

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 300
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
PHONE: (916) 323-3562
FAX: (916) 445-0278
E-mail: csminfo@csm.ca.gov



January 27, 2006

Ms. Bonnie Ter Keurst
County of San Bernardino
Office of the Auditor/Controller-Recorder
222 West Hospitality Lane
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018

And Affected State Agencies and Interested Parties (see enclosed mailing list)

RE: **Adopted Statewide Cost Estimate**
False Reports of Police Misconduct, 00-TC-26
County of San Bernardino, Claimant
Penal Section 148.6, subdivisions (a)(2) and (a)(3)
Statutes 1995, Chapter 590
Statutes 2000, Chapter 289

Dear Ms. Ter Keurst:

On January 26, 2006, the Commission on State Mandates adopted the statewide cost estimate of \$126,024 for the above-named program. This amount will be included in our next Report to the Legislature.

Please call Tina Poole at (916) 323-8220 if you have questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Paula Higashi".

PAULA HIGASHI
Executive Director

Enclosure

MAILED: MailList FAXED: _____
DATE: 1/11/00 INITIAL: JB
CHRON: _____ FILE: _____
WORKING BINDER: _____

STATEWIDE COST ESTIMATE

Penal Code Section 148.6, Subdivisions (a)(2) and (a)(3)

Statutes 1995, Chapter 590

Statutes 2000, Chapter 289

False Reports of Police Misconduct(00-TC-26)

County of San Bernardino, Claimant

Summary of the Mandate

On January 29, 2004, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted its Statement of Decision for *False Reports of Police Misconduct* (00-TC-26). The Commission found that Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a), sections (2) and (3), constitute a new program or higher levels of service and impose a reimbursable state-mandated program upon city and county law enforcement agencies within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6, of the California Constitution, and impose costs mandated by the state pursuant to Government Code section 17514.

The claimant filed the test claim on July 5, 2001. The Commission adopted the Statement of Decision on January 29, 2004, and the parameters and guidelines on March 30, 2005. Eligible claimants were required to file initial reimbursement claims with the State Controller's Office (SCO) by October 4, 2005. The Commission uses these initial claims to develop the statewide cost estimate.

Reimbursable Activities

The Commission approved the following reimbursable activities for this program:

Reimbursement Period July 1, 1999 through December 31, 2000¹:

In accepting an allegation of peace officer misconduct, requiring the complainant to read and sign the advisory prescribed in Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a)(2).²

A. One-Time Activity

1. Update policies and procedures to implement the reimbursable activities listed in Section IV. B. of the parameters and guidelines.

B. Ongoing Activity

1. Provide the complainant with the PC 148.6 advisory form.

¹ Pursuant to Government Code section 17557, subdivision (c).

² Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a)(2), as added by Statutes 1995, chapter 590.

Reimbursement Period Begins January 1, 2001³:

Make the advisory available in multiple languages, utilizing the translations available from the state.⁴

C. One-Time Activities

1. Create an electronic and/or paper advisory form folder to file multi-language PC 148.6 advisory forms, which are created and released by the Department of Justice.
2. Update policies and procedures to implement the reimbursable activities listed in Section IV. C. and D. of the parameters and guidelines.

D. Ongoing Activities

1. Downloading the PC 148.6 advisory form and saving it to an electronic and/or paper advisory form folder.
2. Update the multi-language advisory form folder as needed, if additional PC 148.6 advisory forms become available through the Department of Justice.
3. Inform the local law enforcement agency employees about the availability of the new (or any changes made to the existing) PC 148.6 advisory forms by the Department of Justice.
4. Provide the complainant with the advisory form written in a language understood by the complainant if the advisory form is available from the Department of Justice.⁵

Statewide Cost Estimate

Staff reviewed the claims data submitted by the claimants and compiled by the SCO. The actual claims data showed that eight cities and two counties filed 28 claims between fiscal years 1999-2000 and 2004-2005, for a total of \$90,990. Based on this data, staff made the following assumptions and used the following methodology to develop a statewide cost estimate for this program. This adopted statewide cost estimate will be reported to the Legislature along with staff's assumptions and methodology.

Assumptions

Staff made the following assumptions:

1. *The actual claiming data is unaudited.* The 28 actual claims filed by eight cities and two counties for fiscal years 1999-2000 through 2004-2005 are unaudited and may be inaccurate.⁶
2. *The actual amount claimed will increase if late or amended claims are filed.* Only eight cities and two counties have filed reimbursement claims for this program. Thus, if reimbursement claims are filed by any of the remaining cities and counties, the amount of reimbursement claimed may exceed the statewide cost estimate. For this program, late claims may be filed until October 4, 2006. However, according to claimant representatives, no late claims will be filed.

³ Pursuant to Government Code section 17557, subdivision (c).

⁴ Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a)(3), as added by Statutes 2000, chapter 289.

⁵ Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a)(2) and (a)(3).

⁶ Claims data reported as of November 3, 2005.

3. *The SCO may reduce any reimbursement claim for this program.* If the SCO audits this program and deems any reimbursement claim to be excessive or unreasonable, it may reduce a claim. Therefore, the total amount of reimbursement for this program may be lower than the statewide cost estimate.
4. *Most cities and counties may not meet the minimum \$1,000 filing threshold that entitles them to claim for reimbursement,* because the Commission denied reimbursement for most of the activities alleged by the claimant.

Methodology

Fiscal Years 1999-2000 through 2004-2005

The statewide cost estimate for fiscal years 1999-2000 through 2004-2005 is based on 27 of the 28 actual reimbursement claims filed with the SCO for these years. The claim filed by the City of Merced for fiscal year 2004-2005 was disallowed because it did not meet the minimum \$1,000 filing threshold that entitles it to claim for reimbursement.

Fiscal Years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007

Staff estimated fiscal year 2005-2006 costs by multiplying the 2004-2005 estimate by the implicit price deflator for 2004-2005 (3.5%), as forecast by the Department of Finance. Staff estimated fiscal year 2006-2007 costs by multiplying the 2005-2006 estimate by the implicit price deflator for 2005-2006 (3.1%).

The statewide cost estimate includes eight fiscal years for a total of \$126,024. This averages to \$15,753 annually in costs for the state.

Following is a breakdown of estimated total costs per fiscal year:

Table 1. Breakdown of Estimated Total Costs per Fiscal Year

Fiscal Year	Number of Claims Filed with SCO	Estimated Cost
1999-2000	6	16,787
2000-2001	5	16,797
2001-2002	5	15,254
2002-2003	4	13,341
2003-2004	3	11,175
2004-2005	4	16,979
2005-2006 (estimated)	N/A	17,573
2006-2007 (estimated)	N/A	18,118
TOTAL	27	126,024

Commission on State Mandates

Original List Date: 7/6/2001 Mailing Information: Other
Last Updated: 1/10/2006
List Print Date: 01/27/2006 **Mailing List**
Claim Number: 00-TC-26
Issue: False Reports of Police Misconduct

Related

02-TC-09 False Reports of Police Misconduct (K-14)

TO ALL PARTIES AND INTERESTED PARTIES:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.2.)

Ms. Annette Chinn
Cost Recovery Systems, Inc. Tel: (916) 939-7901
705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294 Fax: (916) 939-7801
Folsom, CA 95630

Mr. David Wellhouse
David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc. Tel: (916) 368-9244
9175 Kiefer Blvd, Suite 121 Fax: (916) 368-5723
Sacramento, CA 95826

Ms. Susan Geanacou
Department of Finance (A-15) Tel: (916) 445-3274
915 L Street, Suite 1190 Fax: (916) 324-4888
Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Steve Keil
California State Association of Counties Tel: (916) 327-7523
1100 K Street, Suite 101 Fax: (916) 441-5507
Sacramento, CA 95814-3941

Ms. Harmeet Barkschat
Mandate Resource Services Tel: (916) 727-1350
5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307 Fax: (916) 727-1734
Sacramento, CA 95842

Ms. Bonnie Ter Keurst **Claimant**
County of San Bernardino Tel: (909) 386-8850
Office of the Auditor/Controller-Recorder Fax: (909) 386-8830
222 West Hospitality Lane
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018

Ms. Jesse McGuinn
Department of Finance (A-15)
915 L Street, 8th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Tel: (916) 445-8913

Fax: (916) 327-0225

Mr. J. Bradley Burgess
Public Resource Management Group
1380 Lead Hill Boulevard, Suite #106
Roseville, CA 95661

Tel: (916) 677-4233

Fax: (916) 677-2283

Mr. Jim Jagers

P.O. Box 1993
Carmichael, CA 95609

Tel: (916) 848-8407

Fax: (916) 848-8407

Ms. Ginny Brummels
State Controller's Office (B-08)
Division of Accounting & Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816

Tel: (916) 324-0256

Fax: (916) 323-6527

Mr. Leonard Kaye, Esq.
County of Los Angeles
Auditor-Controller's Office
500 W. Temple Street, Room 603
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Tel: (213) 974-8564

Fax: (213) 617-8106

Mr. Glen Everroad
City of Newport Beach
3300 Newport Blvd.
P. O. Box 1768
Newport Beach, CA 92659-1768

Tel: (949) 644-3127

Fax: (949) 644-3339

Mr. Steve Smith
Steve Smith Enterprises, Inc.
4633 Whitney Avenue, Suite A
Sacramento, CA 95821

Tel: (916) 483-4231

Fax: (916) 483-1403

Mr. Allan Burdick
MAXIMUS
4320 Auburn Blvd., Suite 2000
Sacramento, CA 95841

Tel: (916) 485-8102

Fax: (916) 485-0111

