

RECEIVED

March 03, 2021

Commission on
State Mandates

SAN FRANCISCO

275 Battery Street Suite 1150 San Francisco, CA 94111 TEL 415.543.4111 FAX 415.543.4384

#### LONG BEACH

115 Pine Avenue Suite 500 Long Beach, CA 90802 TEL 562.366.8500 FAX 562.366.8505

### SAN DIEGO

750 B Street Suite 2310 San Diego, CA 92101 TEL 619.595.0202 FAX 619.702.6202

#### SAN RAFAEL

4040 Civic Center Drive Suite 200 San Rafael, CA 94903 TEL 415.543.4111 FAX 415.543.4384

### CHICO

2485 Notre Dame Boulevard Suite 370-A Chico, CA 95928 TEL 530.343.3334 FAX 530.924.4784

### SACRAMENTO

555 Capitol Mall Suite 645 Sacramento, CA 95814 TEL 916.978.4040 FAX 916.978.4039

### SAN LUIS OBISPO

1065 Higuera Street Suite 301 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 TEL 805.980.7900 FAX 916.978.4039

### **CHRISTIAN M. KEINER**

Attorney at Law ckeiner@DWKesq.com

Sacramento

March 3, 2021

### **VIA DROP BOX**

Heather Halsey Executive Director State of California Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Comments of Castro Valley Unified School, Clovis Unified School District,

Fullerton Joint Union High School District, Grossmont Union High School District, Poway Unified School District; and San Jose Unified School District

Request to Amend Parameters and Guidelines Graduation Requirements, 11-PGA-03 (CSM-4435) Education Code Sections 51225.3 and 42238.24

Statutes 1983, Chapter 498; Statutes 2010, Chapter 724

Department of Finance, Requester

Our file 3313-10320

Dear Ms. Halsey:

Our firm represents San Jose Unified School District; Clovis Unified School District; Grossmont Union High School District; Poway Unified School District; Castro Valley Unified School and Fullerton Joint Union High School District, (collectively "Districts" or "Claimants"), the test claimants in this matter. The Districts submit the following comments, pursuant to the Commission's letter dated February 16, 2021. These comments incorporate the recitation of facts and assertion of law set forth in the complete file in this matter.

## I. BACKGROUND

In its original July 25, 2011 letter requesting amendments to the Parameters and Guidelines adopted, November 6, 2008, and corrected on December 18, 2008, the Department of Finance stated:

The Department of Finance respectfully requests the Commission on State Mandates to amend the parameters and guidelines for Chapter 498 of the Statutes of 1983 (CSM 4435 Graduation

Requirements) to reflect the addition of Education Code section 42238.24 by Chapter 724 of the Statutes of 2010 (AB 1610, Assembly Budget). Education Code section 42238.24 require that state apportionment and select categorical program funding first be used by school districts and county offices of education to offset the classroom teacher salary and benefit costs incurred for courses required by the state. Further, we request that the effective date for the period of reimbursement resulting from adoption of these amendments reflect the enactment date of the governing statute, which was October 19, 2010. (emphasis in original)

Specifically, we propose amending the parameters and guidelines to include the following language regarding the offset and reimbursement of teacher salary and benefit costs, instructional materials costs, and supplies costs, in section IX, Offsetting Revenues and Other Reimbursements as follows:

The Department of Finance then stated:

The State Controller's Office (SCO) will adjust the claims for any prior reimbursements received for the Graduation Requirements program from claims submitted for the period beginning October 19, 2010. (Underline in original.)

If the school district or county office submits a valid reimbursement claim for a new science facility, the reimbursement shall be reduced by the amount of state bond funds, if any, received by the school district or county office to construct the new science facility.

## II. ARGUMENT

1. The California Supreme Court Decision in California School Boards Association (CSBA) v. State of California (2019) 8 Cal.5th 713.

The Commission requested comment upon the impact of CSBA, (*California School Boards Assn. v. State of California* (2019) 8 Cal.5th 713) ("*CSBA III*"), upon this Department of Finance request to amend the Parameters and Guidelines. The Districts herein incorporate Section II.1-3 of the Comments of the California School Board Association, filed this same date, into this Comment.

### 2. Local Bonds are Not "Offsetting Revenues"

a. Offsetting Revenues Are Limited to State and Federal Funds.

The Districts request clarification in the adopted Parameters and Guidelines regarding the status of local bond funds as "offsetting revenue." By definition, offsetting revenues should be limited

to State and Federal funds. The 2008 Parameters and Guidelines for this mandate, as it relates to offsetting revenues, provides as follows:

# IX. OFFSETTING REVENUES AND OTHER REIMBURSEMENTS

Any offsetting revenues the claimant experiences in the same program as a result of the same statutes or executive orders found to contain the mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate from any source, including but not limited to, federal, state, and block grants; total science classrooms and labs teacher salary costs, including related indirect costs, that are funded by restricted resources as identified by the California Department of Education California School Accounting Manual; funds appropriated to Schiff-Bustamante school districts from the Standards-Based Instructional Materials Program (Ed. Code, §§ 60450 et seq., repealed by Stats, 2002, ch. 1168 (AB 1818, § 71, eff. Jan. 1, 2004) and used for supplying the second science classrooms and labs course mandated by Education Code section 51223.5 (as amended by Stats. 1983, ch. 498) with instructional materials; funds appropriated from the State Instructional Materials Fund (Ed. Code, §§ 60240 et seq.) and used for supplying the second science classrooms and labs course mandated by Education Code section 51223.5 (as amended by Stats. 1983, ch. 498) with instructional materials and supplies; and other state funds, shall be identified and deducted from this claim. The State Controller's Office (SCO) will adjust the claims for any prior reimbursements received for the Graduation Requirements program from claims submitted for the period beginning January 1, 2005.

If the school district or county office submits a valid reimbursement claim for a new science classrooms and labs facility, the reimbursement shall be reduced by the amount of *state bond funds*, if any, received by the school district or county office to construct the new science classrooms and labs facility.

(Parameters and Guidelines, p. 8, emphasis added.)

None of the above categories of eligible offsets include local funds, such as local bond proceeds. Rather, the categories specifically include:

- federal, state, and block grants;
- total science classrooms and labs teacher salary costs, including related indirect costs, that are funded by restricted resources as identified by the California Department of Education California School Accounting Manual ["CSAM"];

- funds appropriated to school districts from the Schiff-Bustamante Standards-Based Instructional Materials Program and used for supplying the second science classrooms and labs course mandated by Education Code section 51223.5 with instructional materials ["Schiff-Bustamante Program"];
- funds appropriated from the State Instructional Materials Fund (Ed. Code, §§ 60240 et seq.) and used for supplying the second science classrooms and labs course mandated by Education Code section 51223.5 with instructional materials and supplies ["SIMF"];
- other state funds;
- State bond funds, if any, received by the school district or county office to construct the new science classrooms and labs facility. (emphasis added)

Nevertheless, in at least one matter,¹ the Controller took the position local bond funds were "other state funds" for purposes of offsetting. However, the above language clearly and unambiguously directs that offsets must come from federal or state sources. When the language is clear and unambiguous, there is no need for construction and courts should not indulge in it. (*People v. Benson* (1998) 18 Cal. 4th 24, 30; *Droeger v. Friedman, Sloan & Ross* (1991) 54 Cal.3d. 26, 38 ("It is axiomatic that in the interpretation of a statute where the language is clear, its plain meaning should be followed"") (quoting *Great Lakes Properties, Inc. v. City of El Segundo* (1977) 19 Cal.3d 152, 155); *Matson v. Dvorak* (1995) 40 Cal.App.4th 539, 547 ("When statutory language is clear and unambiguous, there is no need for interpretation, and we must apply the statute as written.")) None of the above categories expressly or by implication touch upon local bond revenues. To be precise, *local* bond revenues are not: (i) federal, state, and block grants, (ii) restricted resources as indicated by the CSAM, (iii) Schiff-Bustamante Program funds, (iv) SIMF funds, (v) other State funds, or (vi) State bond funds.

Such restricted resources must be federal or State resources, as further described below. And, while, state-mandated budget and financial reporting standards require bond proceeds to be accounted for in restricted accounts (e.g., the "Building Fund" (Fund 21) and the "Bond Interest and Redemption Fund" (Fund 51), each held by the County), the account code, which is specified by the State, and used for the local bond proceeds is not determinative of mandate reimbursement at issue here. Any other interpretation flies in the fact of statutory construction.

Local bond revenues are proceeds received from purchasers of general obligation bonds issued under the authority of the State Constitution "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities, approved by 55 percent of the voters

DWK DMS 3678169v2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Graduation Requirements, 16-4435-I-56, Education Code section 51225.3; Statutes 1983, Chapter 498; Fiscal Years: 2008-2009 and 2009-2010, Grossmont Union High School District, Claimant.

Heather Halsey March 3, 2021 Page 5

of the [school] district" (Cal. Const., art. XIII A, sec. 1, subd. (b)(3)), commonly known as "Proposition 39 Bonds." Proposition 39 was approved by California voters in 2000 as a vehicle to provide school districts with a financing source, the repayment of which was supported by an *ad valorem* tax on all taxable property within the jurisdiction of the school district, to pay for voterapproved projects. Prop 39 Bonds are approved upon a 55% positive vote of the electorate. (*Id.*) Prop 39 Bonds may only be issued by a school district in exchange for certain accountability and transparency requirements mandated by the State Constitution, including that all projects must be on the voter-approved "bond project list" and that a community oversight committee reviews performance and financial audits of such expenditures. (*Id.* at subd. (b)(3)(B)-(D).) It is true that the improvement of school facilities for additional science classes may be within the permitted scope of projects under the Constitution, however, Prop 39 Bonds were never intended as a replacement for subvention from the State. To decide to the contrary robs the local community of its rights to local control and accountability required by Article XIII A of the Constitution.

# b. <u>Local Bonds are "Proceeds of Taxes" Restricted to Capital Projects</u> <u>Approved By the Electorate.</u>

On the one hand, local governments are given the power to raise local revenues through taxation but are also limited in the amount of tax revenues that can be generated. On the other hand, mindful of the limited sources of local tax revenues, Article XIII B, section 6, prevents the State from redirecting the limited pot of local tax revenues to fulfill State mandates. This is precisely why, in 2008, the Commission amended the parameters and guidelines for the Graduation Requirements mandate: to make sure that proceeds of taxes were not pulled into the calculus of offsetting revenues. In its findings, the Commission stated that "such an interpretation [i.e., use of proceeds of taxes to offset] would require the local school districts to use proceeds of taxes on a state-mandated program. This violates the purpose of article XIII B, section 6 [which] was specifically designed to protect the tax revenues of local governments from state mandates that would require expenditure of such revenues and restrict local spending in other areas." Commission, Revised Final Staff Analysis [relating to 2008 Amendments to the Parameters and Guidelines], pp. 53-54.)

Case law makes clear that the only locally-derived amounts permitted to be included in the calculus of offsetting revenues are where a local agency can levy assessments or fees. (*County of Fresno v. State of California*, 53 Cal.3d 482, 487). Of course, local bonds are neither fees nor assessments.

Rather, local bonds are a financing vehicle, permitted by the State Constitution, whereby the local agency raises funds for capital expenditures approved by the voters, the repayment of which is secured by proceeds of taxes – the *ad valorem* tax to be exact. The *ad valorem* tax, much like local property taxes, are locally-derived sources of revenue and are therefore considered proceeds of taxes that are not derived from the State.

Finally, the Education Code states that "[w]hen collected, all taxes levied shall be paid into the county treasury of the county whose superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over the school district ... and shall be used for the payment of the principal and interest of the bonds and for no other purpose." (Ed. Code, § section 15251, subd. (a).) Even if the *ad valorem* tax was deemed to be something other than proceeds of taxes, the statute does not permit it to be used

Heather Halsey March 3, 2021 Page 6

for any purpose other than retirement of local bonds; and, as established above, the State Constitution does not permit the bonds to be ultimately spent on anything other than the capital projects approved by the voters within the local tax base.

While local bonds are not subject to Constitutional spending limitations, they are in fact otherwise limited by the Constitution and statute. The Constitution provides that Prop 39 bonds, may only be spent on the scope of projects approved by the voters, and statute provides that such bonds may only be issued up to the statutory bonding capacity for a school district and are subject to tax rate limitations. (Cal. Const., art. XIII A, sec. 1(b)(3), art. XVI, sec. 18(b); Ed. Code, § 15268.)

Local bond revenue is simply not "reimbursement for this mandate from any source" because, unlike state bond revenue, it must be repaid by the District tax base, a local source. A "reimbursement" that has to be repaid is not a reimbursement. The audit report does not state a legal basis which would allow local property tax proceeds to be considered an offset to reimburse Claimant for construction costs to accommodate and implement the State-mandated increased instructional programs such as the Graduation Requirement mandate

### III. CONCLUSION

The Districts hereby submit these Comments pursuant to the Commission's February 16, 2021 letter.

### IV. <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

I certify by my signature below, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the factual statements made in this document are true and complete to the best of my own personal knowledge or information and belief.

Sincerely,

DANNIS WOLIVER KELLEY

Christian M. Keiner

CMK:fh

cc: Interested Parties via CSM's Electronic Filing Mailing List

# **DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL**

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On March 4, 2021, I served the:

- Mr. William B. Tunick's Comments on behalf of the California School Boards Association on the Request for Simultaneous Comment filed March 3, 2021
- Mr. Christian M. Keiner's Comments on behalf of School Districts on the Request for Simultaneous Comment filed March 3, 2021

Request to Amend Parameters and Guidelines *Graduation Requirements*, 11-PGA-03 (CSM-4435) Education Code Sections 51225.3 and 42238.24 Statutes 1983, Chapter 498; Statutes 2010, Chapter 724 Department of Finance, Requester

By making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on March 4, 2021 at Sacramento, California.

Jill L. Magee

Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 323-3562

# **COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES**

# **Mailing List**

Last Updated: 3/3/21

Claim Number: 11-PGA-03

**Matter:** Graduation Requirements (CSM-4435)

Requester: Department of Finance

### TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

Edmundo Aguilar, Chief Counsel, Department of Education

1430 N Street, Sacramento, CA 95814-5901

Phone: (916) 319-0860 EAguilar@cde.ca.gov

Amber Alexander, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Sacramento, Ca Phone: (916) 445-0328 Amber.Alexander@dof.ca.gov

Michael Ambrose, Associate General Counsel, California School Boards Association

3251 Beacon Boulevard, West Sacramento, CA 95691

Phone: (916) 669-3266 mambrose@csba.org

Socorro Aquino, State Controller's Office

Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-7522 SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Harmeet Barkschat, Mandate Resource Services, LLC

5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307, Sacramento, CA 95842

Phone: (916) 727-1350 harmeet@comcast.net

Keith Bray, General Counsel/Chief of Staff, California School Boards Association

3251 Beacon Blvd, West Sacramento, CA 95691

Phone: (916) 669-3273 kbray@csba.org

Mike Brown, School Innovations & Advocacy

5200 Golden Foothill Parkway, El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

Phone: (916) 669-5116 mikeb@sia-us.com

Guy Burdick, Consultant, MGT Consulting

2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815

Phone: (916) 833-7775 gburdick@mgtconsulting.com

J. Bradley Burgess, MGT of America

895 La Sierra Drive, Sacramento, CA 95864

Phone: (916)595-2646 Bburgess@mgtamer.com

Evelyn Calderon-Yee, Bureau Chief, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-5919 ECalderonYee@sco.ca.gov

Carolyn Chu, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8326 Carolyn.Chu@lao.ca.gov

Andra Donovan, San Diego Unified School District

Legal Services Office, 4100 Normal Street, Room 2148, , San Diego, CA 92103

Phone: (619) 725-5630 adonovan@sandi.net

Donna Ferebee, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov

Chris Ferguson, Department of Finance

Education Systems Unit, 915 L Street, 7th Floor, 915 L Street, 7th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Chris.Ferguson@dof.ca.gov

Brianna Garcia, Education Mandated Cost Network

1121 L Street, Suite 1060, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 446-7517 briannag@sscal.com

Susan Geanacou, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 susan.geanacou@dof.ca.gov

Juliana Gmur, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 juliana.gmur@csm.ca.gov

Heather Halsey, Executive Director, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 heather.halsey@csm.ca.gov

### Ed Hanson, Department of Finance

### Requester Representative/Requester Contact

Education Systems Unit, 915 L Street, 7th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-0328 ed.hanson@dof.ca.gov

## Chris Hill, Principal Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance

Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Chris.Hill@dof.ca.gov

# Tiffany Hoang, Associate Accounting Analyst, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-1127 THoang@sco.ca.gov

### Jason Jennings, Director, Maximus Consulting

Financial Services, 808 Moorefield Park Drive, Suite 205, Richmond, VA 23236

Phone: (804) 323-3535 SB90@maximus.com

### Angelo Joseph, Supervisor, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-0706 AJoseph@sco.ca.gov

### Christian Keiner, Dannis Woliver Kelley

2087 Addison Street, 2nd Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Phone: (510) 345-6000 ckeiner@dwkesq.com

### Doug Kimberly, Superintendent, Lake Elsinore Unified School District

545 Chaney Street, Lake Elsinore, CA 92530

Phone: (951) 253-7000

Doug.Kimberly@leusd.k12.ca.us

# Jennifer Kuhn, Deputy, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8332 Jennifer.kuhn@lao.ca.gov

### Lisa Kurokawa, Bureau Chief for Audits, State Controller's Office

Compliance Audits Bureau, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 327-3138 lkurokawa@sco.ca.gov

### Audin Leung, Student Leader, Free the Period California

1 Shield Ave, Pierce Co-op TB14, Davis, CA 95616

Phone: (415) 318-9343 freetheperiod.ca@gmail.com

### Everett Luc, Accounting Administrator I, Specialist, State Controller's Office

3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0766 ELuc@sco.ca.gov

Jill Magee, Program Analyst, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 Jill.Magee@csm.ca.gov

Michelle Mendoza, MAXIMUS

17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403

Phone: (949) 440-0845

michellemendoza@maximus.com

Yazmin Meza, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-0328 Yazmin.meza@dof.ca.gov

Lourdes Morales, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8320

Lourdes.Morales@LAO.CA.GOV

Debra Morton, Manager, Local Reimbursements Section, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-0256 DMorton@sco.ca.gov

Melissa Ng, Staff Finance Budget Analyst, Department of Finance

915 L Street, 7th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-0328 Melissa.Ng@dof.ca.gov

Michelle Nguyen, Department of Finance

Education Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-0328 Michelle.Nguyen@dof.ca.gov

**Andy Nichols**, Nichols Consulting

1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819

Phone: (916) 455-3939 andy@nichols-consulting.com

Arthur Palkowitz, Artiano Shinoff

2488 Historic Decatur Road, Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92106

Phone: (619) 232-3122 apalkowitz@as7law.com

Keith Petersen, SixTen & Associates

P.O. Box 340430, Sacramento, CA 95834-0430

Phone: (916) 419-7093 kbpsixten@aol.com

Ned Resnikoff, Fiscal & Policy Analyst, K-12, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 319-8332 Ned.Resnikoff@lao.ca.gov

Sandra Reynolds, President, Reynolds Consulting Group, Inc.

P.O. Box 891359, Temecula, CA 92589-1359

Phone: (888) 202-9442 reginc19@gmail.com

Carla Shelton, Commission on State Mandates 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 carla.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Camille Shelton, Chief Legal Counsel, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 camille.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Steve Shields, Shields Consulting Group, Inc.

1536 36th Street, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 454-7310 steve@shieldscg.com

Natalie Sidarous, Chief, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA

95816

Phone: 916-445-8717 NSidarous@sco.ca.gov

Joe Stephenshaw, Director, Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee

California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 651-4103 Joe.Stephenshaw@sen.ca.gov

Amy Tang-Paterno, Educational Fiscal Services Consultant, California Department of Education

Government Affairs, 1430 N Street, Suite 5602, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 322-6630 ATangPaterno@cde.ca.gov

Jolene Tollenaar, MGT of America

2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815

Phone: (916) 243-8913 jolenetollenaar@gmail.com

William Tunick, Attorney, Dannis Woliver Kelley

2087 Addison Street, 2nd Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Phone: (510) 345-6000 wtunick@dwkesq.com

Brian Uhler, Principal Fiscal & Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8328 Brian.Uhler@LAO.CA.GOV

Michelle Valdivia, Department of Finance

Education Systems Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-0328 Michelle.valdivia@dof.ca.gov

Marichi Valle, San Jose Unified School District

855 Lenzen Avenue, San Jose, CA 95126

Phone: (408) 535-6141 mvalle@sjusd.org