



RECEIVED
April 20, 2020
**Commission on
State Mandates**

SAN FRANCISCO
275 Battery Street
Suite 1150
San Francisco, CA 94111
TEL 415.543.4111
FAX 415.543.4384

LONG BEACH
115 Pine Avenue
Suite 500
Long Beach, CA 90802
TEL 562.366.8500
FAX 562.366.8505

SAN DIEGO
750 B Street
Suite 2310
San Diego, CA 92101
TEL 619.595.0202
FAX 619.702.6202

SAN RAFAEL
4040 Civic Center Drive
Suite 200
San Rafael, CA 94903
TEL 415.543.4111
FAX 415.543.4384

CHICO
2485 Notre Dame Boulevard
Suite 370-A
Chico, CA 95928
TEL 530.343.3334
FAX 530.924.4784

SACRAMENTO
555 Capitol Mall
Suite 645
Sacramento, CA 95814
TEL 916.978.4040
FAX 916.978.4039

SAN LUIS OBISPO
1065 Higuera Street
Suite 301
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401
TEL 805.980.7900
FAX 916.978.4039

www.DWKesq.com

CHRISTIAN M. KEINER
Attorney at Law
ckeiner@DWKesq.com

Sacramento

April 20, 2020

VIA DROP BOX

Heather Halsey
Executive Director
State of California Commission on State Mandates
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Comments of Castro Valley Unified School, Clovis Unified School District, Fullerton Joint Union High School District, Grossmont Union High School District, Poway Unified School District; and San Jose Unified School District Request to Amend Parameters and Guidelines Graduation Requirements, 11-PGA-03 (CSM-4435) Education Code Sections 51225.3 and 42238.24 Statutes 1983, Chapter 498; Statutes 2010, Chapter 724 Department of Finance, Requester Our file 3313-10320

Dear Ms. Halsey:

Our firm represents San Jose Unified School District; Clovis Unified School District; Grossmont Union High School District; Poway Unified School District; Castro Valley Unified School and Fullerton Joint Union High School District, (collectively "Districts" or "Claimants"), the test claimants in this matter. The Districts submit the following comments, pursuant to the Commission's letter dated December 20, 2019. These comments incorporate the recitation of facts and assertion of law set forth in the complete file in this matter.

I. BACKGROUND

This matter involving requested amendments to the Parameters and Guidelines is back before the Commission, by way of comment letter dated December 20, 2019. In its original July 25, 2011 letter requesting amendments to the Parameters and Guidelines adopted, November 6, 2008, and corrected on December 18, 2008, the Department of Finance stated:

The Department of Finance respectfully requests the Commission on State Mandates to amend the parameters and guidelines for Chapter 498 of the Statutes of 1983 (CSM 4435 Graduation Requirements) to reflect the addition of Education Code section 42238.24 by Chapter 724 of the Statutes of 2010 (AB 1610, Assembly Budget). Education Code section 42238.24 require that state apportionment and select categorical program funding first be used by school districts and county offices of education to offset the classroom teacher salary and benefit costs incurred for courses required by the state. Further, we request that the effective date for the period of reimbursement resulting from adoption of these amendments reflect the enactment date of the governing statute, which was October 19, 2010. (emphasis in original)

Specifically, we propose amending the parameters and guidelines to include the following language regarding the offset and reimbursement of teacher salary and benefit costs, instructional materials costs, and supplies costs, in section IX, Offsetting Revenues and Other Reimbursements as follows:

The Department of Finance then stated:

The State Controller's Office (SCO) will adjust the claims for any prior reimbursements received for the Graduation Requirements program from claims submitted for the period beginning October 19, 2010. (Underline in original.)

If the school district or county office submits a valid reimbursement claim for a new science facility, the reimbursement shall be reduced by the amount of state bond funds, if any, received by the school district or county office to construct the new science facility.

The Department of Finances' current comments regarding its previous request to amend the Parameters and Guidelines are now due April 20, 2020.

II. ARGUMENT

1. The California Supreme Court Decision in *California School Boards Association (CSBA) v. State of California* (2019) 8 Cal.5th 713.

The Commission requested comment upon the impact of CSBA, (*California School Boards Assn. v. State of California* (2019) 8 Cal.5th 713) ("*CSBA III*"), upon this Department of Finance request to amend the Parameters and Guidelines.

The Districts herein incorporate Section II.1-3 of the Comments of the California School Board Association, filed this same date, into this Comment.

2. Local Bonds are Not "Offsetting Revenues"

a. Offsetting Revenues Are Limited to State and Federal Funds.

The Districts request clarification in the adopted Parameters and Guidelines regarding the status of local bond funds as "offsetting revenue." By definition, offsetting revenues should be limited to State and Federal funds. The 2008 Parameters and Guidelines for this mandate, as it relates to offsetting revenues, provides as follows:

IX. OFFSETTING REVENUES AND OTHER REIMBURSEMENTS

Any offsetting revenues the claimant experiences in the same program as a result of the same statutes or executive orders found to contain the mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate from any source, including but not limited to, federal, state, and block grants; total science classrooms and labs teacher salary costs, including related indirect costs, that are funded by restricted resources as identified by the California Department of Education California School Accounting Manual; funds appropriated to school districts from the Schiff-Bustamante Standards-Based Instructional Materials Program (Ed. Code, §§ 60450 et seq., repealed by Stats, 2002, ch. 1168 (AB 1818, § 71, eff. Jan. 1, 2004) and used for supplying the second science classrooms and labs course mandated by Education Code section 51223.5 (as amended by Stats. 1983, ch. 498) with instructional materials; funds appropriated from the State Instructional Materials Fund (Ed. Code, §§ 60240 et seq.) and used for supplying the second science classrooms and labs course mandated by Education Code section 51223.5 (as amended by Stats. 1983, ch. 498) with instructional materials and supplies; and other state funds, shall be identified and deducted from this claim. The State Controller's Office (SCO) will adjust the claims for any prior reimbursements received for the Graduation Requirements program from claims submitted for the period beginning January 1, 2005.

If the school district or county office submits a valid reimbursement claim for a new science classrooms and labs facility, the reimbursement shall be reduced by the amount of *state bond funds*, if any, received by the school district or county office to construct the new science classrooms and labs facility.

(Parameters and Guidelines, p. 8, emphasis added.)

None of the above categories of eligible offsets include local funds, such as local bond proceeds. Rather, the categories specifically include:

- federal, state, and block grants;
- total science classrooms and labs teacher salary costs, including related indirect costs, that are funded by restricted resources as identified by the California Department of Education California School Accounting Manual ["CSAM"];
- funds appropriated to school districts from the Schiff-Bustamante Standards-Based Instructional Materials Program and used for supplying the second science classrooms and labs course mandated by Education Code section 51223.5 with instructional materials ["Schiff-Bustamante Program"];
- funds appropriated from the State Instructional Materials Fund (Ed. Code, §§ 60240 et seq.) and used for supplying the second science classrooms and labs course mandated by Education Code section 51223.5 with instructional materials and supplies ["SIMF"];
- other state funds;
- *State bond funds*, if any, received by the school district or county office to construct the new science classrooms and labs facility. (emphasis added)

Nevertheless, in at least one matter,¹ the Controller took the position local bond funds were "other state funds" for purposes of offsetting. However, the above language clearly and unambiguously directs that offsets must come from federal or state sources. When the language is clear and unambiguous, there is no need for construction and courts should not indulge in it. (*People v. Benson* (1998) 18 Cal. 4th 24, 30; *Droeger v. Friedman, Sloan & Ross* (1991) 54 Cal. 3d. 26, 38 ("When the language of a statute is clear, its plain meaning should be followed"); *Matson v. Dvorak* (1995) 40 Cal.App.4th 539, 547 ("When the statutory language is clear and unambiguous, there is no need for interpretation and the court must apply the statute as written.")) None of the above categories expressly or by implication touch upon local bond revenues. To be precise, *local* bond revenues are not: (i) federal, state, and block grants, (ii) restricted resources as indicated by the CSAM, (iii) Schiff-Bustamante Program funds, (iv) SIMF funds, (v) other State funds, or (vi) State bond funds.

Such restricted resources must be federal or State resources, as further described below. And, while, state-mandated budget and financial reporting standards require bond proceeds to be accounted for in restricted accounts (e.g., the "Building Fund" (Fund 21) and the "Bond Interest and Redemption Fund" (Fund 51), each held by the County), the account code, which is specified

¹ *Grossmont Requirements*, 16-4435-I-56, Education Code section 51225.3; Statutes 1983, Chapter 498; Fiscal Years: 2008-2009 and 2009-2010, Grossmont Union High School District, Claimant.

by the State, and used for the local bond proceeds is not determinative of mandate reimbursement at issue here. Any other interpretation flies in the fact of statutory construction.

Local bond revenues, are proceeds received from purchasers of general obligation bonds, issued under the authority of the State Constitution "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities, approved by 55 percent of the voters of the [school] district." (Cal. Const., art. XIII A, sec. 1, subd. (b)(3).), commonly known as "Proposition 39 Bonds." Proposition 39 was approved by California voters in 2000 as a vehicle to provide school districts with a financing source, the repayment of which was supported by an *ad valorem* tax on all taxable property within the jurisdiction of the school district, to pay for voter-approved projects. Prop 39 Bonds are approved upon a 55% positive vote of the electorate. (*Id.*) Prop 39 Bonds may only be issued by a school district in exchange for certain accountability and transparency requirements mandated by the State Constitution, including that all projects must be on the voter-approved "bond project list" and that a community oversight committee reviews performance and financial audits of such expenditures. (*Id.* at subd. (b)(3)(B).) It is true that the improvement of school facilities for additional science classes may be within the permitted scope of projects under the Constitution, however, Prop 39 Bonds were never intended as a replacement for subvention from the State. To decide to the contrary robs the local community of its rights to local control and accountability required by Article XIII A of the Constitution.

b. Local Bonds are "Proceeds of Taxes" Restricted to Capital Projects Approved By the Electorate.

On the one hand, local governments are given the power to raise local revenues through taxation but are also limited in the amount of tax revenues that can be generated. On the other hand, mindful of the limited sources of local tax revenues, Article XIII B, section 6, prevents the State from redirecting the limited pot of local tax revenues to fulfill State mandates. This is precisely why, in 2008, the Commission amended the parameters and guidelines for the Graduation Requirements mandate: to make sure that proceeds of taxes were not pulled into the calculus of offsetting revenues. In its findings, the Commission stated that "such an interpretation [i.e., use of proceeds of taxes to offset] would require the local school districts to use proceeds of taxes on a state-mandated program. This violates the purpose of article XIII B, section 6 [which] was specifically designed to protect the tax revenues of local governments from state mandates that would require expenditure of such revenues and restrict local spending in other areas." Commission, Revised Final Staff Analysis [relating to 2008 Amendments to the Parameters and Guidelines], pp. 53-54.)

Case law makes clear that the only locally-derived amounts permitted to be included in the calculus of offsetting revenues are where a local agency can levy assessments or fees. (*County of Fresno v. State of California*, 53 Cal.3d 482, 487). Of course, local bonds are neither fees nor assessments.

Rather, local bonds are a financing vehicle, permitted by the State Constitution, whereby the local agency raises funds for capital expenditures approved by the voters, the repayment of which is secured by proceeds of taxes – the *ad valorem* tax to be exact. The *ad valorem* tax,

much like local property taxes, are locally-derived sources of revenue and are therefore considered proceeds of taxes that are not derived from the State.

Finally, the Education Code states that “[w]hen collected, all taxes levied shall be paid into the county treasury of the county whose superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over the school district ... and shall be used for the payment of the principal and interest of the bonds and for no other purpose.” (Ed. Code, § section 15251, subd. (a).) Even if the *ad valorem* tax was deemed to be something other than proceeds of taxes, the statute does not permit it to be used for any purpose other than retirement of local bonds; and, as established above, the State Constitution does not permit the bonds to be ultimately spent on anything other than the capital projects approved by the voters within the local tax base.

While local bonds are not subject to Constitutional spending limitations, they are in fact otherwise limited by the Constitution and statute. The Constitution provides that Prop 39 bonds, may only be spent on the scope of projects approved by the voters, and statute provides that such bonds may only be issued up to the statutory bonding capacity for a school district and are subject to tax rate limitations. (Cal. Const., art. XIII A, sec. 1(b)(3), art. XVI, sec. 18(b); Ed. Code, § 15268.)

Local bond revenue is simply not “reimbursement for this mandate from any source” because, unlike state bond revenue, it must be repaid by the District tax base, a local source. A “reimbursement” that has to be repaid is not a reimbursement. The audit report does not state a legal basis which would allow local property tax proceeds to be considered an offset to reimburse Claimant for construction costs to accommodate and implement the State-mandated increased instructional programs such as the Graduation Requirement mandate

III. CONCLUSION

The Districts hereby submit these Comments pursuant to the Commission’s December 20, 2019 letter.

IV. CERTIFICATION

I certify by my signature below, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the factual statements made in this document are true and complete to the best of my own personal knowledge or information and belief.

Sincerely,

DANNIS WOLIVER KELLEY



Christian M. Keiner

CMK:fh

cc: Interested Parties via CSM’s Electronic Filing Mailing List

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On April 24, 2020, I served the:

- **Notice of Inactive Status issued April 24, 2020**
- **Mr. William B. Tunick's Comments on behalf of the California School Boards Association (CSBA) on the Request for Simultaneous Comment filed April 20, 2020**
- **Mr. Christian M. Keiner's Comments on behalf of School Districts on the Request for Simultaneous Comment filed April 20, 2020**
- **Finance's Request to Place Matter on Inactive Status filed April 17, 2020**

Request to Amend Parameters and Guidelines
Graduation Requirements, 11-PGA-03 (CSM-4435)
Education Code Sections 51225.3 and 42238.24
Statutes 1983, Chapter 498; Statutes 2010, Chapter 724
Department of Finance, Requester

By making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on April 24, 2020 at Sacramento, California.



Jill L. Magee
Commission on State Mandates
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 323-3562

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

Mailing List

Last Updated: 4/24/20

Claim Number: 11-PGA-03

Matter: Graduation Requirements (CSM-4435)

Requester: Department of Finance

TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

Edmundo Aguilar, Chief Counsel, *Department of Education*
1430 N Street, Sacramento, CA 95814-5901
Phone: (916) 319-0860
EAguilar@cde.ca.gov

Amber Alexander, *Department of Finance*
915 L Street, Sacramento, Ca
Phone: (916) 445-0328
Amber.Alexander@dof.ca.gov

Michael Ambrose, Associate General Counsel, *California School Boards Association*
3251 Beacon Boulevard, West Sacramento, CA 95691
Phone: (916) 669-3266
mambrose@csba.org

Socorro Aquino, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 322-7522
SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Harmeet Barkschat, *Mandate Resource Services, LLC*
5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307, Sacramento, CA 95842
Phone: (916) 727-1350
harmeet@comcast.net

Mike Brown, *School Innovations & Advocacy*
5200 Golden Foothill Parkway, El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

Phone: (916) 669-5116
mikeb@sia-us.com

J. Bradley Burgess, *MGT of America*
895 La Sierra Drive, Sacramento, CA 95864
Phone: (916)595-2646
Bburgess@mgtamer.com

Evelyn Calderon-Yee, Bureau Chief, *State Controller's Office*
Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street,
Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 324-5919
ECalderonYee@sco.ca.gov

Gwendolyn Carlos, *State Controller's Office*
Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street,
Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 323-0706
gcarlos@sco.ca.gov

Carolyn Chu, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office*
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 319-8326
Carolyn.Chu@lao.ca.gov

Andra Donovan, *San Diego Unified School District*
Legal Services Office, 4100 Normal Street, Room 2148, , San Diego, CA 92103
Phone: (619) 725-5630
adonovan@sandi.net

Donna Ferebee, *Department of Finance*
915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov

Chris Ferguson, *Department of Finance*
Education Systems Unit, 915 L Street, 7th Floor, 915 L Street, 7th Floor, Sacramento, CA
95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
Chris.Ferguson@dof.ca.gov

Brianna Garcia, *Education Mandated Cost Network*
1121 L Street, Suite 1060, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 446-7517
briannag@sscal.com

Susan Geanacou, *Department of Finance*
915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
susan.geanacou@dof.ca.gov

Diana Halpenny, *Kronick Moskovitz Tiedemann & Girard*
400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 321-4500
DHalpenny@kmtg.com

Heather Halsey, Executive Director, *Commission on State Mandates*
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 323-3562
heather.halsey@csm.ca.gov

Ed Hanson, *Department of Finance*
Requester Representative/Requester Contact
Education Systems Unit, 915 L Street, 7th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-0328
ed.hanson@dof.ca.gov

Chris Hill, Principal Program Budget Analyst, *Department of Finance*
Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
Chris.Hill@dof.ca.gov

Jason Jennings, Director, *Maximus Consulting*
Financial Services, 808 Moorefield Park Drive, Suite 205, Richmond, VA 23236
Phone: (804) 323-3535
SB90@maximus.com

Christian Keiner, *Dannis Woliver Kelley*
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 645, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 978-4040
ckeiner@dwkesq.com

Doug Kimberly, Superintendent, *Lake Elsinore Unified School District*
545 Chaney Street, Lake Elsinore, CA 92530
Phone: (951) 253-7000
Doug.Kimberly@leusd.k12.ca.us

Jennifer Kuhn, Deputy, *Legislative Analyst's Office*
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 319-8332
Jennifer.kuhn@lao.ca.gov

Lisa Kurokawa, Bureau Chief for Audits, *State Controller's Office*
Compliance Audits Bureau, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 327-3138
lkurokawa@sco.ca.gov

Audin Leung, Student Leader, *Free the Period California*
1 Shield Ave, Pierce Co-op TB14, Davis, CA 95616
Phone: (415) 318-9343
freetheperiod.ca@gmail.com

Jill Magee, Program Analyst, *Commission on State Mandates*
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 323-3562
Jill.Magee@csm.ca.gov

Michelle Mendoza, *MAXIMUS*

17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403
Phone: (949) 440-0845
michellemendoza@maximus.com

Kathryn Meola, General Counsel, *California School Boards Association*
3251 Beacon Blvd., West Sacramento, CA 95691
Phone: (916) 669-3273
kmeola@csba.org

Yazmin Meza, *Department of Finance*
915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-0328
Yazmin.meza@dof.ca.gov

Lourdes Morales, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office*
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 319-8320
Lourdes.Morales@LAO.CA.GOV

Debra Morton, Manager, Local Reimbursements Section, *State Controller's Office*
Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street,
Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 324-0256
DMorton@sco.ca.gov

Michelle Nguyen, *Department of Finance*
Education Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-0328
Michelle.Nguyen@dof.ca.gov

Andy Nichols, *Nichols Consulting*
1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819
Phone: (916) 455-3939
andy@nichols-consulting.com

Arthur Palkowitz, *Artiano Shinoff*
2488 Historic Decatur Road, Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92106
Phone: (619) 232-3122
apalkowitz@as7law.com

Keith Petersen, *SixTen & Associates*
P.O. Box 340430, Sacramento, CA 95834-0430
Phone: (916) 419-7093
kpbsixten@aol.com

Ned Resnikoff, Fiscal & Policy Analyst, K-12, *Legislative Analyst's Office*
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 319-8332
Ned.Resnikoff@lao.ca.gov

Sandra Reynolds, President, *Reynolds Consulting Group, Inc.*
P.O. Box 891359, Temecula, CA 92589-1359
Phone: (888) 202-9442
reginc19@gmail.com

Carla Shelton, *Commission on State Mandates*
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 323-3562
carla.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Camille Shelton, Chief Legal Counsel, *Commission on State Mandates*
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 323-3562
camille.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Steve Shields, *Shields Consulting Group, Inc.*
1536 36th Street, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 454-7310
steve@shieldseg.com

Natalie Sidarous, Chief, *State Controller's Office*
Local Government Programs and Services Division, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: 916-445-8717
NSidarous@sco.ca.gov

Jim Spano, Chief, Mandated Cost Audits Bureau, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 323-5849
jspano@sco.ca.gov

Dennis Speciale, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 324-0254
DSpeciale@sco.ca.gov

Joe Stephenshaw, Director, *Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee*
California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 651-4103
Joe.Stephenshaw@sen.ca.gov

Amy Tang-Paterno, Educational Fiscal Services Consultant, *California Department of Education*
Government Affairs, 1430 N Street, Suite 5602, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 322-6630
ATangPaterno@cde.ca.gov

Jolene Tollenaar, *MGT of America*
2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815
Phone: (916) 243-8913
jolenetollenaar@gmail.com

William Tunick, Attorney, *Dannis Woliver Kelley*
275 Battery Street, Suite 1150, San Francisco, CA 94111
Phone: (415) 543-4111
wtunick@dwkesq.com

Brian Uhler, Principal Fiscal & Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office*
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8328
Brian.Uhler@LAO.CA.GOV

Michelle Valdivia, *Department of Finance*
Education Systems Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-0328
Michelle.valdivia@dof.ca.gov

Marichi Valle, *San Jose Unified School District*
855 Lenzen Avenue, San Jose, CA 95126
Phone: (408) 535-6141
mvalle@sjusd.org