Hearing Date: July 31, 2009 J:mandates/2000/00tc23/sce/toc

# ITEM 19 FINAL STAFF ANALYSIS STATEWIDE COST ESTIMATE

Welfare and Institutions Code Sections 12301.3, 12301.4 and 12302.25

Statutes 1999, Chapter 90 Statutes 2000, Chapter 445

In-Home Supportive Services II 00-TC-23

County of San Bernardino, Claimant

# **Table of Contents**

	PDF Pages
Exhibit A Summary Claims Report from State Controller's Office on June 9, 2009	2
Exhibit B Draft Staff Analysis issued on June 16, 2009	4
Exhibit C	
Department of Finance Comments submitted on July 13, 2009	10

#### 9

# State Controller's Office List of All Claims Filed In-Home Supportive Services June 9, 2009

		June 9, 20	09	
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	19992000 9936	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	32,985
		19992000 Total		32,985
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20002001 9901	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	7,651
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20002001 9936	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	104,650
		20002001 Total		112,301
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20012002 9901	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	9,808
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20012002 9903	COUNTY OF AMADOR	8,279
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20012002 9936	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	73,596
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20012002 9942	COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA	18,863
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20012002 9955	COUNTY OF TUOLUMNE	5,988
		20012002 Total		116,534
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20022003 9901	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	18,655
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20022003 9903	COUNTY OF AMADOR	68,060
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20022003 9942	COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA	44,702
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20022003 9955	COUNTY OF TUOLUMNE	1,577
		20022003 Total		132,994
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20032004 9901	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	11,904
		20032004 Total		11,904
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20042005 9901	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	17,837
		20042005 Total		17,837
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20052006 9901	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	16,040
		20052006 Total		16,040
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20062007 9901	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	15,378
		20062007 Total		15,378
289	IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	20072008 9901	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	18,939
		20072008 Total		18,939
289 To	otal			474,912
_00 10	. 661			7/7,3/2

Hearing: July 31, 2009 j:mandates/2001/01tc15/sce/dsa

# ITEM \_\_\_ DRAFT STAFF ANALYSIS STATEWIDE COST ESTIMATE

Welfare and Institutions Code Sections 12301.3, 12301.4 and 12302.25

Statutes 1999, Chapter 90 Statutes 2000, Chapter 445

In-Home Supportive Services II
00-TC-23

County of San Bernardino, Claimant

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The proposed statewide cost estimate includes nine fiscal years for a total of \$474,912 for the *In-Home Supportive Services II* program. Following is a breakdown of estimated total costs per fiscal year:

Fiscal Year	Number of Claims Filed with SCO	Estimated Cost
1999-2000	1	\$32,985
2000-2001	2	\$112,301
2001-2002	5	\$116,534
2002-2003	4	\$132,994
2003-2004	1	\$11,904
2004-2005	1	\$17,837
2005-2006	1	\$16,040
2006-2007	1	\$15,378
2007-2008	1	\$18,939
TOTAL	17	\$474,912

#### Summary of the Mandate

The test claim statutes, in part, address the form in which in-home supportive services care providers are employed, referred to as the "mode of service," including requiring that all counties establish an employer of record for IHSS providers, other than the recipient of the services. The test claim statutes also provide that "[e]ach county shall appoint an in-home supportive services advisory committee that shall be comprised of not more than 11 individuals."

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted the Statement of Decision for the *In-Home Supportive Services II* program (00-TC-23). The Commission found that the test claim statute constitutes a new program or higher level of service and imposes a state-mandated

program on local agencies within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6, of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514.

#### **Statewide Cost Estimate**

Staff reviewed the summary report of claims data prepared by the State Controller's Office (SCO). The report showed that five counties filed17 claims between fiscal years 1999-2000 and 2007-2008 for a total of \$474,912<sup>†</sup> Based on this report, staff made the following assumptions and used the following methodology to develop a statewide cost estimate for this program.

# **Assumptions**

- 1. The actual amount claimed for reimbursement may increase if late or amended claims are filed.
- 2. Non-claiming local agencies did not file claims because: (1) they did not incur more than \$1000 in increased costs for this program; (2) they receive other state and federal revenues that cover the costs of the program; or (3) they did not have supporting documentation to file a reimbursement claim.
- 3. The total amount of reimbursement for this program may be lower than the statewide cost estimate, because the SCO may reduce any reimbursement claim for this program.

# Methodology

The proposed statewide cost estimate for fiscal years 1999-2000 through 2007-2008 was developed by totaling the 17 unaudited actual reimbursement claims filed with the SCO for these years. No projections for future fiscal years were included because funding for 2008-2009 cannot occur until fiscal year 2009-2010.

The proposed statewide cost estimate includes nine fiscal years for a total of \$474,912 for the *In-Home Supportive Services II* program. This averages to \$52,768 annually in costs for the state for this nine-year period.

#### Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the proposed statewide cost estimate of \$474,912 for costs incurred in complying with the *In-Home Supportive Services II* program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Summary report received from SCO on June 9, 2009.

#### STAFF ANALYSIS

# Summary of the Mandate

The test claim statute, in part, address the form in which in-home supportive services care providers are employed, referred to as the "mode of service," including requiring that all counties establish an employer of record for IHSS providers, other than the recipient of the services. The test claim statutes also provide that "[e]ach county shall appoint an in-home supportive services advisory committee that shall be comprised of not more than 11 individuals."

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted the Statement of Decision for the *In-Home Supportive Services II* program (00-TC-23). The Commission found that the test claim statute constitutes a new program or higher level of service and imposes a state-mandated program on local agencies within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6, of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514.

The claimant filed the test claim on June 29, 2001. The Commission adopted a Statement of Decision on April 16, 2007, and the parameters and guidelines on August 1, 2008. Eligible claimants were required to file initial reimbursement claims with the State Controller's Office (SCO) by February 3, 2009, and must file late claims by February 3, 2010.

#### Reimbursable Activities

The Commission approved the following activities for reimbursement:

For each eligible claimant, the following activities are reimbursable:

# A. One-time Activities

- 1. County
  - a) Establishing an employer for in-home supportive service providers. This activity is limited to the administrative costs of establishing an employer of record through a public authority, nonprofit consortium, contract, county administration of the individual provider mode, county civil service personnel, or mixed modes of service. (Reimbursement period is limited to July 12, 1999 through December 31, 2002.)
  - b) Offering an individual provider employer option, for counties with an IHSS caseload of more than 500, upon request of a recipient, and in addition to a county's selected method of establishing an employer for inhome supportive service providers. This activity is limited to the administrative costs of establishing an employer of record in the individual provider mode, upon request. (Reimbursement period begins July 12, 1999.)

#### B. On-going Activities

- 1. Board of Supervisors
  - a) Appointing an in-home supportive services advisory committee comprised of:
    - i. Not more than 11 individuals, with membership as required by section 12301.3, subdivision (a): "No less than 50 percent of the membership of the advisory committee shall be individuals who are current or past users of personal assistance services paid for through

- public or private funds or as recipients of services under this article." (Reimbursement period begins July 12, 1999.)
- ii. In counties with fewer than 500 IHSS recipients, at least one member of the advisory committee shall be a current or former provider of in-home supportive services. (Reimbursement period begins September 14, 2000.)
- iii. In counties with 500 or more IHSS recipients, at least two members of the advisory committee shall be a current or former provider of inhome supportive services. (Reimbursement period begins September 14, 2000.)
- iv. A county board of supervisors shall not appoint more than one county employee as a member of the advisory committee. (Reimbursement period begins September 14, 2000.)
- b) Soliciting recommendations for qualified advisory committee members through a fair and open process that includes the provision of reasonable written notice to, and reasonable response time by, members of the general public and interested persons and organizations. (Reimbursement period begins July 12, 1999.)
- c) Soliciting recommendations from the advisory committee on the preferred mode or modes of service to be utilized in the county for in-home supportive services. (Reimbursement period is limited to July 12, 1999 through December 31, 2002.)
- d) Taking the advice and recommendations of the in-home supportive services advisory committee, as established pursuant to Section 12301.3, prior to making policy and funding decisions about IHSS on an ongoing basis. (Reimbursement period begins July 12, 1999.)

## 2. Advisory Committee

- a) Submitting recommendations to the county board of supervisors on the preferred mode or modes of service to be utilized in the county for inhome supportive services. (Reimbursement period begins July 12, 1999.)
- b) Providing ongoing advice and recommendations regarding in-home supportive services to the county board of supervisors, any administrative body in the county that is related to the delivery and administration of in-home supportive services, and the governing body and administrative agency of the public authority, nonprofit consortium, contractor, and public employees. (Reimbursement period begins July 12, 1999.)

### Offsetting Revenues

Each county receives \$59,000 annually in state and federal funds to assist in covering the costs of the in-home supportive services advisory committee, which must be offset from any reimbursement claims. Therefore, the parameters and guidelines include the following language:

Any offsets the claimant experiences in the same program as a result of the same statutes or executive orders found to contain the mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate from any source,

including but not limited to service fees collected; and federal and state funds, including funds allocated for the direct costs of the IHSS advisory committee pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 12301.4, subdivision (b), county fiscal letters issued by the Department of Social Services allocating state and federal funds for the IHSS advisory committee (DSS CFL Nos. Nos. 00/01-14, 00/01-33, 00/01-48, 01/02-12, 02/03-28, 02/03-73, 03/04-46, 03/04-51, 04/05-16, 04/05-22, 04/05-27, 05/06-10, 06/07-02), and future allocations of state and federal funds for the IHSS advisory committee shall be identified and deducted from this claim.

#### Statewide Cost Estimate

Staff reviewed the summary report of claims data prepared by the SCO.<sup>2</sup> The report showed that five counties filed 17 claims between fiscal years 1999-2000 and 2007-2008 for a total of \$474,912<sup>3</sup> Based on this report, staff made the following assumptions and used the following methodology to develop a statewide cost estimate for this program.

# Assumptions

- 1. The actual amount claimed for reimbursement may increase if late or amended claims are filed.
  - There are 58 counties in California. Of those, only five filed reimbursement claims for this program. If other eligible claimants file reimbursement claims or late or amended claims are filed, the amount of reimbursement claims may exceed the statewide cost estimate
- 2. Non-claiming local agencies did not file claims because: (1) they did not incur more than \$1000 in increased costs for this program; (2) they receive other state and federal revenues that cover the costs of the program; or (3) they did not have supporting documentation to file a reimbursement claim.
  - The state Department of Social Services allocates \$59,000 annually in state and federal funds to each county to assist in the cost of the in-home supportive services advisory committee reimbursed under this program. A claimant representative reports that this amount covers all costs of the program for most counties. All five counties claimed costs during the start-up period from 1999-2000 to 2002-2003, presumably for establishing an employer for in-home supportive service providers, offering an individual provider employer option, and establishing their advisory committees. Only one county (Alameda County) continued to file reimbursement claims after 2002-2003. For the five county claimants, the average total cost claimed during the start-up period is \$98,704. The average ongoing cost/year for one county is \$16,020.
- 3. The total amount of reimbursement for this program may be lower than the statewide cost estimate, because the SCO may reduce any reimbursement claim for this program.
  - If the SCO audits this program and deems any reimbursement claim to be excessive or unreasonable, it may be reduced.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Exhibit A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Summary report received from SCO on June 9, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Counties of Amador, San Bernardino, Santa Barbara, and Tuolumne.

# Methodology

The proposed statewide cost estimate for fiscal years 1999-2000 through 2007-2008 was developed by totaling the 17 unaudited actual reimbursement claims filed with the SCO for these years. No projections for future fiscal years were included because funding for 2008-2009 cannot occur until fiscal year 2009-2010.

The proposed statewide cost estimate includes nine fiscal years for a total of \$474,912 for the *In-Home Supportive Services II* program. For the five county claimants, the average total cost claimed during the start-up period is \$98,704. The average ongoing cost/year for one county is \$16,020.

Following is a breakdown of estimated total costs per fiscal year:

Fiscal Year	Number of Claims Filed with SCO	Estimated Cost
1999-2000	1	\$32,985
2000-2001	2	\$112,301
2001-2002	5	\$116,534
2002-2003	4	\$132,994
2003-2004	1	\$11,904
2004-2005	1	\$17,837
2005-2006	1	\$16,040
2006-2007	1	\$15,378
2007-2008	1	\$18,939
TOTAL	17	\$474,912

# Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the proposed statewide cost estimate of \$474,912 for costs incurred in complying with the *In-Home Supportive Services II* program.

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, GOVERNOR

STATE CAPITOL E ROOM 1145 E SACRAMENTO DA E 95814-4998 E WWW.DDF.DA.GOV

# RECEIVED

JUL 0 7 2009

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

July 7, 2009

Ms. Paula Higashi Executive Director Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Higashi:

The Department of Finance (Finance) has reviewed the proposed statewide cost estimate for CSM-00-TC-23 "In Home Supportive Services II."

Finance concurs with the Commission's staff recommendation to adopt the statewide cost estimate of \$474,912 for fiscal years 1999-00 through 2007-08. As noted on the draft staff analysis, actual cost may be higher or lower based on audit findings or the submittal of amended or late claims.

As required by the Commission's regulations, a "Proof of Service" has been enclosed indicating that the parties included on the mailing list which accompanied your June 16, 2009 letter have been provided with copies of this letter via either United States Mail or, in the case of other state agencies, Interagency Mail Service.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Carla Castañeda, Principal Program Budget Analyst at (916) 916-445-3274.

Sincerely,

Diana L. Ducay

Program Budget Manager

Enclosures

# Enclosures A

DECLARATION OF CARLA CASTAÑEDA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE CLAIM NO. CSM-00-TC-23

1. I am currently employed by the State of California, Department of Finance (Finance), am familiar with the duties of Finance, and am authorized to make this declaration on behalf of Finance.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the facts set forth in the foregoing are true and correct of my own knowledge except as to the matters therein stated as information or belief and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

at Sacramento, CA

Carla Castañada

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

Test Claim Name:

In Home Supportive Services II

Test Claim Number: CSM-00-TC-23

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am employed in the County of Sacramento, State of California, I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to the within entitled cause; my business address is 915 L Street, 12th Floor. Sacramento, CA 95814.

On 7-09-3009, I served the attached recommendation of the Department of Finance in said cause, by facsimile to the Commission on State Mandates and by placing a true copy thereof: (1) to claimants and nonstate agencies enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail at Sacramento, California; and (2) to state agencies in the normal pickup location at 915 L Street, 12th Floor, for Interagency Mail Service, addressed as follows:

A-16

Ms. Paula Higashi, Executive Director Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814 Facsimile No. 445-0278

Mr. Leonard Kave County of Los Angeles Auditor-Controller's Office 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Mr. Dale Mangram Riverside County Auditor Controller's Office 4080 Lemon Street, 11th Floor Riverside, CA 92502

B-08 Mr. Jim Spano State Controller's Office Division of Audits 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 518 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. David Wellhouse David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc. 9175 Kiefer Boulevard, Suite 121 Sacramento, CA 95826

A-16

Ms. Susan Geanacou Department of Finance 915 L Street, Suite 1280 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Allan Burdick MAXIMUS 3130 Kilgore Road, Suite 400 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Ms. Jean Kinney Hurst California State Association of Counties 1100 K Street, Suite 101 Sacramento, CA 95814-3941

Ms. Bonnie TerKeurst County of San Bernarding Office of the Auditor/Controller-Recorder 222 West Hospitality Lane San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018

Ms. Hasmikk Yahgobyan County of Los Angeles Auditor-Controller's Office 500 West Temple Street, Room 603 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Ms. Paula Higashi July 7, 2009 Page 2

Ms. Jolene Tollenaar MGT of America 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95814

A-15 Ms. Carla Castaneda Department of Finance 915 L Street, 12th floor Sacramento, CA 95814

B-29 Ms. Marianne O'Malley Legislative Analyst's Office 925 L Street, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814

Ms. Harmeet Barkschat Mandate Resource Services, LLC 5325 Elkhorn Boulevard, #307 Sacramento, CA 95842

Ms. Juliana F. Gmur MAXIMUS 2380 Houston Avenue Clovis, CA 93611

California.

B-08 Ms. Ginny Brummels State Controller's Office Division of Accounting & Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 500 Sacramento, CA 95816

Ms. Beth Hunter Centration, Inc. 8570 Utica Avenue, Suite 100 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A-24 Ms. Laura Randales-Little Department of Social Services Legal Division 744 P Street, M.S. 4-161 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Glen Everroad City of Newport Beach 3300 Newport Boulevard P.O. Box 1768 Newport Beach, CA 92659-1768

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on 7-09-2009 at Sacramento.

Kelly/Montelengo