

PROPOSED PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

Modified Primary Election
(01-TC-13)

Elections Code Sections 2001, 2151, 13102, 13202, 13230, 13300, 13301 and 13302
Statutes 2000, Chapter 898 (SB 28)

County of Orange, Claimant

I. SUMMARY OF THE MANDATE

In 1996, the California voters approved Proposition 198, "The Open Primary Act", which would have established the open primary for the State of California, by allowing anyone, regardless of party affiliation, to vote in the primary held of any party. That proposition was found unconstitutional by the United States Supreme Court in *California Democratic Party v. Jones* (2000) 530 U.S. 567.

After the proposition was declared unconstitutional, the legislature passed Chapter 989, Statutes of 2000, chaptered on September 29, 2000. It mainly restored the language in the law that was in existence prior to the passage of Proposition 198. However, by amending a few elections code sections, it altered the prior closed primary system, it allowed those voters who decline to state a political party affiliation to choose any political party's partisan primary ballot, if that political party allowed it.

On July 28, 2006, the Commission on State Mandates found that the above referenced test claim constituted a partially reimbursable mandate for the following new activities:

- Add information to the voter registration card stating that voters who declined to state a party affiliation shall be entitled to vote a party ballot if the political party, by party rule duly noticed to the Secretary of State, authorizes such a person to do so. (Elec. Code, § 2151.)
- Allow voters who declined to state a party affiliation to vote a party ballot if the political party, by party rule duly noticed to the Secretary of State, authorizes such a person to do so. (Elec. Code, §§ 2151 and 13102, subd. (b).)

II. ELIGIBLE CLAIMANTS

Any county, or city and county that incurs increased costs as a result of this reimbursable state-mandated program is eligible to claim reimbursement of those costs.

III. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT

Government Code section 17557 states that a test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year. The test claim for this mandate was filed by the test claimant, County of Orange, on April 18, 2002. Therefore, the period of reimbursement begins September 29, 2000, the date of enactment.

Actual costs for one fiscal year shall be included in each claim. Estimated costs for the subsequent year may be included on the same claim, if applicable. Pursuant to Government Code section 17561, subdivision (d)(1)(A), all claims for reimbursement of initial fiscal year costs shall be submitted to the State Controller within 120 days of the issuance date for the claiming instructions.

If the total costs for a given year do not exceed \$1,000, no reimbursement shall be allowed, except as otherwise allowed by Government Code section 17564.

IV. REIMBURSABLE ACTIVITIES

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any given fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, calendars, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct," and must further comply with the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 2015.5. Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise reported in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below.

For each eligible claimant, the following activities are eligible for reimbursement:

A. One-Time Activities

1. Conducted meetings in order to obtain information from the Secretary of State as to which political parties allowed voters who have not designated their political party to vote in primary elections of given political parties.
2. Had meetings with the elections department in order to ascertain what activities were necessary to implement the legislation.
3. Developed new internal policies and procedures.
4. Redesigned and republished the sample ballot and absentee voter application.
5. Redesigned and implemented new election software.
6. Informed and trained poll workers regarding the voting options for the decline to state voter.
7. Provided specialized official ballots for the decline to state voter at each poll site.

B. On-Going Activities

1. Notify every permanent voter who is registered as a decline to state voter that they have an option to vote a partisan ballot as long as that political party has agreed.
2. Hand process absentee voter requests.
3. Provide postage paid post card for the permanent absent voter decline to state voter to indicate which partisan absentee ballot they would like sent to them.
4. Enter the requested partisan ballot information from the post card into the computer software database.
5. Send to each voter a sample ballot containing the information regarding the options available to the decline to state voters.
6. Inform and train poll workers regarding the options for the decline to state voter.
7. Provide specialized official ballots for the decline to state voter at each poll site.

V. CLAIM PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION

Each of the following cost elements must be identified for the reimbursable activities identified in section IV of this document. Each reimbursable cost must be supported by source documentation as described in section IV. Additionally, each reimbursement claim must be filed in a timely manner.

A. Direct Cost Reporting

Direct costs are those costs incurred specifically for reimbursable activities. The following direct costs are eligible for reimbursement.

1. Salaries and Benefits

Report each employee implementing the reimbursable activities by name, job classification, and productive hourly rate (total wages and related benefits divided by productive hours). Describe the specific reimbursable activities performed and the hours devoted to each reimbursable activity performed.

2. Materials and Supplies

Report the cost of materials and supplies that have been consumed or expended for the purpose of the reimbursable activities. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting discounts, rebates, and allowances received by the claimant. Supplies that are withdrawn from inventory shall be charged on an appropriate and recognized method of costing, consistently applied.

3. Contracted Services

Report the name of the contractor and services performed to implement the reimbursable activities. If the contractor bills for time and materials, report the number of hours spent on the activities and all costs charged. If the contract is a fixed price, report the services that were performed during the period covered by the reimbursement claim. If the contract services were also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the services used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed. Submit contract consultant and invoices with the claim and a description of the contract scope of services.

4. Fixed Assets and Equipment

Report the purchase price paid for fixed assets and equipment (including computers) necessary to implement the reimbursable activities. The purchase price includes taxes, delivery costs, and installation costs. If the fixed asset or equipment is also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the purchase price used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed.

5. Travel

Report the name of the employee traveling for the purpose of the reimbursable activities. Include the date of travel, destination point, the specific reimbursable activity requiring travel, and related travel expenses reimbursed to the employee in compliance with the rules of the local jurisdiction. Report employee travel time according to the rules of cost element A.1, Salaries and Benefits, for each applicable reimbursable activity.

B. Indirect Cost Rates

Indirect costs are costs that are incurred for a common or joint purpose, benefiting more than one program, and are not directly assignable to a particular department or program without efforts disproportionate to the result achieved. Indirect costs may include (1) the overhead costs of the unit performing the mandate; and (2) the costs of the central government services distributed to the other departments based on a systematic and rational basis through a cost allocation plan.

Compensation for indirect costs is eligible for reimbursement utilizing the procedure provided in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87. Claimants have the option of using 10% of labor, excluding fringe benefits, or preparing an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) if the indirect cost rate claimed exceeds 10%.

If the claimant chooses to prepare an ICRP, both the direct costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 Attachments A and B) and the indirect shall exclude capital expenditures and unallowable costs (as defined and described in OMB A-87 Attachments A and B.) However, unallowable costs must be included in the direct costs if they represent activities to which indirect costs are properly allocable.

The distributions base may be (1) total direct costs (excluding capital expenditures and other distorting items, such as pass-through funds, major subcontracts, etc.), (2) direct salaries and wages, or (3) another base which results in an equitable distribution.

In calculating an ICRP, the claimant shall have the choice of one of the following methodologies:

1. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 Attachments A and B) shall be accomplished by (1) classifying a department's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect, and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate which is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage which the total amount allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected; or
2. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 Attachments A and B) shall be accomplished by (1) separate a department into groups, such as divisions or sections, and then classifying the division's or section's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect, and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate that is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage which the total amount allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected.

VI. RECORDS RETENTION

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter¹ is subject to the initiation of an audit by the State Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

VII. OFFSETTING SAVINGS AND REIMBURSEMENTS

Any offsetting savings the claimant experiences in the same program as a result of the same statutes or executive orders found to contain the mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate received from any of the following sources shall be identified and deducted from this claim:

1. Fees authorized to be charged and collected by the Legislature. Presently, the fees that are authorized to be collected are: 1) \$0 for facilities which serve six or fewer persons; 2) \$50 for facilities with a capacity to serve seven to 25 persons; and 3) \$100 for facilities with a capacity to serve 26 or more persons. In the event that the Legislature shall enact legislation which either increases or decreases the fee authority, such legislation shall control and will not necessitate an amendment to these parameters and guidelines unless the activities to be performed are amended as well.
2. Any other reimbursement received from the federal or state government, or other non-local source.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558, subdivision (c), the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement not later than 60 days after receiving the parameters and guidelines from the Commission, to assist local agencies in claiming costs to be reimbursed. The claiming instructions shall be derived from the test claim decision and the parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17561, subdivision (d)(2), issuance of the claiming instructions shall constitute a notice of the right of local agencies to file reimbursement claims, based upon parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

IX. REMEDIES BEFORE THE COMMISSION

¹ This refers to Title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

Upon the request of a local agency or school district, the Commission shall review the claiming instructions issued by the State Controller or any other authorized state agency for reimbursement of mandated costs pursuant to Government Code section 17571. If the Commission determines that the claiming instructions do not conform to the parameters and guidelines, the Commission shall direct the Controller to modify the claiming instructions to conform to the parameters and guidelines as directed by the Commission.

In addition, requests may be made to amend parameters and guidelines pursuant to Government Code section 17557, subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.2.

X. LEGAL AND FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

The Statement of Decision is legally binding on all parties and provides the legal and factual basis for the parameters and guidelines. The support for the legal and factual findings is found in the administrative record for the test claim. The administrative record, including the Statement of Decision, is on file with the Commission.


PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento, and I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 4320 Auburn Blvd., Suite 2000, Sacramento, CA 95841.

On August 25, 2006, I served Proposed Parameters and Guidelines, *Modified Primary Election*.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed this 25th day of August, 2006, at Sacramento, California.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Archie Hall", written over a horizontal line.

Declarant