy Analyst, *League of Cities* 1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 658-8214 jpina@cacities.org

Jai Prasad, County of San Bernardino Office of Auditor-Controller, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018 Phone: (909) 386-8854 jai.prasad@sbcountyatc.gov Jonathan Quan, Associate Accountant, *County of San Diego* Projects, Revenue, and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Ave, Suite 410, San Diego, CA 92123 Phone: 6198768518 Jonathan.Quan@sdcounty.ca.gov

**Roberta Raper**, Director of Finance, *City of West Sacramento* 1110 West Capitol Ave, West Sacramento, CA 95691 Phone: (916) 617-4509 robertar@cityofwestsacramento.org

David Rice, State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 341-5161 david.rice@waterboards.ca.gov

Marco Rodriguez, Accounting Analyst, *City of Lemon Grove* 3232 Main Street, Lemon Grove, CA 91945 Phone: (619) 825-3822 mrodriguez@lemongrove.ca.gov

Lydia Romero, City Manager, *City of Lemon Grove* Claimant Contact 3232 Main Street, Lemon Grove, CA 91945 Phone: (619) 825-3819 Iromero@lemongrove.ca.gov

Tammi Royales, Director of Finance, *City of La Mesa* Claimant Contact 8130 Allison Avenue, PO Box 937, La Mesa, CA 91944-0937 Phone: (619) 463-6611 findir@cityoflamesa.us

Jessica Sankus, Senior Legislative Analyst, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)* Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 327-7500 jsankus@counties.org

Alex Sauerwein, Attorney, *State Water Resources Control Board* San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 327-8581 Alex.Sauerwein@waterboards.ca.gov **Cindy Sconce**, Director, *Government Consulting Partners* 5016 Brower Court, Granite Bay, CA 95746 Phone: (916) 276-8807 cindysconcegcp@gmail.com

**Carla Shelton**, Senior Legal Analyst, *Commission on State Mandates* 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-3562 carla.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Camille Shelton, Chief Legal Counsel, *Commission on State Mandates* 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-3562 camille.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Wayne Shimabukuro, County of San Bernardino Auditor/Controller-Recorder-Treasurer-Tax Collector, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018 Phone: (909) 386-8850 wayne.shimabukuro@atc.sbcounty.gov

# Natalie Sidarous, Chief, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: 916-445-8717

NSidarous@sco.ca.gov

# Paul Steenhausen, Principal Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's

Office 925 L Street, Suite 1000, , Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 319-8303 Paul.Steenhausen@lao.ca.gov

Julie Testa, Vice Mayor, *City of Pleasanton* 123 Main Street PO Box520, Pleasanton, CA 94566 Phone: (925) 872-6517 Jtesta@cityofpleasantonca.gov

Jolene Tollenaar, *MGT Consulting Group* 2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815 Phone: (916) 243-8913 jolenetollenaar@gmail.com

**Brian Uhler**, Principal Fiscal & Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office* 925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8328 Brian.Uhler@LAO.CA.GOV

Matthew Vespi, Chief Financial Officer, *City of San Diego* 202 C Street, 9th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 236-6218 mvespi@sandiego.gov

**Emel Wadhwani**, Senior Staff Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board* Office of Chief Counsel, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 322-3622 emel.wadhwani@waterboards.ca.gov

Ada Waelder, Legislative Analyst, Government Finance and Administration, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)* 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 327-7500 awaelder@counties.org

Renee Wellhouse, *David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc.* 3609 Bradshaw Road, H-382, Sacramento, CA 95927 Phone: (916) 797-4883 dwa-renee@surewest.net

Adam Whelen, Director of Public Works, *City of Anderson* 1887 Howard St., Anderson, CA 96007 Phone: (530) 378-6640 awhelen@ci.anderson.ca.us

**Colleen Winchester**, Senior Deputy City Attorney, *City of San Jose* 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113 Phone: (408) 535-1987 Colleen.Winchester@sanjoseca.gov

**R. Matthew Wise**, Supervising Deputy Attorney General, *Department of Justice* Attorney General's Office, 1300 I Street, Suite 125, PO Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Phone: (916) 210-6046 Matthew.Wise@doj.ca.gov

Yuri Won, Attorney, Office of Chief Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board* San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 327-4439 Yuri.Won@waterboards.ca.gov Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez, Deputy Executive Director for Legislative Affairs, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)* 1100 K Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 650-8104 jwong-hernandez@counties.org

**Elisa Wynne**, Staff Director, *Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee* California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 651-4103 elisa.wynne@sen.ca.gov

Kaily Yap, Budget Analyst, *Department of Finance* Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-3274 Kaily.Yap@dof.ca.gov

Helmholst Zinser-Watkins, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-7876 HZinser-watkins@sco.ca.gov