

KATHLEEN CONNELL Controller of the State of California

February 20, 2002

RECEIVED

Shirley Opie, Assistant Executive Director Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

Re:

Incorrect Reduction Claims
Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence
Davis Joint Unified School District, CSM 01-4136-I-047
Education Code Section 35160.5
Statutes of 1983, Chapter 498

Dear Ms. Opie:

This letter constitutes the Controller's Office response to the above-entitled incorrect reduction claim. It appears that the issues involved in the IRCs received recently concerning this mandate are identical. Therefore, the analysis in the IRCs numbered CSM 01-4136-I-041 through CSM 01-4136-I-047 is identical. However, the responses are being submitted under separate cover to facilitate record keeping, and because the supporting documentation is slightly different in each case.

In the end, the outcome of these IRCs comes down to the terms of the Parameters & Guidelines. The Parameters & Guidelines simply do not provide for reimbursement of the wages of probationary teachers. They provide for costs related to probationary teachers such as: (1) Training, assisting, and evaluating probationary teachers (over and above that usually provided to permanent teachers); (2) Training materials and clerical services; (3) Registration fees and travel costs to attend training activities; (4) Costs of substitute teachers so that probationary teachers may attend training sessions; (5) Costs of consultants to train and assist probationary teachers, if needed. Notably absent is any reference to the salaries of probationary teachers. Since there is no provision in the Parameters & Guidelines for the reimbursement of probationary teachers salaries, these IRCs should be denied.

Claimant's reliance on the *Physical Performance* and *American Government Course Document Requirements* Parameters & Guidelines is misplaced. Although reference to other Parameters & Guidelines may be appropriate when attempting to interpret a phrase of a Parameter & Guideline, claimant is attempting to add language in this case, rather than merely interpret it. The appropriate process for that type of change would be an amendment to the Parameters & Guidelines. In fact, Stockton Unified School District submitted such a request on April 4, 1995 (Exhibit 1). Apparently, they believed that the Parameters & Guidelines did not properly address probationary teachers salaries. However, that request was ultimately withdrawn before it was ever heard (Exhibit 2). Claimant should not be allowed to circumvent the required procedures for an amendment by using an IRC to add language to a set of Parameters & Guidelines, therefore their request should be denied.

Please find attached the analysis of the Division of Accounting and Reporting (Exhibit 3), as well as relevant supporting documentation, with declaration (Exhibit 4). Exhibits referred to in DAR's analysis are either included or have already been exchanged between the parties.

Sincerely,

SHAWN D. SILVA

Dhawn D. Silva

Staff Counsel

cc: Stephen Smith



STOCKTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

BUSINESS SERVICES

701 NORTH MADISON STREET • STOCKTON, CA 95202-1687 (209) 953-4124 • FAX (209) 953-4477

JOSE A. BERNARDO CHARLES D. BLOCH VICIO BRANI LOUIG GONZALE: CLEUIG. LEI FRANK E. CROZZO JAMES L. LINEAN

April 4, 1995

7/23/95

RECEIVED

APR 0 5 1995

CUIVIIVII STATE MANDATES

Ms. Shelly Mateo
Interim Executive Director
Commission on State Mandates
1414 K Street, Suite 315
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Mateo:

Pursuant to Title 2, California Code of Regulations, Section 1183.2 enclosed is our district's request to amend the parameters and guidelines for the Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence mandate. This mandate was enacted by Chapter 498/Statutes of 1983.

We have enclosed a narrative outlining the reasons the amendment is required as well as proposed amended parameters and guidelines. The proposed changes to the current parameters and guidelines have been underlined.

If you have any questions, please contact our consultant, Steve Smith of Mandate Cost Systems at (916) 487-4435.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Morma Measns

Sincerely,

Norma E. Mearns Director of Budget

NEM:cmb

enclosure:

Justification for Amendment to the Parameters & Guidelines for Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence Chapter 498/Statutes of 1983

The current Parameters & Guidelines for Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence, Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983 do not address whether the time spent by probationary teachers receiving training, assistance or evaluation, over and above that usually provided to permanent teachers, is claimable.

We have specifically identified the following activities/costs as new programs implemented to comply with the requirements of this mandate. The increased activities required of probationary teachers as a result of this mandate are:

- Probationary teacher time spent attending district sponsored training sessions that are provided specifically for probationary teachers. These sessions take place after school and prior to the start of the school year.
- 2) Probationary teacher time spent receiving assistance or training on a weekly or monthly basis, from district employees as part of the district's probationary teacher training & assistance program.

The district sponsored training sessions prior to the start of school represents a new program because most districts bring in their probationary teachers one or two days earlier than their permanent teacher to orientate them to the district and provide training specific to their needs. This is accomplished by requiring that probationary teachers work 185 day years instead of the 183 day year required of permanent teachers.

Likewise, after school district sponsored training sessions and one-on-one training received from employees with assigned responsibilities to train and assist probationary teachers represents a new program because it takes probationary teachers away from other duties that they perform outside the classroom.

Precedents in other claims

Precedents in other claims exist that provide reimbursement for time spent receiving training. These include:

The Emergency Procedures, Earthquakes and Disasters claim, Chapter 1659/Statutes of 1984 allows reimbursement for "the costs by the district of employees attending these meetings to receive instruction" (on earthquake and disaster procedures).

- The Collective Bargaining claim, Chapter 961/Statutes of 1975 allows reimbursement for "reasonable costs incurred for a reasonable number of training sessions held for supervisory and management personnel regarding contract administration and the interpretation of the negotiated contract".
- The Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence, Chapter 498/Statutes of 1983 allows reimbursement for "time of district administrators spent in certification training excluding classroom observation"
- 4) District employee time receiving various training are also listed as reimbursable under the
 - a) Mandate Reimbursement Process claim, Chapter 1459/Statutes of 1984.
 - b) Credential Monitoring claim, Chapter 1376/Statutes of 1987 and
 - c) AIDS Instruction, Chapter 818/Statutes of 1991

Restrictions

We have identified other time spent by probationary teachers attributable to this mandate, however based on precedents from other claims are not requesting reimbursement for them. These are listed below and should be identified as restrictions.

- 1) In-classroom probationary teacher receiving hands-on training (In classroom teacher time restriction from the Emergency Procedures, Earthquakes & Disasters Chapter 1659/States of 1984 and AIDS Instruction, Chapter 818/Statutes of 1991)
- 2) In cases where substitutes are provided, the district can only claim the substitute cost.

Adopted: 4/24/86 Amended: 1/24/91

WP 1080A

PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

Education Code Section 35160.5 Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983

Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence

I. Summary of Mandate

In enacting Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983 the Legislature required each school district and county office of education to adopt rules and regulations; to certify that personnel assigned to evaluate teachers have demonstrated specified competence in instructional methodologies and in the evaluation of teachers; to ensure that each probationary teacher was assigned to a school with assurances that his or her status as a new teacher and his or her potential needs for training, assistance, and evaluations will be recognized by the district or county office of education; and to establish policies and procedures which parents or guardians of pupils enrolled in the district may use to present complaints regarding employees of the district and to provide for appropriate mechanisms to respond to, and where possible resolve, the complaints.

II. Commission on State Mandates Decision

A. The Commission found that Education Code section 35160.5, as added by Statutes of 1983, Chapter 498 constitutes a reimbursable state mandate. Furthermore, the Commission found that only the activities necessary to implement section 35160.5 constitute a higher level of service pursuant to Government Code section 17514 and are, therefore, reimbursable.

- B. The Commission determined that only the higher level of service required by section 35160.5 in each school district or county office of education is reimbursable. Those activities and functions already performed prior to the effective date of section 35160.5 do not constitute a higher level of service and are therefore not reimbursable.
- C. The finding of a reimbursable state mandate does not mean that all increased costs claimed will be reimbursed. Reimbursement, if any, is subject to Commission approval of parameters and guidelines for reimbursement of the claim, and a statewide cost estimate; legislative appropriation; a timely-filed claim for reimbursement; and subsequent review of the claim by the State Controller.

III. Eligible Claimants

All school districts and county offices of education as defined by Revenue and Taxation Code section 2208.5, that incurred mandated costs as result of implementing Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983, Education Code section 35160.5.

IV. Period of Reimbursement

All costs incurred on or after July 28, 1983. If total costs for a given fiscal year total less than \$200 no reimbursement shall be allowed, except as provided for in Revenue and Taxation Code section 2233, which allows County Superintendents and County fiscal officers to consolidate claims of school districts and special districts that, taken individually, are less than \$201.

V. Reimbursable Costs

A. Certification that personnel assigned to evaluate teachers have demonstrated competence in instructional methodologies and evaluation for teachers they are assigned to evaluate. The determination of whether school personnel meet the district's adopted policies shall be made by the governing board.

- Adoption of rules and regulations establishing school district and/or county office of education policies and annual review of these policies.
 - a. Time and direct expenses of school district or county office of education personnel necessary for the preparation, discussion and distribution of proposed rules and regulations and the annual review of adopted school district and county office of education policies adopted pursuant to the requirements of this section.
- Training programs provided for administrators to meet the certification requirements adopted by the governing board of the school district or county office of education in conformance with Education Code section 35160.5. Individual administrator training expenses to meet certification requirements shall be allowed for a maximum of ten days (eighty hours) of training in any three-year period.
 - Time of district administrators spent in certification training excluding classroom observation.
 - b. Mileage to and return, meals and materials for administrators attending locally provided training sessions. The reimbursement shall be the same as that provided for by the District for other District activities.
 - c. Transportation, meals, housing and cost of training for administrators if certification training is not locally available. The reimbursement shall follow the same rules as provided by the State of California for its employees when traveling on business.
 - d. Consultant fees, materials, travel, meals and housing for trainers contracted with to train district administrators locally.

- Preparation and presentation time, mileage, meals, clerical costs and materials for district employees utilized as trainers of administrators for certification.
- B. The establishment of district or county office of education policies ensuring that each probationary certificated employee is assigned to a school within the district with assurances that his or her status as a new teacher and his or her potential needs for training, assistance, and evaluations will be recognized by the district or county office of education.
 - Training, assisting and evaluating probationary teachers over and above that usually provided to permanent teachers by the district or county office of education. Copies of the approved previous policy and a copy of the subsequent policy must be included with claims for reimbursement. The cost of services or activities provided to probationary teachers funded by the Mentor Teacher Program can not be claimed as a reimbursable cost.
 - a. Time provided by personnel, other than the site principal, to train,
 assist or evaluate probationary teachers.
 - b. Training materials and clerical services for probationary teachers.
 - c. Registration fees and travel costs of probationary teachers attending training activities.
 - d. Costs of substitute teachers provided for probationary teachers so that they might attend training activities including visitations to other teachers' classrooms to observe teaching techniques (limited to three such visitations per semester).

- e. Costs of consultants provided to train and assist probationary teachers if personnel with the required skills are not available within the school district or county office of education.
- f. Probationary teacher time spent attending district or county office sponsored training sessions specific to probationary teachers after school or prior to the start of the school year.
- g. Probationary teacher time spent receiving assistance or training from district or county office employees as part of the probationary teacher training and assistance program
- h. In-classroom probationary teacher time spent receiving training or assistance is not claimable.
- i. In cases where a substitute is provided, the claimant is only eligible
 to claim the substitute and not the probationary teacher's time.
- C. The establishment of policies and procedures which parents or guardians of pupils enrolled in the district may use to present complaints regarding employees of the district that provide for appropriate mechanisms to respond to, and where possible resolve, the complaints.
 - 1. Cost of meetings and activities over and above those that would have been required prior to the adoption of rules and regulations by the governing board of the school district or county office of education in compliance with Education Code section 35160.5. These costs shall include the cost of notification of parents and pupils of complaint procedures, the time of school district or county office of education personnel involved in these meetings and activities including mileage, supplies and when necessary specialized training of personnel to adequately respond to complaints of pupils and parents regarding employees.

2. Costs shall not be allowed for meetings and activities required by categorical program and/or special education rules and regulations.

VI. Offsetting Savings

Any offsetting savings the claimants experience as a result of this statute must be deducted from the costs claimed.

VII. <u>Professional and Consultant Services</u>

Claimants shall separately show the name of professionals or consultants, specify the functions which the consultants performed relative to the mandate, length of appointment, and the itemized costs for such services. Invoices must be submitted as supporting documentation with the claim. The maximum reimbursable fee for contracted services is \$65 per hour, adjusted annually by the GNP Deflator. Those claims which are based on annual retainers shall contain a certification that the fee is no greater than the above maximum. Reasonable expenses will also be paid as identified on the monthly billings of consultants.

VIII. Allowable Overhead Costs

The overhead cost for all of the above reimbursable costs shall be the Non-Restrictive Indirect Cost Rate from the J-41A.

IX. <u>Supporting Data for Claims</u>

Effective July 1, 1986 documentation shall be provided that a request for no cost consultant services similar to those submitted for reimbursement was made by the district to the State Department of Education at least thirty (30) calendar days prior to the need for consultant services and that the district was notified that such consultant service was not available at the time requested or that the District did not receive a response to its request within twenty

(20) calendar days after the request had been received by the State Department of Education.

X. State Controller's Office Required Certification

An authorized representative of the claimant will be required to provide a certification of claim, as specified in the State Controller's claiming instructions, for those costs mandated by the state contained herein.



STOCKTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

BOARD OF EDUCATION

BUSINESS MANAGER

701 NORTH MADISON STREET • STOCKTON, CA 95202-1687 (209) 953-4055 • FAX (209) 953-4477

JOSE A. BERNARDO VICKI BRAND CLEM G. LEE JAMES L. URBANI SUPERINTENDENT

June 23, 1995

RECEIVED JUN 26 1995 STATE MANDATES

Mr. Kirk Stewart **Executive Director** Commission on State Mandates 1414 K Street, Suite 315 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Stewart:

This letter is to inform you that we are withdrawing our request dated April 4, 1995 to amend the Parameters and Guidelines for the Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence mandate. This mandate was enacted by Chapter 498/Statutes of 1983.

This request is being withdrawn because after numerous discussions with Commission Staff and other interested parties, it is clear that any positive action resulting from clarifying this issue is more than offset by the possibility that re-opening this claim could result in the entire claim being denied.

If you have any questions, please contact our consultant, Steve Smith, of Mandated Cost Systems at (916) 487-4435.

Sincerely, Morma Mearns

Norma E. Mearns

Director of Budget

NEM:mw

Davis Joint Unified School District Certification of Teacher Evaluators Demonstrated Competence Analysis of Incorrect Reduction Claim For Fiscal Year 1995/96

Exhibit 1: Declaration of Virginia Brummels;

Exhibit 2: Analysis of the Davis Joint Unified School District (DJUSD) Incorrect Reduction Claim (IRC);

Exhibit 3: Includes a copy of the Incorrect Reduction Claim (IRC) for the Certification of Teacher Evaluators Demonstrated Competence program for the DJUSD;

On December 2, 1996, the DJUSD filed an actual claim of \$175,995 for the state mandated Certification of Teacher Evaluators Demonstrated Competence (CTEDC) program. The CTEDC mandated cost claim was filed based on actual costs, and the DJUSD included costs for probationary teachers' salaries and wages in the amount of \$103,983 and indirect costs of \$6,426, for a total of \$110,409.

Exhibit 4: Includes copies of the reimbursement claim and supporting documentation;

Exhibit 5: Includes a copy of the Annual Claiming Instructions for School Districts;

The mandate was amended on January 24, 1991, to allow for reimbursement of individual administrator training, for a maximum of ten days (eighty hours in any three-year period). This amendment was considered necessary due to Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983 when the Legislature required each school district to adopt rules and regulations to certify that personnel assigned to evaluate teachers, have demonstrated specified competence in instructional methodologies to evaluate probationary teachers; to ensure that each probationary teacher was assigned to a school with assurance that his or her status as a new teacher and his or her potential needs for training, assistance, and evaluations will be recognized by the district or county office of education; and to establish policies and procedures which parents or guardians of pupils enrolled in the district may use to present complaints regarding employees of the district and to provide for appropriate mechanisms to respond to, and when possible, resolve the complaints. The training reimbursement is for assistance and evaluating probationary teachers. The training of probationary teachers is not to include the cost of salaries and wages for the Mentor Teacher Program.

Reimbursement is provided for the cost of substitute teachers to allow probationary teachers to attend training activities, including visitations to other teachers' classrooms to observe teaching techniques (limit of three visits).

Page 2
Davis Joint Unified School District
Certification of Teacher Evaluators Demonstrated Competence
For Fiscal Year 1995-96

Exhibit 6: Includes a copy of the Commission on State Mandates' (COSM) Parameters and Guidelines (P's & G's);

Refer to Section V., Reimbursable Costs; B states as follows:

"The establishment of district or county office of education policies ensuring that each probationary certificated employee is assigned to a school within the district with assurances that his or her status as a new teacher and his or her potential needs for training, assistance, and evaluations will be recognized by the district or county office of education.

- 1. Training, assisting and evaluating probationary teachers over and above that usually provided to permanent teachers by the district or county office of education. Copies of the approved previous policy and a copy of the subsequent policy must be included with claims for reimbursement. The cost of services or activities provided to probationary teachers funded by the Mentor Teacher Program, can not be claimed as a reimbursable cost:
 - a. Time provided by personnel, other than the site principal, to train, assist or evaluate probationary teachers;
 - b. Training materials and clerical services for probationary teachers;
 - c. Registration fees and travel costs of probationary teachers attending training activities;
 - d. Costs of substitute teachers provided for probationary teachers so that they might attend training activities, including visitations to other teachers' classrooms to observe teaching techniques (limited to three such visitations per semester); and
 - e. Costs of consultants provided to train and assist probationary teachers if personnel with the required skills are not available within the school district or county office of education."

The State Controller's Office (SCO) claiming instructions (Exhibit 4) are in agreement with the adopted P's & G's in this exhibit.

7-

Page 3
Davis Joint Unified School District
Certification of Teacher Evaluators Demonstrated Competence
For Fiscal Year 1995-96

Exhibit 7: Includes a copy of the SCO Notice of Claim Adjustment letter, dated December 22, 1998, and a copy of the Mandated Cost Systems, Inc. letter, dated October 16, 2001;

The SCO letter notifies the DJUSD that the amount of \$115,948 for salaries and benefits of probationary teachers in training, is disallowed. This letter further states "...P's and G's do not provide reimbursement for probationary teachers' training costs. In lieu of that, the P's and G's reimburse the cost of substitute teachers while the probationary teachers attend training activities." Subsequently, in their letter dated October 21, 2001, Mandated Cost Systems, Inc., requested reinstatement of non-probationary teacher costs of \$1,171 and \$4,368, totaling \$5,539. This resulted in the amount of disallowed costs for salaries and benefits of probationary teachers being reduced to \$110,420.

Exhibit 8: Includes a copy of a letter dated April 4, 1995, from Stockton Unified School District (SUSD);

This letter contains a narrative outlining the reasons the amendment is required and includes proposed amendments to the P's & G's to clarify reimbursement for probationary teachers' salaries and benefits. In the letter dated April 4, 1995, SUSD proposed the following amendments to the Section V., Reimbursable Costs, B. 1. of the P's and G's last amended and adopted on January 24, 1991. The proposed amendments are as follows:

- f. Probationary teacher time spent attending district or county office sponsored training sessions specific to probationary teachers after school or prior to the _start of the school year;
 - Probationary teacher time spent receiving assistance or training from district or county office employees as part of the probation teacher training and assistance program;
 - h. In-classroom probationary teacher time spent receiving training or assistance is not claimable; and
 - i. In cases where a substitute is provided, the claimant is only eligible to claim the substitute and not the probationary teacher's time."

Page 4
Davis Joint Unified School District
Certification of Teacher Evaluators Demonstrated Competence
For Fiscal Year 1995-96

Exhibit 9: Includes a copy of a letter dated June 23, 1995, from SUSD;

On June 23, 1995, the Director of Budgets for SUSD corresponded in writing to Kirk Stewart, the Executive Director of the COSM, and withdrew their request for clarification due to their conversations with the COSM staff, that any positive action resulting from clarifying this issue could result in the possibility that re-opening this claim could result in the entire amendment being denied. Any questions were referred to their consultant, Steve Smith of Mandated Cost Systems. This clearly demonstrates that the reimbursement of training time for probationary teachers was on the "wish list" but was deemed not the right time to clarify the issue. The withdrawal of this action (6/23/95 letter) brings this issue of reimbursement before the COSM in the form of an incorrect reduction claim. Therefore, this is not an issue of the SCO incorrectly reducing the district's claim, but a means for the district to obtain reimbursement for what they withdrew as an amendment to the P's & G's.

ſ	CLAIM FOR PAYMENT		Sene Sambyllar Ost- 50 3			
	Pursu	ant to Government Code S	ection 17561	(19) Program Number 00009		
	Certification of	f Teacher Evaluator's Dem	onstrated Competence	(20) Date Filed	//	
,		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		(21) Signature Present		
'	(01) Claimant Identif	ication Number:		Reimbursement Claim	Data	
L	S57005 (02) Mailing Address					
A B	. , .			(22) TE-1,(04)(1)(d)	0	
E L	Claimant Nam DAVIS JOINT			(23) TE-2,(04)(2)(d)	153,716	
н	YOLO	cation		(24) TE-1,(04)(3)(d)	12,036	
E R	Street Address 526 B STREET			(25)TE-1,(05)(d)	165,752	
Е	City DAVIS	State CA	2ip Code 95616	(26)TE-1,(06)	<u>_</u> 6.1800	
	Type of Claim	Estimated Claim	Reimbursement Claim	(27)TE-1,(11)	175,995	
		(03) Estimated	(09) Reimbursement X	(28)		
		(04) Combined	(10) Combined	(29)		
	•	(05) Amended	(11) Amended	(30)		
Ī	Fiscal Year of Cost	(06)	19 95 / 96	(31)		
	Total Claimed Amount	(07)	(13) 1/1/01/-1 \$ 1.75,995	(32) 60047 -	19 ment	
)	Less: 10% Late I \$1000 (if applicab	Penalty, but not to Exceed le)		(33)	1034X	
	Less: Estimate P	ayment Received	78871	(34) 78871 -	R # 198	
	Net Claimed Amo		(16) \$\begin{array}{c} \chi_1\hline \hline \	(35) Z188247	8 MCB	
	Due From State	(08)	(17) \$ 1.75,995	(36) + 45 943	BANB	
	Due to State		(18) 64767	(37)) stool	
Ī	` '	TION OF CLAIM	/		BK / 142-23	
- 1	district to file clair	h the provisions of Govern ns with the State of Califo that I have not violated a	rnia for costs mandated	by Chapter 498 Statutes	of 1983; and certify under	
- 1	reimbursement of	at there were no applicati costs claimed herein; and d by Chapter 498, Statute	such costs are for a new	payments received, othe program or increased le	er than from the claimant for evel of service of an existing	
ŀ	The amounts for I estimated and/or a statements.	Estimated Claim and/or Reactual costs for the manda	eimbursement Claim are ted program of Chapter	hereby claimed from th 498, Statutes of 1983, se	e State for payment of t forth on the attached	
	Signature of Auth	orized Representative	9 ~,	Date /2-2-	76	
	M. CAROL LI	NDHEIMER		CONTROLLER		
)	Type or Print Name			Title		
	(39) Name of Contact			phone Number		
	Steve Smith	n, Mandated Cost Sy	scems ———————	916-487-4435	Ext	
		1 40 (0.5)			('hanter 498/03	

Form FAM-27 (Revised 10/95)

MANDATED COSTS

Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence COMPONENT / ACTIVITY COST DETAIL

FORM TE-2

01) Claimant: DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SD	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(02) Fiscal `	Year costs v	were incurre	d:95-9
03) Reimbursable Component: Competence	in Instructiona	l al Methodolo	 gy		·-
X Probationary	Certificated E	mplovee Pol	licies		
·					
Parental Con	ipiaint Policie	s 			
(a) thro	ugh (f).		Co	st Elements	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) Salaries	(e) Materials	(f) Contrac
Employee Names, Job Classifications and Activities Performed and	Hourly Rate or	Hours Worked or	and	and	Service
Description of Expenses	Unit Cost	Quantity	Benefits	Supplies	
ANN, ASSIST AND EVALUATE PROB. TEACHERS					
ADRYAN-WALLACE, A/TEACHER	22.41	52.50	1177		•
ALLEGOSEN, M/TEACHER	45.35	5.00	227		
ANGEL, F/TEACHER	31.63	10.00	316		
ARMSTRONG, M/TEACHER	27.22	44.12	1201	/	
ARNOLD, M/TEACHER	55.52	44.12	2450	/_	
BAZINET, J/	27.11		529		
BELL, M/TEACHER	55.52		3810		
BORGE, J/TEACHER	38.78		3820		
	42.93		2146		
BRICE, A/TEACHER	33.05	1	1958		
BROWNELLER, P/TEACHER	43.32	1 1	2501		i
BRUNSON, J/TEACHER	57.55	1	2539		
BRYNER, G/TEACHER	26.58	1 .		X	
BURNETT, G/COUNSELOR	53.49		2360		
CARLSON, A/TEACHER	53.22		5009		i e
CHASON, W/TEACHER	37.35		1648		
CLARK, T/TEACHER			2125		
DEFRESNE, M/TEACHER	30.24				•
DIMELLO, D/TEACHER	30.88	li i			
DODD, J/TEACHER	36.88	ŀ	1		
FLYNN, J/TEACHER	31.63	i .			
GADISMAN, H/TEACHER	43.32				
GALLAGHER, P/TEACHER	41.92		l .	1	
GONZALEZ, D/TEACHER	43.32			/	
HALLBERG, S/TEACHER	57.55	1	ł.		
HASKELL-DUVAIR, C/TEACHER	34.77				
HENINGBURG, R/TEACHER	43.32				
HERDLICK, S/TEACHER	30.88	1			
HOLMES, C/TEACHER	43.32	1	1		
HOLTE, L/TEACHER	55.5	1		ľ	
KALM, S/TEACHER	25.2			1	
KING, P/TEACHER	47.4	1		i	
LAMB, M/TEACHER	30.8	8 77.00	2377		
(05) Total X Subtotal Page:	1 of 1		\$ 69,149	9	
vised 9/93			<u> </u>	Cha	pter 49

MANDATED COSTS

Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence

FORM TE-2

COMPONENT / ACTIVITY COST DETAIL							
(01) Claimant: DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SD	(01) Claimant: DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SD (02) Fiscal Year costs were incurred: 95-96						
(03) Reimbursable Component: Competence in Instructional Methodology							
X Probationary	Probationary Certificated Employee Policies						
Parental Com	nlaint Paliaia	c					
Parental Con	pianii Foncie	<u> </u>		·			
(04) Description of Expense: Complete columns (a) thro	ugh (f).		Co	st Elements			
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) Salaries	(e) Materials	(f) Contracted		
Employee Names, Job Classifications and Activities Performed and	Hourly Rate or	Hours Worked or	and	and	Services		
Description of Expenses	Unit Cost	Quantity	Benefits	Supplies			
LEUCHARS, M/TEACHER	33.14	69.25	2294				
LINGBLOOM, P/TEACHER	, 32.75	75.50	2474				
LOW, E/TEACHER	35.44	59.25	2101				
MARTIN, P/TEACHER	57.83	44.12	2551				
MCCOY, M/TEACHER	53. 7 7	44.12	2372				
MILICH, N/TEACHER	43.32	64.25	2783				
MILLAM, R/TEACHER	53.77	44.12	2372				
MORAN, D/TEACHER	30.88	50.00	1544				
NAYYAR, R/TEACHER	39.25	53.75	2110				
NEWTON, K/TEACHER	49.42	30.42	. 1503				
NIELSEN, L/TEACHER	46.49	44.12	2051				
PATTERSON, J/TEACHER	49.42	64.25	3175				
PATZ, N/TEACHER	43.32	19.75	855		ľ		
PEHLKE, E/TEACHER	43.32	46.00	1993				
PERRY, H/PROJ COORDINATOR	30.83	1 1	308	1			
PERRY, M/TEACHER	41.28	53.75	2219				
PESHETTE, A/TEACHER	49.42	1	2180	1 /			
PITALO, M/DIR OF CIRRIC	44.97	10.00	450	Y			
POWELL, T/TEACHER	31.11	,	614	1	•		
QUENON, M/TEACHER	32.64		2030		i		
RICH, V/TEACHER	36.46	1	3792				
RICHARDS, D/TEACHER	30.08		1618	1/			
RODDEN, R/TEACHER	45.10	l	1992	1			
RONNING, K/TEACHER	42.4		2517	1			
ROSS, R/TEACHER	35.3	1	1856	1	1		
SANDRETTO, S/TEACHER	41.2	ì	165				
SEANEY, M/TEACHER	51.4	l	2270	l l			
SELLS, B/TEACHER	56.3	1					
SHERMAN, T/TEACHER	43.3	Ĭ					
SIMS, M/TEACHER	43.1			1			
STREET, K/TEACHER	18.7		1	1	1		
TAYLOR, B/TEACHER	47.7	·	l .	i i			
THOMSON, F/TEACHER	46.2	67.75	313	5			
(05)	1 of		72,84	9	0 0		
(05) Total X Subtotal Page:	1 of _		72,37		apter 498/		

MANDATED COSTS

Component / ACTIVITY COST DETAIL

FORM TE-2

COMPONENT / ACTIVITY COST DETAIL						
(01) Claimant: DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SD		(02) Fiscal '	Year costs w	vere incurre	ed:95-96	
(03) Reimbursable Component: Competence in Instructional Methodology						
X Probationary	Certificated E	Employee Po	licies		ļ	
Parental Com	plaint Policie	S				
(04) Description of Expense: Complete columns (a) thro	ugh (f).		Co	st Elements		
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) Salaries	(e) Materials	(f) Contracted	
Employee Names, Job Classifications and Activities Performed and	Hourly Rate or	Hours Worked or	and	and	Services	
Description of Expenses	Unit Cost	Quantity	Benefits	Supplies		
VALENCIOH, M/TEACHER	37.21	10.00	372			
VRANA, M/TEACHER	, 36.14	51.50	1861			
WAID, P/TEACHER	30.88	50.00	1544			
WAYLAND, J/TEACHER	43.09	l .	2332		1	
WELLS, B/PRINCIPAL	45.04	24.50	1103			
WELLS, S/TEACHER	34.10		648			
WHEELER, B/TEACHER	41.04	46.00	1888			
WILHELM, B/TEACHER	35.49	52.50	1864			
WINK, D/TEACHER	35.18	3.00	106			
	ļ	ļ .				
		ł				
·					1	
			ļ		,	
	1	1				
	1					
					1	
•		1				
		ļ	ł			
·		1				
		1				
		1		1		
			1/			
		1.	1/1016	, /		
			44516	\$		
,			1 / M	1)		
			1981	1		
(05) Total Subtotal Page	: 1 of	1	\$ 12,71	. 8	0 0	
			<u> </u>		apter 498/	
Revised 9/93					•	

State Controller's Office			School Man	dated Cos	t Manual
MANDATED COS	TS			F	ORM
Certification of Teacher Evaluator's De	monstrate	d Compet	ence	'	ΓE-2
COMPONENT / ACTIVITY					
(01) Claimant: DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SD		(02) Fiscal	Year costs v	vere incurr	ed:95-96
(03) Reimbursable Component: Competence	in Instruction	al Methodolo	gy	 -	
Probationary	Cerunicateu i	Imployee Fo	IIICIC3		
X Parental Con	nplaint Policie	es			
(04) Description of Expense: Complete columns (a) thro	ugh (f).		Cos	st Element	3
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
Employee Names, Job Classifications and Activities Performed	Hourly Rate	Hours	Salaries and	Materials and	Contracted Services
and . Description of Expenses	or Unit Cost	Worked or Quantity	Benefits	Supplies	
RESOLVE COMPLAINTS OVER PRE SB813 LEVELS	·				
BOOCK, J/PRINCIPAL	.45.04		563		
BUCHHOLTZ, J/COUNSELOR	33.34	45 50.00		NOO -	,
BURNETT, G/COUNSELOR	26.58	\$ 50.00		1196	
COUGHRAN, C/PRINCIPAL	41.41	1 .	1195	10/0 -	
EINING, C/PRINCIPAL		\$ 50.00	2071	1863 -	
HAGEMANN, M/PRINCIPAL		45 50.00	ľ	2025-	
MAUL, B/PRINCIPAL	45.21		1327	•	
WELLS, B/PRINCIPAL	45.04		1430		
ZIMMERMAN, D/PRINCIPAL	45.04	4.50	203	,	
·					
		ľ			
					Ì.
, i					
•		,			
ł '					
	1				
	İ				
		1	11302	T'	
(05) Total Subtotal Page:	1 of 1	<u> </u>	\$ 12,036	 	0 0
total v oubtotal Faye.	- 51	*	T	1 ′	1

Chapter 498/83

Page:

(05) Total X

Subtotal [



KATHLEEN CONNELL

Controller of the State of California

December 22, 1998

Mr. Steve Smith President Mandated Cost Systems, Inc. 2275 Watt Avenue, Suite C Sacramento, CA 95825

Dear Mr. Smith:

RE: NOTICE OF CLAIM ADJUSTMENT
DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
CHAPTER 498/83 CERTIFICATION OF TEACHER EVALUATORS
FISCAL YEAR 1995-96

This is in reply to your letters dated October 14, 1998 and November 18, 1998 regarding the above claim for reimbursement of mandated cost program. The result of our review is as follows:

Amount Claimed

\$175,995

-\$109,200

Adjustment to Claim:

Probationary Certificated Employee Policies

The amount of \$109,200 for salaries and benefits of probationary teachers in training is disallowed. Parameters and guidelines do not provide reimbursement for probationary teachers training costs. In lieu of that, the P's & G's reimburse the cost of substitute teachers while the probationary teachers attend training activities.

Sub-total on Adjustment for Direction	et Costs	-\$109,200
		-6,748
Adjustment of Indirect Costs	(\$10,243-\$3,495)	
Total Adjustment for Claim		-\$115,948
Approved Claim		\$60,047
Less: Prior Payment of 5/15/97		-78,871
Amount Due State		<u>-\$18,824</u>

If you have any questions, please contact Eduardo Antonio at (916) 323-0755 or in writing at the State Controller's Office, Attn: Local Reimbursements Section, Division of Accounting and Reporting, P.O. Box 942850, Sacramento, CA 94250-5875.

Sincerely,

JEFF YEE, Manager

Local Reimbursements Section

JY:ea

Correspondence Response Sheet

Due Date: 11 9 98

Page ____ of ____

Analyst: _	EDUARDO ANTONIO Comple	ted: 12-22-98
	DAVIS JT. UNIFIED SCH DIST S 57005 1995-96	Person Contacted: SMITH Phone: () FAX 487-9662
	CERT OF TEACHER EVALUATORS	*
Date		Action Taken to Resolve
11-12-98		REQUEST COPIES OF LOGSHEET /TIMESHEET
11-12-10	Company Comment	PER ATTACHED FACSIMILE COVER PAGE.
12-22-9	8	COPY OF LETTER SENT ATTACHED.
•		
	·	
,		
<u>.</u>		
· · · · · ·		

Return this form to the Mail Log desk to close LRS Mail Log item when request is completed or the issue is resolved.

Original correspondence and this sheet will be returned to the analyst for filing in the appropriate LRS files.

LRS Mail Log Number: 98-522



2275 Watt Avenue, Suite C Sacramento, CA 95825 916-487-4435 phone 916-487-9662 fax

222 West Carmen Lane Suite 101 Santa Maria, CA 93458 805-922-1471 phone 805-922-7143 fax

3161 Bechelli Lane, **5uite 202** Redding, CA 96002 530-224-7255 phone 530-224-9548 fax

11835 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 680E Los Angeles, CA 90064 310-477-4749 phone 310-477-5356 fax

Date:

November 18, 1998

To:

Eduardo Antonio, State Controller's Office

From:

Steve Smith, President

CC:

Carol Lindheimer, Davis Joint Unified School District

Claimant:

Davis Joint Unified School District, S57005

Program:

Certification of Teacher Evaluators, Chapters 498/83

Fiscal Year: 1995/96

Per your request dated November 12, you asked that we submit time sheets and log sheets for time spent by personnel claimed under Parental Complaint Policies component of the Certification of Teacher Evaluators, Chapters 498/83 Program. Please note that the Parental Complaint component code is I3B. We have attached a detailed report that itemizes the source of all charges to this component and the requested documentation.

In addition, we have enclosed documentation for those district employees whose hours were in question on your claim review. Todd Wherry, Project Manager, left a message with you on November 16, to verify that you were not requesting documentation above these employees which were addressed in our October 14, reconsideration request letter. However, you never returned his call.

If you have any further questions or need further clarification, please call Todd Wherry, Project Manager, at 916-487-4435.



KATHLEEN CONNELL

California State Controller

FACSIMILE COVER PAGE

This document is intended only for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable laws. If the recipient of this document is not the addressee (i.e., the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that you are strictly prohibited from reading, disseminating, distributing, or copying this document. If you have received this document in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone, and we will provide further instructions about return or destruction of this document. Thank you.

То .	Fax No.	Date			
STEVE SMITH	(916) 187-9662	11-12-98			
Organization					
DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED S	PCHOOL DIST.	•			
From	Telephone No.	No. of pages including cover			
EDVARSO	(916) 323-0755				
Comments/Special instructions					
PLEASE SUBMIT LOGSHER	IT / TIMESHEET FOR	PERSONNEL CLAIMED			
UNDER PARENTAL COMPLA	INT POLICIES FOR CERT	FICATION OF			
TEHEMBERS PROGRAM FISC AS SOON AS POSSIBLE	AL YEAR 1995-96 FOR TH	E ABOYE ORGANIZATION			
IF THERE ARE PROBLEMS WITH THE COPIES	RECEIVED, PLEASE NOTIFY	TELEPHONE NO.			
STATE CONTROLLER'S SACRAMENTO OFFIC	E FACSIMILE NUMBER: (916) 323-4807	OR (916) 323-6527			
☐ Original will not follow					
□ Original will follow: California State Controller's Office					
☐ Regular Mail ☐ Federal Express ☐ Hand Delivery ☐ Certified Mail	3301 C Street, Suite 501 Sacramento, CA 95816 (916) 445-8717				



2275 Watt Avenue, Suite C Sacramento, CA 95825 916-487-4435 phone 916-487-9662 fax

222 West Carmen Lane Suite 101 Santa Maria, CA 93458 805-922-1471 phone 805-922-7143 fax

3161 Bechelli Lane, Suite 202 Redding, CA 96002 530-224-7255 phone 530-224-9548 fax

11835 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 680E Los Angeles, CA 90064 310-477-4749 phone 310-477-5356 fax October 14, 1998

Jeff Yee Manager, Local Reimbursement Section Division of Accounting and Reporting State Controller's Office P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250-5875

RE: Reconsideration Request (CTE 98-21)

Dear Mr. Yee:

The Davis Joint Unified School District, Claimant ID S57005 received a letter dated August 5, 1998 that disallowed costs on its 1995/96 Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence Chapter 498/83 claim as follows:

1)	Training Time for Non-probationary Teachers	\$ 50,703
2A)	1 st & 2 nd year Probationary Teacher Time Disallowed	\$ 96,516
2B)	1 day Training Time Disallowed for 1 st year Probationary Teachers	\$ 13,893
3)	Time in excess of 45 hours on Parental Complaint Policies	\$ 779
	Total	\$ 161,891

On August 31, 1998 one of my staff met with Eduardo Antonio to obtain the composition of this adjustment and to copy the work papers used in reviewing this claim.

<u>Issue #1 - Training Time for Non-probationary Teachers (Trainers)</u> <u>Disallowed:</u>

District personnel with the assigned responsibility to train and assist probationary teachers were disallowed. The State Controller's Office Claiming Instructions for this program states that:

"The costs of training, assisting and evaluating probationary teachers, over and above that provided to permanent teachers, are reimbursable. The salary and benefits of personnel, (not including the site principal, ... used to train, assist or evaluate probationary teachers are reimbursable."

In reviewing the work papers provided by your office, it is clear that salary and benefits of employees that were used to train and assist probationary teachers were disallowed. It appears that all teachers listed on the claim were assumed to be probationary teachers. In addition, our office has no record of receiving a request for additional information on this claim.

These employees are identified on the attached claim with a "T". These costs should be reinstated.

Issue #2 A & B - Probationary Teacher Time Disallowed:

The Claiming Instructions and Parameters & Guidelines are silent on whether the time spent by probationary teachers is reimbursable. We feel strongly that the these are legitimate costs of the mandate and that they are reimbursable. The State Controller's Office Claiming Instructions state that:

"The costs of training, assisting and evaluating probationary teachers, over and above that provided to permanent teachers, are reimbursable".

- A) The time spent by probationary teachers receiving additional training and assistance would be included as a cost of training, assisting and evaluating probationary teachers.
- B) In addition, the district requires its probationary teachers to work one extra 7 hour day each fiscal year for teacher training. Permanent teachers work a 184 day work year, while the probationary teachers work a 185 work year. These training sessions exceed what is provided to permanent teachers and there are costs incurred by the district.

There is an identifiable increased cost to the school district for this extra day worked by probationary teachers and this extra day worked is specifically attributable to the mandate of probationary teacher training. Recent rulings by the Commission on State Mandates on test claims that involve teacher training costs have indicated that if the district incurs an increased cost of some kind (i.e. substitutes, stipends, overtime pay or an extended work year) then this identifiable increased cost would be reimbursable.

The probationary teachers are identified on the attached claim with a "P1" for 1st year teachers or "P2" for 2nd year teachers.

<u>Issue #3 - Time in excess of 45 hours on Parental Complaint Policies</u> <u>Disallowed:</u>

Per the review notes for this component, the following employee time was limited to a maximum of 45 hours per school year, per employee claimed.

Employee	Time	Hourly Rate	 Amount
Buchholtz, J	50.00	\$33.34	\$ 1,667

Burnett, G	50.00	\$26.58	\$ 1,329
Eining, C	50.00	\$41.41	\$ 2,071
Hagemann, M	50.00	\$45.01	\$ 2,251

This maximum appears to have been arrived at arbitrarily based on an average of 15 minutes per day. However, below these notations on some claims is the comment "assuming 1 hour per day" which would equal 180 hours. Regardless of how your office arrived at this cap, there is no basis in the Claiming Instruction or the Parameters & Guidelines for a 45 hour per year cap.

The amount of time a school district spends on the resolution of parent complaints against employees of the district is not something they can necessarily control. If the district receives a complaint, district administrators must deal with the complaint. In some cases the issue can be resolved relatively quickly while in other cases it requires many meetings and a lot of investigation time. Since the district can not control when a complaint is filed or how many are filed, it is not realistic or fair to place an arbitrary cap of 45 hours per administrator.

If you would like to have us send the time records for the employees, please let us know. We have no record of receiving a request for these records from your office.

Conclusion:

Based on the additional information and clarifications listed above, I request that \$161,891 in incorrectly reduced costs be reinstated. Please notify me within three weeks (November 4, 1998) of the State Controller's Office's decision on this matter. In the absence of a response within three weeks, we will assume that you intend to stand by this adjustment and not reinstate these costs.

If you have any questions or need any additional information, please contact me at (916) 487-4435.

Sincerely,

Steve Smith

President

Mandated Cost Systems, Inc.

Enclosures

cc: Carol Lindheimer, Davis Joint Unified School District



S57005

CONTROLLER OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS SERVICES DIVISION OF ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING

AUGUST 5, 1998

BOARD OF TRUSTEES DAVIS JT UNIF SCH DIST YOLO COUNTY 526 B STREET DAVIS CA 95616

DEAR CLAIMANT:

RE: CERT TEACHERS EVAL CH 498/83

WE HAVE REVIEWED YOUR 1995/1996 FISCAL YEAR REIMBURSEMENT CLAIM FOR THE MANDATED COST PROGRAM REFERENCED ABOVE. THE RESULTS OF OUR REVIEW ARE AS FOLLOWS:

	175,995.00
AMOUNT CLAIMED	_ 161,891.00
LESS: TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS (DETAIL ON PAGE 2)	14,104.00
CLAIM AMOUNT APPROVED	78,871.00
LESS: TOTAL PRIOR PAYMENTS (DETAIL ON PAGE 2)	\$ 64,767.00
amount due state	54235-5-6-6

64,767.00 WITHIN 30 PLEASE REMIT A WARRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$ DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER, PAYABLE TO THE STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE, DIVISION OF ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING, P.O. BOX 942850, SACRAMENTO, CA 94250-5875 WITH A COPY OF THIS LETTER. FAILURE TO REMIT THE AMOUNT DUE WILL RESULT IN OUR OFFICE PROCEEDING TO OFFSET THE AMOUNT FROM THE NEXT PAYMENTS DUE TO YOUR AGENCY FOR STATE MANDATED COST PROGRAMS.

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT EDUARDO ANTONIO AT (916) 323-0755 OR IN WRITING AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

SINCERELY,

JEFF YEE. Manager

ADJUSTMENT TO CLAIM:
INDIRECT COSTS OVERSTATED
NON-REIMBURSABLE ITEM

161,891.00

LESS: TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS

PRIOR PAYMENTS:

SCHEDULE NO. MA60717A PAID 05-15-1997 78,871.00

9,422.00

152,469.00

LESS: TOTAL PRIOR PAYMENTS

78,871.00

tate of California SEP CLAIM FOR PAYMENT	1 0 1998	State Control	WEIGHT WILLS
	175C1	(19) Program Number 00009	
Pursuant to Government Code Sect		(20) Date Filed	/ /
Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demon	strated Competence	(21) Signature Present	
		·	
(01) Claimant Identification Number:		Reimbursement Claim D	ata
\$57005		(22) TE-1,(04)(1)(d)	0
(02) Mailing Address		(22) 1L-1,(01)(1)(1)	
Claimant Name		(23) TE-2,(04)(2)(d)	153,716
DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SD County Of Location		(0.4)(2)(4)	12,036
YOLO		(24) TE-1,(04)(3)(d)	
Street Address or P.O. Box		(25)TE-1,(05)(d)	165,752
526 B STREET	Zip Code	 	6.1800
City	95616	(26)TE-1,(06)	9 ² 4 .
DAVIS Fyne of Claim Estimated Claim F	Reimbursement Claim	(27)TE 1 (11)	175,995
Type of Claim Estimated Claim		(27)TE-1,(11)	
//	,	(28)	
(03) Estimated (04) Combined	09) Reimbursement X		
(04) Combined	(10) Combined	7 (29)	
	(11) Amended	7 (30)	
(05) Amended	(11) Amended] (30)	
RISCAL I CAL OL 1	(12) 95 / 96	(31)	
Cost 19 /	(13) 14/04	7/02	
Total Claimed (07)	\$ 175;99	(5)(32)	
Amount Less: 10% Late Penalty, but not to Exceed	(14)	(33)	
\$1000 (if applicable)	(15)		
Less: Estimate Payment Received	78871	(34)	
	(16) < 64 16 175,99	(35)	
Net Claimed Amount	(17)		
Due From State (08)	(17) \$ 1.75,99	95 (36)	
Due From State	(18)	(37)	
Due to State	6470	57 (37)	
(38) CERTIFICATION OF CLAIM		1 1	outhorized by the school
the provisions of Govern	ment Code 17561, I o	certify that I am the person	es of 1983; and certify und
district to file claims with the State of Call	my of the provisions (of Government Code Section	ins 1090 to 1090;
penalty of perjury that I have not violated t	,	. 1 -41	or than from the claiman
		new program or increased	level of service of an exist
		are hereby claimed from	the State for payment of
The amounts for Estimated Claim and/or I estimated and/or actual costs for the mand	ated program of Cha	pter 498, Statutes of 1965,	of forth on the
statements.		-	
Signature of Authorized Representative		Date / 2 - 2 -	96
The News	<u></u>		.0
M. CAROL LINDHEIMER		CONTROLLER	
		Title	
Type or Print Name (39) Name of Contact Person For Claim		Telephone Number	T7 4
Steve Smith, Mandated Cost S	watems	916-487-4435	Ext Ext

	OUNCOI MA	F(ORM
trated Compe	tence	•	TE-1
trated compe			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Fisca	iscal Year:	
	_1_96		
Estimated			
		- T	No
s based on an an	nual retaine	r,	X
		*	
•			
•			
	Cost El	ements	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Salaries and Benefits	Supplies	Contracted Services	Total
1981		ļ	1981
153,716			
12,036		<u></u>	1130
165, 152	()	13783
			
ble			6.1800
x line (06)}	920- 0	1405-	821- 175,9
			14104
	(02) Type of Clair Reimburse Estimated s based on an and (a) Salaries and Benefits 0 153,716 1130 12,036 165,752 13283	Cost Electric (a) (b) Salaries and Benefits Supplies 0 0 0 0 153,716 0 11307 12,036 0 0 165,752 13,28,3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	trated Competence (02) Type of Claim: Fiscal Reimbursement

MANDATED COSTS

Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence

FORM TE-2

COMPONENT / ACTIVITY C	OST DETA	AIL				
		(02) Fiscal Y	ear costs w	ere incurre	d:95-96	
(01) Claimant: DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SD (02) FISCAL TEAL COSTS WELL TO SEE (02) FISCAL TEAL TEAL TEAL TEAL COSTS WELL TO SEE (02) FISCAL TEAL TEAL TEAL TEAL TEAL TEAL TEAL TE						
(03) Reimbursable Component: Competence in Instructional Methodology						
X Probationary Certificated Employee Policies						
Parental Com	plaint Policies	6				
O-at Flor						
(04) Description of Expense: Complete columns (a) through	(d) (e) (f)					
(a)	(b) Hourly Rate	(c) Hours	Salaries	Materials	Contracted Services	
Employee Names, Job Classifications and Activities Performed and	or	Worked or	and Benefits	aกี้dี Supplies	Selvices	
Description of Expenses	Unit Cost	Quantity			 	
TRAIN, ASSIST AND EVALUATE PROB. TEACHERS		52.50	1177			
O ADRYAN-WAŁLAGE, A/TEACHER	. 22.41		227			
P2 ALLEGOSEN, M/TEACHER	31.63	1	·316			
DO ANGEL, F/TEACHER	27.22	٠	1201			
T ARMSTRONG, M/TEACHER	55.52	1	2450		'	
T ARNOLD, M/TEACHER	27.1	19.50	529	1		
T BAZINET, J/	55.5	68.62	3810			
BELL, M/TEACHER	38.7	98.50	3820)		
P\ BORGE, J/TEACHER	42.9	50.00		1		
P BRICE, A/TEACHER	33.0	59.25		ì		
P BROWNELLER, P/TEACHER	43.3	l l	1	1 .		
BRUNSON, J/TEACHER BRYNER, G/TEACHER	57.5	i	1	×1×		
OD	26.5	1 .		[
CARLSON, A/TEACHER	53.4			ł		
CHASON, W/TEACHER	53.3	1	~	1		
CLARK, T/TEACHER	37.		٠.,	į		
PL DEFRESNE, M/TEACHER	30.	- 1	1	1		
PI DIMELLO, D/TEACHER	30. 36.	٠		96		
Pl DODD, J/TEACHER	36.			55		
P(FLYNN, J/TEACHER	43.	· · ·		83		
PI GADISMAN, H/TEACHER	41.		1	59		
P GALLAGHER, P/TEACHER	. 1	.32 69.	25 29	99		
Pl GONZALEZ, D/TEACHER	ì	.55 44.	12 25	39		
HALLBERG, S/TEACHER	i i	.77 46.	00 15	599		
P HASKELL-DUVAIR, C/TEACHER	43	.32 106.	25 46	503		
P\ HENINGBURG, R/TEACHER	30	.88 69.	23	138		
P\ HERDLICK, S/TEACHER	43	.32 10.		433	1	
P2 HOLMES, C/TEACHER	55	, , , , ,	'	450		
HOLTE, L/TEACHER	1		. • •	264		
P KALM, S/TEACHER KING, P/TEACHER	j.			994 377		
O LAMB, M/TEACHER	30	0.88 77	.00 2	.3,,,		
			5 69.	149	0	
(05) Total X Subtotal Pa	age: 1 of	1			Chapter 49	

Revised 9/93

MANDATED COSTS

Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence

FORM TE-2

COMPONENT / ACTIVITY	OSI DEI				
(01) Claimant: DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SD		(02) Fiscal Y	ear costs w	ere incurre	d:95-96
(03) Reimbursable Component: Competence in Instructional Methodology					
Probationary Certificated Employee Policies					
Parental Com	plaint Policie	S			
(04) Description of Expense: Complete columns (a) thro	ugh (f).		Cost Elements		
(a) Employee Names, Job Classifications and Activities Performed and Description of Expenses	(b) Hourly Rate or Unit Cost	(c) Hours Worked or Quantity	(d) Salaries and Benefits	(e) Materials and Supplies	(f) Contracted Services
·	33.14	69.25	2294		
PLEUCHARS, M/TEACHER	.32.75	75.50	2474		1
PLINGBLOOM PATEACHER	35.44	59.25	2101		
P LOW, E/TEACHER MARTIN, P/TEACHER	57.83	44.12	2551		
MCCOY, M/TEACHER	53.77	44.12	2372	÷	
/ MCCOI, M/TEACHER	43.32	64.25	2783		
MILLAM, R/TEACHER	53.77	44.12	2372		
(2) MORAN, D/TEACHER	30.88	50.00	1544		
O NAYYAR, R/TEACHER	39.25	53.75	2110		
DI NEWTON, K/TEACHER	49.42	30.42	1503		
NIELSEN, L/TEACHER	46.49	44.12	2051		
D PATTERSON, J/TEACHER	49.42	64.25	3175		
P2 PATZ, N/TEACHER	43.32	19.75	855		
PIPEHLKE, E/TEACHER	43.32	46.00	1993		
PERRY, H/PROJ COORDINATOR	30.83	10.00	308	P	
D\ PERRY, M/TEACHER	41.2	53.75	2219		
PESHETTE, A/TEACHER	49.4	44.12	2180		
PITALO, M/DIR OF CIRRIC	44.9	7 10.00	450	P	
P2 POWELL, T/TEACHER	31.1	1 19.75	614	1	
Ol QUENON, M/TEACHER	32.6	4 62.25	2030	i	
V RICH, V/TEACHER	36.4	104.00	3792		
P) RICHARDS, D/TEACHER	30.0	8 53.75	i	ì	
RODDEN, R/TEACHER	45.1	6 44.12	1992	2	
P\ RONNING, K/TEACHER	42.4	7 59.25	4	1	
P) ROSS, R/TEACHER	35.3	52.50	l	1	
P2SANDRETTO, S/TEACHER	41.2		1	4	}
T SEANEY, M/TEACHER	51.4	1			1
P) SELLS, B/TEACHER	56.3	104.00	ı	1	
O\ SHERMAN, T/TEACHER	43.3	1		1	
ρ\ sims, m/teacher	43.	i		1	
PI STREET, K/TEACHER	18.	l l	- L	1	
P TAYLOR, B/TEACHER	47.	1	1 .	1	
P THOMSON, F/TEACHER	46.	26 67.7	5 313	5	1.
	1	1	\$ 72,84	9	0 0
(05) Total X Subtotal Pag	e: 1 of _	<u></u>			hapter 498/8

JANDATED COSTS

Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence COMPONENT / ACTIVITY COST DETAIL

FORM TE-2

COM CIVETA TAR		(02) Fiscal Y	ear costs w	ere incurre	d: 95 - 96
(01) Claimant: DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SD					
(03) Reimbursable Component: Competence i	n Instructiona	l Methodolog	3y		
X Probationary	Certificated E	mployee Pól	icies		
Parental Com			•		
Parental Com		, 			
(04) Description of Expense: Complete columns (a) through	ugh (f).		Cos	t Elements	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) Salaries	(e) Materials	(f) Contracted
Employee Names, Job Classifications and Activities Performed	Hourly Rate or	Hours Worked or	and	and	Services
and Description of Expenses	Unit Cost	Quantity	Benefits	Supplies	
VALENCIOH, M/TEACHER	37.21	10.00	372	٠	
ρ vrana, m/ t eacher	.36.14	51.50	1861		
P WAID, P/TEACHER	30.88	i . i	1544		1
T WAYLAND, J/TEACHER	43.09	1	2332 1103		
WELLS, B/PRINCIPAL	45.04		648		
P 2wells, s/teacher	34.10	1	1888		
ρ wheeler, b/teacher	41.04	Į.	1864		
P\ wilhelm, B/TEACHER	35.49	l .	106	,	
P2wink, d/teacher	35.18	3.00			
		}			
•		1			
		,			
		.			
		,		}	
	1				
				\rightarrow	
			198	a ()	
		,		Z	
(05) Total CV Subtotal C Pa	ge: 1 of	1	\$ 1,17,7		٥
(05) Total X Subtotal Pa					Chapter 498/

Revised 9/93

Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated Competence

FORM

TE-2

COMPONENT / ACTIVITY	COST DET	AIL			
(01) Claimant: DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SD	·	(02) Fiscal	Year costs v	vere incurre	ed:95-96
(03) Reimbursable Component: Competence	in Instruction	al Methodolo	gy		
Probationary	Certificated E	Employee Po	licies		
X Parental Cor	nplaint Policie	s			
(04) Description of Expense: Complete columns (a) thro	ough (f).		Co	st Elements	
(a) Employee Names, Job Classifications and Activities Performed and	(b) Hourly Rate or Unit Cost	(c) Hours Worked or	(d) Salaries and Benefits	(e) Materials and Supplies	(f) Contracted Services
Description of Expenses	Unit Cost	Quantity			
RESOLVE COMPLAINTS OVER PRE SB813 LEVELS BOOCK, J/PRINCIPAL BUCHHOLTZ, J/COUNSELOR BURNETT, G/COUNSELOR COUGHRAN, C/PRINCIPAL EINING, C/PRINCIPAL HAGEMANN, M/PRINCIPAL MAUL, B/PRINCIPAL WELLS, B/PRINCIPAL	26.58 41.41 41.41	以 50.00 4 50.00 28.84 以 50.00 4 50.00 29.35 31.75	13 29 1195 2071 2251 1327 1430	1863 - 2025 -	
ZIMMERMAN, D/PRINCIPAL	45.04	4.50	203		
			1130		
	je: ¹ of	1	\$ 12,0		0 0
(05) Total X Subtotal Pag					hapter 498/8

Issue 2 Issue 2B. total 2A+2B 0 - * 0 • * 0 . * 157 + 1,177. + 1,201. + 227 + 227 + 221 • + 2,450 + 316 + 271. += 529 + 3,820· + 301· + 3,810· + 2,146. + 231. + 2,539 + 1,958. + 303· + 2,360 : + -2,501. + 212 + 5,009· + 2,125. + 216. + 1,648. + 1,621 + 2,539· + 258 + 1,696 + 221 • + 2,450· + 1,455. + 303 • + 3,994. + 2,783· + 293. + 2,551. + 2,159. + 303 • ₹ 2,372· + 2,999 + 243. + 2,372. + 303· + 2,051. + 1,861. + 216 + 2,180. + 1,544. + 303 ⋅ + 1,992. + 648· + 177. + 2,270· + 1,388. + 216. + 2,332. + 1,864. + 232 + 1,103. + 106· + 229 + 020 2,474 . + 248 + 47,752. 0 051 303· + 103,983. ◊ 216. + Indirect 47,752. x 6.18 % 275· + 103,983 · X Indirect CUSAS 2.951.0736*+ 346· + 6.18 % CUSTS 6,426.1494*+ 346. + 303· + 47,752. * 303. + 103,983 • * 289 + 47,752. + 13,084. + 2,951. + 103,983.4 809 + 6,426.4 002 50,703.*+ 002 13,893.*+ 110,409.*+ Total

ISSUE 3:

0 • *

```
563· +

1:667· +

1:329· +

1:195· +

2:071· +

2:251· +

1:327· +

1:430· +

203· +
```

12.036. + Approved 11.302. -,000

734 • * +

0 • *

Indirect 734. x Costs 6.18 2 45.3612*+

734 • *

734 · † 45 · †

002 Total 779.*+

0 - *

OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1850 2 Sacramento, CA 94250 Telephone No.: (916) 445-6854 3 BEFORE THE 5 COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES 6 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 No.: CSM 01-4136-I-047 9 INCORRECT REDUCTION CLAIM ON: AFFIDAVIT OF CUSTODIAN 10 Certification of Teacher Evaluator's Demonstrated 11 Competence 12 Education Code section 35160.5 Statutes of 1983, Chapter 498 13 14 DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL 15 DISTRICT, Claimant 16 17 I, Virginia Brummels make the following declarations: 18 1) I am an employee of the State Controller's Office and over 19 the age of 18 years. 20 2) I am currently employed as an Accounting Administrator II, 21 and have been so for the past year. Before that I was employed as a Staff Management Auditor-Specialist, and Accounting 22 Administrator I Specialist and Supervisor for 14 years. 23 3) As a section manager in the Department of Accounting & Reporting I have access to, and am involved in, the intake and 24 processing of claims for reimbursement for expenditures mandated by the state. 25

I do declare that the above declarations are made under penalty of perjury and are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that such knowledge is based on personal observation, information, or belief.

Date: January 28, 2002

OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER

Virginia Brummels

Section Manager Local Reimbursement Section

22

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

PROOF OF SERVICE VIA FIRST CLASS UNITED STATES MAIL

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Sacramento. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within entitled action. My place of employment and business address is 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1850, Sacramento, California 95814.

On February 20, 2002, I served the foregoing INCORRECT REDUCTION

CLAIMS OF SARATOGA, DAVIS, ELK GROVE, MERCED, MILPITAS, SANTA

MARIA AND DAVIS by causing the same to be deposited in the United States Mail to
the person(s) named below at the address(es) shown:

Stephen Smith Mandated Cost Systems, Inc. 2275 Watt Avenue, Suite C Sacramento, CA 95825

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on February 20, 2002, at Sacramento, California.

SHAWN SILVA