

March 14, 2024

Mr. Howard Gest Burhenn & Gest, LLP 12401 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 200 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Ms. Natalie Sidarous State Controller's Office Local Government Programs and Services Division 3301 C Street, Suite 740 Sacramento, CA 95816

And Parties, Interested Parties, and Interested Persons (See Mailing List)

Re: Corrected Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11 Cities of Dana Point, Laguna Hills, Laguna Niguel, Lake Forest, Mission Viejo, San Juan Capistrano, the County of Orange, and the Orange County Flood Control District, Claimants

Dear Mr. Gest and Ms. Sidarous:

On January 26, 2024 the Commission on State Mandates adopted the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines on the above-captioned matter. Corrections of a clerical error were made on March 14, 2024, to the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1187.11(b), to identify the correct effective date of the test claim permit, December 16, 2009, as follows:

This Decision and Parameters and Guidelines have been corrected to reflect the correct approval date of the test claim permit of **December 16, 2009** on page 6 of the Decision in section **B. Period of Reimbursement (Section III. of the Parameters and Guidelines)** and in section **C. Reimbursable Activities (Section IV. of the Parameters and Guidelines)** and page 14 of the Parameters and Guidelines in section **III. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT.** The Decision and Parameters and Guidelines as originally served identified the wrong effective date of December 19, 2009.¹

Commission on State Mandates

¹ California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1187.11(b) authorizes the correction of clerical errors after the Decision is adopted and served.

J:\MANDATES\2010\TC\10-TC-11 SDRWQCB Order No. R9-2009-0002\Correspondence\Corrected Decision and Ps and Gs trans.docx

Mr. Gest and Ms. Sidarous March 14, 2024 Page 2

Please keep the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines together as one document, as it together constitutes the entire decision of the Commission and the "Decision" portion informs the interpretation of the "Parameters and Guidelines." It is hoped that by providing the entire Decision and Parameters and Guidelines with the claiming instructions that claimants will be better equipped to correctly claim reimbursement, resulting in fewer reductions upon audit and fewer incorrect reduction claims.

Sincerely,

Juliana Gmur Acting Executive Director

BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES	Case No.: 10-TC-11
California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009	California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009
Period of reimbursement from December 16, 2009, through December 31, 2017	DECISION PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 17500 ET SEQ.; CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, DIVISION 2, CHAPTER 2.5, ARTICLE 7.
	(Adopted January 26, 2024)
	(Served January 26, 2024)
	(Corrected March 14, 2024)
	(Served March 14, 2024)

CORRECTED PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

The Commission on State Mandates adopted the attached Decision and Parameters and Guidelines on January 26, 2024.

Corrections of a clerical error were made on March 14, 2024, to the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1187.11(b), to identify the correct effective date of the test claim permit, December 16, 2009, as follows:

This Decision and Parameters and Guidelines have been corrected to reflect the correct effective date of the test claim permit of **December 16, 2009** on page 6 of the Decision in section **B. Period of Reimbursement (Section III. of the Parameters and Guidelines)** and in section **C. Reimbursable Activities (Section IV. of the Parameters and Guidelines)** and page 14 of the Parameters and Guidelines in section **III. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT**. The Decision and Parameters and Guidelines as originally served identified the wrong effective date of December 19, 2009.¹

Juliana Gmur, Acting Executive Director

¹ California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1187.11(b) authorizes the correction of clerical errors after the Decision is adopted and served.

BEFORE THE		
COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES		
STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
IN RE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES	Case No.: 10-TC-11	
California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009	California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009	
Period of reimbursement from December 16, 2009, through December 31, 2017	DECISION PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 17500 ET SEQ.; CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, DIVISION 2, CHAPTER 2.5, ARTICLE 7.	
	(Adopted January 26, 2024)	
	(Served January 26, 2024)	
	(Corrected March 14, 2024)	
	(Served March 14, 2024)	

CORRECTED DECISION

A clerical error in this Decision and Parameters and Guidelines has been corrected to reflect the correct effective date of the test claim permit of **December 16, 2009** on page 6 of the Decision in section **B. Period of Reimbursement (Section III. of the Parameters and Guidelines)** and in section **C. Reimbursable Activities (Section IV. of the Parameters and Guidelines)** and page 14 of the Parameters and Guidelines in section **III. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT**. The Decision and Parameters and Guidelines as originally served identified the wrong effective date of December 19, 2009.¹

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) heard and decided this Decision and Parameters and Guidelines during a regularly scheduled hearing on January 26, 2024. Howard Gest appeared for the claimants. Chris Hill and Kaily Yap appeared for the Department of Finance.

¹ California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1187.11(b) authorizes the correction of clerical errors after the Decision is adopted and served.

The law applicable to the Commission's determination of a reimbursable statemandated program is article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, Government Code sections 17500 et seq., and related case law.

The Commission adopted the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

Member	Vote
Lee Adams, County Supervisor	Yes
Juan Fernandez, Representative of the State Treasurer, Vice Chairperson	Yes
Jennifer Holman, Representative of the Director of the Office of Planning and Research	Yes
Gayle Miller, Representative of the Director of the Department of Finance, Chairperson	Yes
Renee Nash, School District Board Member	Absent
David Oppenheim, Representative of the State Controller	

I. Summary of the Mandate

These Parameters and Guidelines address state-mandated activities arising from NPDES Order No. R9-2009-0002 issued by the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board on December 16, 2009.

On October 27, 2023, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted its Decision finding that the test claim permit imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program upon local agencies within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514 from December 16, 2009, through December 31, 2017. The Commission partially approved the Test Claim for the following reimbursable activities only:

- 1. Develop a monitoring plan to sample a representative percent of the major outfalls within each hydrologic subarea to determine SAL compliance (*one-time* activity as required by section D.2.).
- 2. Update the map of the entire MS4 and the corresponding drainage areas within each copermittees' jurisdiction *in GIS format* and submit GIS layers within 365 days of adoption of the permit to the Regional Board (*one-time only*, as required by section F.4.b.)
- 3. JRMP Effectiveness Assessment and Reporting, and Work Plan to Address High Priority Water Quality Problems (Sections J.1., J.3., and J.4.)
 - Establish annual assessment measures for reducing discharges into each downstream 303(d) listed water body and downstream environmentally sensitive areas that conform to the six outcome levels developed by CASQA,

 California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.;
K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11 Corrected Decision and Parameters and Guidelines and which target water quality outcomes and the results of municipal enforcement activities, and to annually assess those measures. (Section J.1.a.)

- b. Include the following effectiveness assessment information within each annual report, beginning with the 2011 annual report:
 - A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for reducing discharges of stormwater pollutants from the MS4 into each 303(d) listed waterbody. (Section J.3.a.1.)
 - A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for managing discharges of pollutants from the MS4 into each downstream environmentally sensitive area. (Section J.3.a.2.)
 - A description of the steps that will be taken to improve the copermittees' ability to assess program effectiveness using measurable targeted outcomes, assessment measures, assessment methods, and outcome levels 1-6, and include a time schedule for when improvement will occur. (Section J.3.a.8.)
- c. Develop a work plan to address high priority water quality problems in an iterative manner over the life of the permit. The plan is required to be submitted to the Regional Board within 365 days of the adoption of the test claim permit, and shall be annually updated and included in the annual JRMP report. The work plan shall include the following information (Section J.4):
 - The problems and priorities identified during the assessment.
 - A list of priority pollutants and known or suspected sources.
 - A brief description of the strategy employed to reduce, eliminate or mitigate the negative impacts.
 - A description and schedule for new or modified BMPs. The schedule is to include dates for significant milestones.
 - A description of how the selected activities will address an identified high priority problem, including a description of the expected effectiveness and benefits of the new or modified BMPs.
 - A description of how efficacy results will be used to modify priorities and implementation.
 - A review of past activities implemented, progress in meeting water quality standards, and planned program adjustments. (Section J.4.)
- 4. Annual JRMP Reports (Section F.1.d.7.i.; K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit)

- a. Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, notify the Regional Board in the annual report of all other priority development projects choosing to participate in the LID waiver program. The annual report must include the following information: name of the developer of the participating priority development project; site location; reason for LID waiver including technical feasibility analysis; description of BMPs implemented; total amount deposited, if any, into the stormwater mitigation fund; water quality improvement projects proposed to be funded; and timeframe for implementation of water quality improvement projects. (Section F.1.d.7.i.)
- b. Gather and report the following new information in the annual report checklist:
 - Construction Except for the permittee's own municipal construction, gather and report number of active sites, number of inactive sites, and number of violations for all other construction.
 - New development Except for the permittee's own municipal new development, gather and report the number of development plan reviews, number of grading permits issued, and number of projects exempted from interim/final hydromodification requirements for all other new development.
 - Post construction development Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, gather and report the number of priority development projects; and number of SUSMP [standard urban storm water mitigation plans] required post construction BMP violations.
 - MS4 maintenance –amount of waste removed, and total miles of MS4 inspected.
 - Municipal/commercial/industrial Except for the permittee's own municipal facilities, gather and report the number of facilities and number of violations. (Section K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit.)
- 5. Annually notice and conduct public meetings to review and update the watershed workplan. (Sections G.6. and K.1.b.4.n.)

Reimbursement for these activities is denied beginning January 1, 2018, because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d) and, thus, there are no costs mandated by the state.

In addition, reimbursement for these mandated activities from any source, including but not limited to, state and federal funds, any service charge, fees, or assessments to offset all or part of the costs of this program, and any other funds that are not the claimant's proceeds of taxes including Measure M2 funds received from the Orange County Local Transportation Authority, shall be identified and deducted from any claim submitted for reimbursement.

All other sections, activities, and costs pled in the Test Claim were denied.²

II. Procedural History

On October 27, 2023, the Commission adopted the Test Claim Decision.³ On October 31, 2023, Commission staff issued the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.⁴ On November 21, 2023, the State Controller (Controller) filed comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, stating that no changes are recommended.⁵ Neither the claimants nor the Department of Finance (Finance) filed comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines. Pursuant to section 1183.9(d) of the Commission's regulations,⁶ Commission staff did not issue a Draft Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines because no substantive comments were filed on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.

III. Positions of the Parties

A. State Controller

The Controller filed comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines stating that no changes are recommended.⁷

IV. Discussion

The Parameters and Guidelines contain the following information:

² Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, pages 378-381. All citations are to PDF page numbers.

³ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023.

⁴ Exhibit B, Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, issued October 31, 2023.

⁵ Exhibit C, Controllers' Comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, filed November 21, 2023, page 1.

⁶ California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.9(d) provides: "If no comments are filed on the draft expedited parameters and guidelines that raise substantive issues regarding any of the elements described in section 1183.7, a draft proposed decision in accordance with section 1183.13(a) of these regulations need not be prepared and the executive director may schedule the proposed decision and parameters and guidelines for adoption at the next regularly scheduled hearing in accordance with section 1183.13(d) of these regulations."

⁷ Exhibit C, Controllers' Comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, filed November 21, 2023, page 1.

A. Eligible Claimants (Section II. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

Only the following copermittees are required to comply with Order No. R9-2009-02 and are eligible to claim reimbursement, provided they are subject to the taxing restrictions of articles XIII A and XIII C of the California Constitution, and the spending limits of article XIII B of the California Constitution, and incur increased costs as a result of this mandate that are paid from their local proceeds of taxes:

City of Aliso Viejo, City of Mission Viejo, City of Dana Point, City of Rancho Santa Margarita, City of Laguna Beach, City of San Clemente, City of Laguna Hills, City of San Juan Capistrano, City of Laguna Niguel, City of Laguna Woods, City of Lake Forest, County of Orange, and Orange County Flood Control District.

B. Period of Reimbursement (Section III. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

Government Code section 17557(e) states that a test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for that fiscal year. The claimant filed the test claim on June 30, 2011, establishing eligibility for reimbursement for the 2009-2010 fiscal year. However, the test claim permit has a later effective date and therefore the period of reimbursement for this program begins on the permit's effective date, December 16, 2009.⁸ Beginning January 1, 2018, there are no costs mandated by the state because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d).⁹ Therefore, costs incurred are reimbursable on or after December 16, 2009, through December 31, 2017.

C. Reimbursable Activities (Section IV. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

The Commission partially approved the Test Claim, authorizing reimbursement for the following mandated activities from December 16, 2009, through December 31, 2017:

A. One Time Activities

- 1. Develop a monitoring plan to sample a representative percent of the major outfalls within each hydrologic subarea to determine SAL compliance (Section D.2.).¹⁰
- 2. Update the map of the entire MS4 and the corresponding drainage areas within each copermittees' jurisdiction *in GIS format* and submit GIS layers

⁸ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, pages 59-60.

⁹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 381.

¹⁰ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 378 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2141 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section D.2.)).

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11 Corrected Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

within 365 days of adoption of the permit to the Regional Board (Section F.4.b).¹¹

B. Ongoing Activities

- 1. JRMP Effectiveness Assessment and Reporting, and Work Plan to Address High Priority Water Quality Problems (Sections J.1., J.3., and J.4.)¹²
 - a. Establish annual assessment measures for reducing discharges into each downstream 303(d) listed water body and downstream environmentally sensitive areas that conform to the six outcome levels developed by CASQA, and which target water quality outcomes and the results of municipal enforcement activities, and to annually assess those measures. (Section J.1.a.)
 - b. Include the following effectiveness assessment information within each annual report, beginning with the 2011 annual report:
 - A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for reducing discharges of stormwater pollutants from the MS4 into each 303(d) listed waterbody. (Section J.3.a.1.)
 - A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for managing discharges of pollutants from the MS4 into each downstream environmentally sensitive area. (Section J.3.a.2.)
 - A description of the steps that will be taken to improve the copermittees' ability to assess program effectiveness using measurable targeted outcomes, assessment measures, assessment methods, and outcome levels 1-6, and include a time schedule for when improvement will occur. (Section J.3.a.8.)
- 2. Develop a work plan to address high priority water quality problems in an iterative manner over the life of the permit. The plan is required to be submitted to the Regional Board within 365 days of the adoption of the test

¹¹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 378 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2186 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section F.4.b.)).

¹² Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, pages 378-379 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2195-2198 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, sections J.1., J.3., and J.4.)).

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11 Corrected Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

claim permit, and shall be annually updated and included in the annual JRMP report. The work plan shall include the following information (Section J.4.):¹³

- The problems and priorities identified during the assessment.
- A list of priority pollutants and known or suspected sources.
- A brief description of the strategy employed to reduce, eliminate or mitigate the negative impacts.
- A description and schedule for new or modified BMPs. The schedule is to include dates for significant milestones.
- A description of how the selected activities will address an identified high priority problem, including a description of the expected effectiveness and benefits of the new or modified BMPs.
- A description of how efficacy results will be used to modify priorities and implementation.
- A review of past activities implemented, progress in meeting water quality standards, and planned program adjustments. (Section J.4.)
- 3. Annual JRMP Reports (Section F.1.d.7.i.; K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit)¹⁴
 - Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, notify the Regional Board in the annual report of all other priority development projects choosing to participate in the LID waiver program. The annual report must include the following information: name of the developer of the participating priority development project; site location; reason for LID waiver including technical feasibility analysis; description of BMPs implemented; total amount deposited, if any, into the stormwater mitigation fund; water quality improvement projects proposed to be funded; and timeframe for implementation of water quality improvement projects. (Section F.1.d.7.i.)
 - b. Gather and report the following new information in the annual report checklist:

¹³ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 379 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2198 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section J.4.)).

¹⁴ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 380 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2155, 2202, 2235 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section F.1.d.7.i., section K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2.)).

- Construction Except for the permittee's own municipal construction, gather and report number of active sites, number of inactive sites, and number of violations for all other construction.
- New development Except for the permittee's own municipal new development, gather and report the number of development plan reviews, number of grading permits issued, and number of projects exempted from interim/final hydromodification requirements for all other new development.
- Post construction development Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, gather and report the number of priority development projects; and number of SUSMP [standard urban storm water mitigation plans] required post construction BMP violations.
- MS4 maintenance –amount of waste removed, and total miles of MS4 inspected.
- Municipal/commercial/industrial Except for the permittee's own municipal facilities, gather and report the number of facilities and number of violations. (Section K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit.)
- 4. Annually notice and conduct public meetings to review and update the watershed workplan. (Sections G.6. and K.1.b.4.n.)¹⁵

D. <u>Claim Preparation and Submission (Section V. of the Parameters and</u> <u>Guidelines)</u>

Section V. of the Parameters and Guidelines (Claim Preparation and Submission) identifies the direct costs that are eligible for reimbursement.

E. <u>Offsetting Revenues and Reimbursements (Section VII. of the Parameters</u> <u>and Guidelines</u>

Section VII. of the Parameters and Guidelines governs offsetting revenues (i.e., funds that are not a claimant's proceeds of taxes) required to be identified and deducted from the costs claimed, including Measure M2 funds received from the Orange County Local Transportation Authority, which shall be identified and deducted from any claim submitted for reimbursement.

Section VII. also notes that the City of San Clemente adopted a stormwater fee to cover the costs of the activities required by the permit, effective February 7, 2014, through

9

¹⁵ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 380 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2190, 2200 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, sections G.6. and K.1.b.4.n.)).

June 30, 2020, and thus, the City of San Clemente has no costs mandated by the state pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d) beginning February 7, 2014.¹⁶

F. Remaining Sections of the Parameters and Guidelines

The remaining sections of the Parameters and Guidelines contain standard boilerplate language.

V. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing analysis, the Commission hereby adopts the Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines.

PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES¹⁷

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009

10-TC-11

Period of reimbursement from December 16, 2009, through December 31, 2017

I. SUMMARY OF THE MANDATE

These Parameters and Guidelines address state-mandated activities arising from NPDES Order No. R9-2009-0002 issued by the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board on December 16, 2009.

On October 27, 2023, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted its Decision finding that the test claim permit imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program upon local agencies within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514 from December 16, 2009, through December 31, 2017. The Commission partially approved the Test Claim for the following reimbursable activities only:

¹⁶ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 331.

¹⁷ Please note that the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines is a single document and must be read as a whole. It is not intended to be separated and should be posted in its entirety.

- 1. Develop a monitoring plan to sample a representative percent of the major outfalls within each hydrologic subarea to determine SAL compliance (*one-time* activity as required by section D.2.).¹⁸
- 2. Update the map of the entire MS4 and the corresponding drainage areas within each copermittees' jurisdiction *in GIS format* and submit GIS layers within 365 days of adoption of the permit to the Regional Board (*one-time only*, as required by section F.4.b.)¹⁹
- 3. JRMP Effectiveness Assessment and Reporting, and Work Plan to Address High Priority Water Quality Problems (Sections J.1., J.3., and J.4.)²⁰
 - a. Establish annual assessment measures for reducing discharges into each downstream 303(d) listed water body and downstream environmentally sensitive areas that conform to the six outcome levels developed by CASQA, and which target water quality outcomes and the results of municipal enforcement activities, and to annually assess those measures. (Section J.1.a.)
 - b. Include the following effectiveness assessment information within each annual report, beginning with the 2011 annual report:
 - A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for reducing discharges of stormwater pollutants from the MS4 into each 303(d) listed waterbody. (Section J.3.a.1.)
 - A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for managing discharges of pollutants from the MS4 into each downstream environmentally sensitive area. (Section J.3.a.2.)
 - A description of the steps that will be taken to improve the copermittees' ability to assess program effectiveness using measurable targeted outcomes, assessment measures, assessment methods, and outcome levels 1-6, and include a time schedule for when improvement will occur. (Section J.3.a.8.)

²⁰ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, pages 378-379 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2195-2198 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, sections J.1., J.3., and J.4.)).

¹⁸ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 378 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2141 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section D.2.)).

¹⁹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 378 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2186 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section F.4.b.)).

- c. Develop a work plan to address high priority water quality problems in an iterative manner over the life of the permit. The plan is required to be submitted to the Regional Board within 365 days of the adoption of the test claim permit, and shall be annually updated and included in the annual JRMP report. The work plan shall include the following information (Section J.4):²¹
 - The problems and priorities identified during the assessment.
 - A list of priority pollutants and known or suspected sources.
 - A brief description of the strategy employed to reduce, eliminate or mitigate the negative impacts.
 - A description and schedule for new or modified BMPs. The schedule is to include dates for significant milestones.
 - A description of how the selected activities will address an identified high priority problem, including a description of the expected effectiveness and benefits of the new or modified BMPs.
 - A description of how efficacy results will be used to modify priorities and implementation.
 - A review of past activities implemented, progress in meeting water quality standards, and planned program adjustments. (Section J.4.)
- Annual JRMP Reports (Section F.1.d.7.i.; K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit)²²
 - a. Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, notify the Regional Board in the annual report of all other priority development projects choosing to participate in the LID waiver program. The annual report must include the following information: name of the developer of the participating priority development project; site location; reason for LID waiver including technical feasibility analysis; description of BMPs implemented; total amount deposited, if any, into the stormwater mitigation fund; water quality improvement projects proposed to be funded; and timeframe for implementation of water quality improvement projects. (Section F.1.d.7.i.)

²¹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 379 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2198 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section J.4.)).

²² Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 380 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2155, 2202, 2235 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section F.1.d.7.i., section K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2.)).

- b. Gather and report the following new information in the annual report checklist:
 - Construction Except for the permittee's own municipal construction, gather and report number of active sites, number of inactive sites, and number of violations for all other construction.
 - New development Except for the permittee's own municipal new development, gather and report the number of development plan reviews, number of grading permits issued, and number of projects exempted from interim/final hydromodification requirements for all other new development.
 - Post construction development Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, gather and report the number of priority development projects; and number of SUSMP [standard urban storm water mitigation plans] required post construction BMP violations.
 - MS4 maintenance –amount of waste removed, and total miles of MS4 inspected.
 - Municipal/commercial/industrial Except for the permittee's own municipal facilities, gather and report the number of facilities and number of violations. (Section K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit.)
- 5. Annually notice and conduct public meetings to review and update the watershed workplan. (Sections G.6. and K.1.b.4.n.)²³

Reimbursement for these activities is denied beginning January 1, 2018, because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d) and, thus, there are no costs mandated by the state.

In addition, reimbursement for these mandated activities from any source, including but not limited to, state and federal funds, any service charge, fees, or assessments to offset all or part of the costs of this program, and any other funds that are not the claimant's proceeds of taxes including Measure M2 funds received from the Orange County Local Transportation Authority, shall be identified and deducted from any claim submitted for reimbursement.

All other sections, activities, and costs pled in the Test Claim were denied.

²³ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 380 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2190, 2200 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, sections G.6. and K.1.b.4.n.)).

II. ELIGIBLE CLAIMANTS

The following permittees are required to comply with Order No. R9-2009-0002 and are eligible to claim reimbursement, provided they are subject to the taxing restrictions of articles XIII A and XIII C of the California Constitution, and the spending limits of article XIII B of the California Constitution, and incur increased costs as a result of this mandate that are paid from their local proceeds of taxes:

City of Aliso Viejo, City of Mission Viejo, City of Dana Point, City of Rancho Santa Margarita, City of Laguna Beach, City of San Clemente, City of Laguna Hills, City of San Juan Capistrano, City of Laguna Niguel, City of Laguna Woods, City of Lake Forest, County of Orange, and Orange County Flood Control District.

III. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT

Government Code section 17557(e) states that a test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for that fiscal year. The claimant filed the test claim on June 30, 2011, establishing eligibility for reimbursement for the 2009-2010 fiscal year. However, the test claim permit has a later effective date and therefore the period of reimbursement for this program begins on the permit's effective date, December 16, 2009. Beginning January 1, 2018, there are no costs mandated by the state because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d). Therefore, costs incurred are reimbursable on or after December 16, 2009, through December 31, 2017.

Reimbursement for state-mandated costs may be claimed as follows:

- 1. Actual costs for one fiscal year shall be included in each claim.
- Pursuant to Government Code section 17561(d)(1)(A), all claims for reimbursement of initial fiscal year costs shall be submitted to the State Controller (Controller) within 120 days of the issuance date for the claiming instructions.
- 3. Pursuant to Government Code section 17560(a), a local agency may, by February 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred, file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year.
- 4. If revised claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to Government Code section 17558(c), between November 15 and February 15, a local agency filing an annual reimbursement claim shall have 120 days following the issuance date of the revised claiming instructions to file a claim. (Gov. Code §17560(b).)
- 5. If the total costs for a given fiscal year do not exceed \$1,000, no reimbursement shall be allowed except as otherwise allowed by Government Code section 17564(a).

6. There shall be no reimbursement for any period in which the Legislature has suspended the operation of a mandate pursuant to state law.

IV. REIMBURSABLE ACTIVITIES

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event, or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct," and must further comply with the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 2015.5. Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.

For each eligible claimant that incurs increased costs, the following activities are reimbursable:

C. One Time Activities

- Develop a monitoring plan to sample a representative percent of the major outfalls within each hydrologic subarea to determine SAL compliance (Section D.2.).²⁴
- 2. Update the map of the entire MS4 and the corresponding drainage areas within each copermittees' jurisdiction *in GIS format* and submit GIS layers

²⁴ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 378 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2141 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section D.2.)).

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11 Corrected Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

within 365 days of adoption of the permit to the Regional Board (Section F.4.b).²⁵

D. Ongoing Activities

- 1. JRMP Effectiveness Assessment and Reporting, and Work Plan to Address High Priority Water Quality Problems (Sections J.1., J.3., and J.4.)²⁶
 - a. Establish annual assessment measures for reducing discharges into each downstream 303(d) listed water body and downstream environmentally sensitive areas that conform to the six outcome levels developed by CASQA, and which target water quality outcomes and the results of municipal enforcement activities, and to annually assess those measures. (Section J.1.a.)
 - b. Include the following effectiveness assessment information within each annual report, beginning with the 2011 annual report:
 - A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for reducing discharges of stormwater pollutants from the MS4 into each 303(d) listed waterbody. (Section J.3.a.1.)
 - A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for managing discharges of pollutants from the MS4 into each downstream environmentally sensitive area. (Section J.3.a.2.)
 - A description of the steps that will be taken to improve the copermittees' ability to assess program effectiveness using measurable targeted outcomes, assessment measures, assessment methods, and outcome levels 1-6, and include a time schedule for when improvement will occur. (Section J.3.a.8.)
- 2. Develop a work plan to address high priority water quality problems in an iterative manner over the life of the permit. The plan is required to be submitted to the Regional Board within 365 days of the adoption of the test

²⁵ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 378 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2186 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section F.4.b.)).

²⁶ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, pages 378-379 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2195-2198 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, sections J.1., J.3., and J.4.)).

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11 Corrected Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

claim permit, and shall be annually updated and included in the annual JRMP report. The work plan shall include the following information (Section J.4.):²⁷

- The problems and priorities identified during the assessment.
- A list of priority pollutants and known or suspected sources.
- A brief description of the strategy employed to reduce, eliminate or mitigate the negative impacts.
- A description and schedule for new or modified BMPs. The schedule is to include dates for significant milestones.
- A description of how the selected activities will address an identified high priority problem, including a description of the expected effectiveness and benefits of the new or modified BMPs.
- A description of how efficacy results will be used to modify priorities and implementation.
- A review of past activities implemented, progress in meeting water quality standards, and planned program adjustments. (Section J.4.)
- 3. Annual JRMP Reports (Section F.1.d.7.i.; K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit)²⁸
 - Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, notify the Regional Board in the annual report of all other priority development projects choosing to participate in the LID waiver program. The annual report must include the following information: name of the developer of the participating priority development project; site location; reason for LID waiver including technical feasibility analysis; description of BMPs implemented; total amount deposited, if any, into the stormwater mitigation fund; water quality improvement projects proposed to be funded; and timeframe for implementation of water quality improvement projects. (Section F.1.d.7.i.)
 - b. Gather and report the following new information in the annual report checklist:

²⁷ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 379 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2198 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section J.4.)).

²⁸ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 380 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2155, 2202, 2235 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section F.1.d.7.i., section K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2.)).

- Construction Except for the permittee's own municipal construction, gather and report number of active sites, number of inactive sites, and number of violations for all other construction.
- New development Except for the permittee's own municipal new development, gather and report the number of development plan reviews, number of grading permits issued, and number of projects exempted from interim/final hydromodification requirements for all other new development.
- Post construction development Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, gather and report the number of priority development projects; and number of SUSMP [standard urban storm water mitigation plans] required post construction BMP violations.
- MS4 maintenance –amount of waste removed, and total miles of MS4 inspected.
- Municipal/commercial/industrial Except for the permittee's own municipal facilities, gather and report the number of facilities and number of violations. (Section K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit.)
- 4. Annually notice and conduct public meetings to review and update the watershed workplan. (Sections G.6. and K.1.b.4.n.)²⁹

V. CLAIM PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION

Each of the following cost elements must be identified for each reimbursable activity identified in Section IV., Reimbursable Activities, of this document. Each claimed reimbursable cost must be supported by source documentation as described in Section IV. Additionally, each reimbursement claim must be filed in a timely manner.

A. Direct Cost Reporting

Direct costs are those costs incurred specifically for the reimbursable activities. The following direct costs are eligible for reimbursement.

1. Salaries and Benefits

Report each employee implementing the reimbursable activities by name, job classification, and productive hourly rate (total wages and related benefits divided by productive hours). Describe the specific reimbursable activities performed and the hours devoted to each reimbursable activity performed.

²⁹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 380 (citing to Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2190, 2200 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, sections G.6. and K.1.b.4.n.)).

2. Materials and Supplies

Report the cost of materials and supplies that have been consumed or expended for the purpose of the reimbursable activities. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting discounts, rebates, and allowances received by the claimant. Supplies that are withdrawn from inventory shall be charged on an appropriate and recognized method of costing, consistently applied.

3. Contracted Services

Report the name of the contractor and services performed to implement the reimbursable activities. If the contractor bills for time and materials, report the number of hours spent on the activities and all costs charged. If the contract is a fixed price, report the services that were performed during the period covered by the reimbursement claim. If the contract services are also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the services used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed. Submit contract consultant and attorney invoices with the claim and a description of the contract scope of services.

4. Fixed Assets

Report the purchase price paid for fixed assets (including computers) necessary to implement the reimbursable activities. The purchase price includes taxes, delivery costs, and installation costs. If the fixed asset is also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the purchase price used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed.

B. Indirect Cost Rates

Indirect costs are costs that are incurred for a common or joint purpose, benefiting more than one program, and are not directly assignable to a particular department or program without efforts disproportionate to the result achieved. Indirect costs may include both: (1) overhead costs of the unit performing the mandate; and (2) the costs of the central government services distributed to the other departments based on a systematic and rational basis through a cost allocation plan.

Compensation for indirect costs is eligible for reimbursement in accordance with the Office of Management and Budget Circular 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al. Claimants have the option of using 10 percent of direct labor, excluding fringe benefits, or preparing an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) if the indirect cost rate claimed exceeds 10 percent.

If the claimant chooses to prepare an ICRP, both the direct costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.) and the indirect costs shall exclude capital expenditures and unallowable costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.). However, unallowable costs must be included in the direct costs if they represent activities to which indirect costs are properly allocable.

19 California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11 Corrected Decision and Parameters and Guidelines The distribution base may be: (1) total direct costs (excluding capital expenditures and other distorting items, such as pass-through funds, major subcontracts, etc.); (2) direct salaries and wages; or (3) another base which results in an equitable distribution.

In calculating an ICRP, the claimant shall have the choice of one of the following methodologies:

- The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.) shall be accomplished by: (1) classifying a department's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect; and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate which is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage that the total amount of allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected; or
- 2. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.) shall be accomplished by: (1) separating a department into groups, such as divisions or sections, and then classifying the division's or section's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect; and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate that is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage which the total amount of allowable indirect costs to the base selected.

VI. RECORD RETENTION

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5(a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed pursuant to this chapter³⁰ is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV., must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

VII. OFFSETTING REVENUES AND REIMBURSEMENTS

Any offsetting revenue the claimant experiences in the same program as a result of the same statutes or executive orders found to contain the mandate shall be deducted from

20 California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11 Corrected Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

³⁰ This refers to title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate from any source, including but not limited to, state and federal funds, any service charge, fee, or assessment authority to offset all or part of the costs of this program, and any other funds that are not the claimant's proceeds of taxes including Measure M2 funds received from the Orange County Local Transportation Authority, shall be identified and deducted from any claim submitted for reimbursement.³¹

VIII. STATE CONTROLLER'S CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558(b), the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement not later than 90 days after receiving the adopted parameters and guidelines from the Commission, to assist local governments in claiming costs to be reimbursed. The claiming instructions shall be derived from these parameters and guidelines and the decisions on the test claim and parameters and guidelines dopted by the Commission.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17561(d)(1), issuance of the claiming instructions shall constitute a notice of the right of the eligible claimants to file reimbursement claims, based upon parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

IX. REMEDIES BEFORE THE COMMISSION

Upon request of an eligible claimant, the Commission shall review the claiming instructions issued by the Controller or any other authorized state agency for reimbursement of mandated costs pursuant to Government Code section 17571. If the Commission determines that the claiming instructions do not conform to the parameters and guidelines, the Commission shall direct the Controller to modify the claiming instructions and the Controller shall modify the claiming instructions to conform to the parameters and guidelines as directed by the Commission.

In addition, requests may be made to amend parameters and guidelines pursuant to Government Code section 17557(d), and California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.17.

X. LEGAL AND FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

The decisions adopted for the test claim and parameters and guidelines are legally binding on all parties and interested parties and provide the legal and factual basis for

³¹ The City of San Clemente adopted a stormwater fee to cover the costs of the activities required by the permit, effective February 7, 2014, through June 30, 2020, and thus, the City of San Clemente has no costs mandated by the state pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d) beginning February 7, 2014. (Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted October 27, 2023, page 341, citing to Exhibit K (12), City of San Clemente Municipal Code, title 13, chapter 13.34, sections 13.34.010-13.34.030, page 3.)

the parameters and guidelines. The support for the legal and factual findings is found in the administrative record. The administrative record is on file with the Commission.

22 California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11 Corrected Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On March 14, 2024, I served the:

- Current Mailing List dated January 19, 2024
- Corrected Decision and Parameters and Guidelines issued March 14, 2024

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11 Cities of Dana Point, Laguna Hills, Laguna Niguel, Lake Forest, Mission Viejo, San Juan Capistrano, the County of Orange, and the Orange County Flood Control District, Claimants

by making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on March 14, 2024 at Sacramento, California.

Jill Magee

Jill L. Magee Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 323-3562

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

Mailing List

Last Updated: 1/19/24

Claim Number: 10-TC-11

Matter:	California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections B.2.; C.; D.; F.1.d.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.1.f.; F.1.h.; F.3.a.4.c.; F.3.d.; F.4.b.; F.4.d.; F.4.e.; G.6.; I.; J.; K.1.b.4.n.; and, Only as They Relate to the Reporting Checklist, Section K.3.a. and Attachment D, Adopted December 16, 2009
Claimants:	City of Dana Point
	City of Laguna Hills
	City of Laguna Niguel
	City of Lake Forest
	City of Mission Viejo
	City of San Juan Capistrano
	County of Orange
	Orange County Flood Control District

TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

Adaoha Agu, County of San Diego Auditor & Controller Department Projects, Revenue and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Avenue, Ste. 410, MS:O-53, San Diego, CA 92123 Phone: (858) 694-2129 Adaoha.Agu@sdcounty.ca.gov

Rachelle Anema, Division Chief, *County of Los Angeles* Accounting Division, 500 W. Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 974-8321 RANEMA@auditor.lacounty.gov

Lili Apgar, Specialist, *State Controller's Office* Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-0254 lapgar@sco.ca.gov

Socorro Aquino, *State Controller's Office* Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 322-7522 SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Aaron Avery, Legislative Representative, *California Special Districts Association* 1112 I Street Bridge, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 442-7887 Aarona@csda.net

Harmeet Barkschat, *Mandate Resource Services,LLC* 5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307, Sacramento, CA 95842 Phone: (916) 727-1350 harmeet@comcast.net

Ryan Baron, Best Best & Krieger LLP 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1000, Irvine, CA 92612 Phone: (949) 263-2600 ryan.baron@bbklaw.com

Ginni Bella Navarre, Deputy Legislative Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office* 925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 319-8342 Ginni.Bella@lao.ca.gov

Cindy Black, City Clerk, *City of St. Helena* 1480 Main Street, St. Helena, CA 94574 Phone: (707) 968-2742 ctzafopoulos@cityofsthelena.org

Guy Burdick, Consultant, *MGT Consulting* 2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815 Phone: (916) 833-7775 gburdick@mgtconsulting.com

Allan Burdick,

7525 Myrtle Vista Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95831 Phone: (916) 203-3608 allanburdick@gmail.com

Rica Mae Cabigas, Chief Accountant, *Auditor-Controller* Accounting Division, 500 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 974-8309 rcabigas@auditor.lacounty.gov

Evelyn Calderon-Yee, Bureau Chief, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-5919 ECalderonYee@sco.ca.gov

Teresa Calvert, Program Budget Manager, *Department of Finance* 915 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 322-2263 Teresa.Calvert@dof.ca.gov

Sheri Chapman, General Counsel, *League of California Cities* 1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 658-8267 schapman@calcities.org Annette Chinn, Cost Recovery Systems, Inc. 705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294, Folsom, CA 95630 Phone: (916) 939-7901 achinners@aol.com

Carolyn Chu, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office* 925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 319-8326 Carolyn.Chu@lao.ca.gov

Michael Coleman, *Coleman Advisory Services* 2217 Isle Royale Lane, Davis, CA 95616 Phone: (530) 758-3952 coleman@muni1.com

Tim Corbett, Deputy Director of Public Works, *County of Orange* Public Works, 2301 North Glassell Street, Orange, CA 92865 Phone: (714) 955-0630 tim.corbett@ocpw.ocgov.com

Thomas Deak, Senior Deputy, *County of San Diego* Office of County Counsel, 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355, San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 531-4810 Thomas.Deak@sdcounty.ca.gov

Kalyn Dean, Senior Legislative Analyst, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)* Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 327-7500 kdean@counties.org

Margaret Demauro, Finance Director, *Town of Apple Valley* 14955 Dale Evans Parkway, Apple Valley, CA 92307 Phone: (760) 240-7000 mdemauro@applevalley.org

Douglas Dennington, Attorney, *Rutan & Tucker*, *LLP* 611 Anton Blvd., Suite 1400, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Phone: (714) 641-5100 ddennington@rutan.com

James Eggart, *Woodruff,Spradlin & Smart* 555 Anton Boulevard, #1200, Costa Mesa, CA 92626-7670 Phone: (714) 415-1062 JEggart@wss-law.com

Donna Ferebee, *Department of Finance* 915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-8918 donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov

Tim Flanagan, Office Coordinator, *Solano County* Register of Voters, 678 Texas Street, Suite 2600, Fairfield, CA 94533 Phone: (707) 784-3359 Elections@solanocounty.com

Jennifer Fordyce, Assistant Chief Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board* Office of Chief Counsel, 1001 I Street, 22nd floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 324-6682 Jennifer.Fordyce@waterboards.ca.gov

Craig Foster, Chief Operating Officer, *Building Industry Legal Defense Foundation* Building Association of Southern California, 17744 Sky Park Circle, Suite 170, Irvine, Irvin 92614 Phone: (949) 553-9500 cfoster@biasc.org

Howard Gest, Burhenn & Gest,LLP Claimant Representative 12401 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 200, Los Angeles, CA 90025 Phone: (213) 629-8787 hgest@burhenngest.com

David Gibson, Executive Officer, San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board 9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92123-4340 Phone: (858) 467-2952 dgibson@waterboards.ca.gov

Juliana Gmur, Acting Executive Director, Commission on State Mandates 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-3562 juliana.gmur@csm.ca.gov

Mike Gomez, Revenue Manager, *City of Newport Beach* 100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660 Phone: (949) 644-3240 mgomez@newportbeachca.gov

Catherine George Hagan, Senior Staff Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board* c/o San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92108 Phone: (619) 521-3012 catherine.hagan@waterboards.ca.gov

Shawn Hagerty, *Best Best & Krieger, LLP* San Diego Office, 655 West Broadway, 15th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 525-1300 Shawn.Hagerty@bbklaw.com

Heather Halsey, Executive Director, *Commission on State Mandates* 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-3562 heather.halsey@csm.ca.gov

Andrew Hamilton, Auditor-Controller, *County of Orange* Claimant Contact 1770 North Broadway, Santa Ana, CA 92706 Phone: (714) 834-2450 Andrew.Hamilton@ac.ocgov.com

Sunny Han, Acting Chief Financial Officer, *City of Huntington Beach* 2000 Main Street, Huntington Beach, CA 92648 Phone: (714) 536-5630 Sunny.Han@surfcity-hb.org

Jarad Hildenbrand, City Manager, City of Laguna Hills Claimant Contact 24035 El Toro Road, Laguna Hills, CA 92653 Phone: (949) 707-2611 jhildenbrand@lagunahillsca.gov

Chris Hill, Principal Program Budget Analyst, *Department of Finance* Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-3274 Chris.Hill@dof.ca.gov

Tiffany Hoang, Associate Accounting Analyst, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-1127 THoang@sco.ca.gov

Jeremy Hohnbaum, Senior Civil Engineer, *City of San Juan Capistrano* Public Works, 32400 Paseo Adelanto, San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675 Phone: (949) 449-1190 JHohnbaum@sanjuancapistrano.org

Jason Jennings, Director, *Maximus Consulting* Financial Services, 808 Moorefield Park Drive, Suite 205, Richmond, VA 23236 Phone: (804) 323-3535 SB90@maximus.com

Angelo Joseph, Supervisor, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-0706 AJoseph@sco.ca.gov

Jeremy Jungreis, Partner, *Rutan & Tucker, LLP* 611 Anton Boulevard, 14th Floor, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Phone: (714) 641-5100 jjungreis@rutan.com

Anita Kerezsi, *AK & Company* 2425 Golden Hill Road, Suite 106, Paso Robles, CA 93446 Phone: (805) 239-7994 akcompanysb90@gmail.com

Joanne Kessler, Fiscal Specialist, *City of Newport Beach* Revenue Division, 100 Civic Center Drive , Newport Beach, CA 90266 Phone: (949) 644-3199 jkessler@newportbeachca.gov

Mike Killebrew, City Manager, *City of Dana Point* Claimant Contact 33282 Golden Lantern, Dana Point, CA 92629-1805 Phone: (949) 248-3554 mkillebrew@danapoint.org

Lisa Kurokawa, Bureau Chief for Audits, *State Controller's Office* Compliance Audits Bureau, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 327-3138 lkurokawa@sco.ca.gov Michael Lauffer, Chief Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board* 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814-2828 Phone: (916) 341-5183 michael.lauffer@waterboards.ca.gov

Eric Lawyer, Legislative Advocate, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)* Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 650-8112 elawyer@counties.org

Kim-Anh Le, Deputy Controller, *County of San Mateo* 555 County Center, 4th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063 Phone: (650) 599-1104 kle@smcgov.org

Fernando Lemus, Principal Accountant - Auditor, *County of Los Angeles* Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 974-0324 flemus@auditor.lacounty.gov

Tamara Letourneau, City Manager, *City of Laguna Niguel* Claimant Contact 30111 Crown Valley Parkway, Laguna Niguel, CA 92677 Phone: (949) 362-4300

tletourneau@cityoflagunaniguel.org

Erika Li, Chief Deputy Director, *Department of Finance* 915 L Street, 10th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-3274 erika.li@dof.ca.gov

Diego Lopez, Consultant, *Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee* 1020 N Street, Room 502, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 651-4103 Diego.Lopez@sen.ca.gov

Everett Luc, Accounting Administrator I, Specialist, *State Controller's Office* 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-0766 ELuc@sco.ca.gov

Jill Magee, Program Analyst, *Commission on State Mandates* 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-3562 Jill.Magee@csm.ca.gov

Darryl Mar, Manager, *State Controller's Office* 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-0706 DMar@sco.ca.gov

Hazel McIntosh, Associate Engineer, *City of Mission Viejo* 200 Civic Center, Mission Viejo, CA 92691 Phone: (949) 470-8419 hmcintosh@cityofmissionviejo.org

Tina McKendell, *County of Los Angeles* Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 974-0324 tmckendell@auditor.lacounty.gov

Michelle Mendoza, *MAXIMUS* 17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403 Phone: (949) 440-0845 michellemendoza@maximus.com

Andre Monette, Partner, *Best Best & Krieger, LLP* 2000 Pennsylvania NW, Suite 5300, Washington, DC 20006 Phone: (202) 785-0600 andre.monette@bbklaw.com

Jill Moya, Financial Services Director, *City of Oceanside* 300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054 Phone: (760) 435-3887 jmoya@oceansideca.org

Marilyn Munoz, Senior Staff Counsel, Department of Finance 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-8918 Marilyn.Munoz@dof.ca.gov

Kaleb Neufeld, Assistant Controller, *City of Fresno* 2600 Fresno Street, Fresno, CA 93721 Phone: (559) 621-2489 Kaleb.Neufeld@fresno.gov

Andy Nichols, Nichols Consulting 1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819 Phone: (916) 455-3939 andy@nichols-consulting.com

Adriana Nunez, Staff Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board* Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 322-3313 Adriana.Nunez@waterboards.ca.gov

Kevin Onuma, Chief Engineer, Orange County Flood Control District Claimant Contact 601 N. Ross Street, Santa Ana, CA 92701 Phone: (714) 647-3939 kevin.onuma@ocpw.ocgov.com

Eric Oppenheimer, Executive Director, *State Water Resources Control Board* 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814-2828 Phone: (916) 341-5615 eric.oppenheimer@waterboards.ca.gov

Patricia Pacot, Accountant Auditor I, *County of Colusa* Office of Auditor-Controller, 546 Jay Street, Suite #202, Colusa, CA 95932 Phone: (530) 458-0424 ppacot@countyofcolusa.org

Arthur Palkowitz, *Law Offices of Arthur M. Palkowitz* 12807 Calle de la Siena, San Diego, CA 92130

Phone: (858) 259-1055 law@artpalk.onmicrosoft.com

Kirsten Pangilinan, Specialist, *State Controller's Office* Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 322-2446 KPangilinan@sco.ca.gov

Johnnie Pina, Legislative Policy Analyst, *League of Cities* 1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 658-8214 jpina@cacities.org

Jai Prasad, County of San Bernardino Office of Auditor-Controller, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018 Phone: (909) 386-8854 jai.prasad@sbcountyatc.gov

Jonathan Quan, Associate Accountant, *County of San Diego* Projects, Revenue, and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Ave, Suite 410, San Diego, CA 92123 Phone: 6198768518 Jonathan.Quan@sdcounty.ca.gov

Roberta Raper, Director of Finance, *City of West Sacramento* 1110 West Capitol Ave, West Sacramento, CA 95691 Phone: (916) 617-4509 robertar@cityofwestsacramento.org

David Rice, State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 341-5161 david.rice@waterboards.ca.gov

Debra Rose, City Manager, *City of Lake Forest* **Claimant Contact** 100 Civic Center Drive, Lake Forest, CA 92630 Phone: (949) 461-3400

drose@lakeforestca.gov

Omar Sandoval, *Woodruff,Spradlin & Smart* 555 Anton Boulevard, #1200, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Phone: (714) 415-1049 osandoval@wss-law.com

Jessica Sankus, Senior Legislative Analyst, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)* Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 327-7500 jsankus@counties.org

Alex Sauerwein, Attorney, State Water Resources Control Board San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 327-8581 Alex.Sauerwein@waterboards.ca.gov

Richard Schlesinger, *City of Mission Viejo* 200 Civic Center, Mission Viejo, CA 92691 Phone: (949) 470-3079 rschlesinger@cityofmissionviejo.org Michaela Schunk, Legislative Coordinator, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)* 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 327-7500 mschunk@counties.org

Cindy Sconce, Director, *MGT* Performance Solutions Group, 3600 American River Drive, Suite 150, Sacramento, CA 95864 Phone: (916) 276-8807 csconce@mgtconsulting.com

Jacki Scott, Director of Public Works, *City of Laguna Niguel* 30111 Crown Valley Parkway, Laguna Niguel, CA 92677 Phone: (949) 362-4337 jscott@cityoflagunaniguel.org

Camille Shelton, Chief Legal Counsel, *Commission on State Mandates* 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-3562 camille.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Carla Shelton, *Commission on State Mandates* 980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-3562 carla.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Natalie Sidarous, Chief, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: 916-445-8717 NSidarous@sco.ca.gov

Ben Siegel, City Manager, *City of San Juan Capistrano* **Claimant Contact** 32400 Paseo Adelanto, San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675 Phone: (949) 493-1171 bsiegel@sanjuancapistrano.org

Michelle Skaggs Lawrence, City Manager, City of Oceanside 300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054 Phone: (760) 435-3055 citymanager@oceansideca.org

Jolene Tollenaar, *MGT Consulting Group* 2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815 Phone: (916) 243-8913 jolenetollenaar@gmail.com

James Treadaway, Director of Public Works, *County of Orange* 300 North Flower Street, Santa Ana, CA 92703 Phone: (714) 667-9700 James.Treadaway@ocpw.ocgov.com

Brian Uhler, Principal Fiscal & Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office* 925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 319-8328 Brian.Uhler@LAO.CA.GOV **Travis Van Ligten**, Associate, *Rutan & Tucker*, *LLP* 611 Anton Blvd, Suite 1400, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Phone: (714) 641-5100 tvanligten@rutan.com

Antonio Velasco, Revenue Auditor, *City of Newport Beach* 100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660 Phone: (949) 644-3143 avelasco@newportbeachca.gov

Vincent Vu, Attorney, *State Water Resources Control Board* San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 323-5669 Vincent.Vu@waterboards.ca.gov

Emel Wadhwani, Senior Staff Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board* Office of Chief Counsel, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 322-3622 emel.wadhwani@waterboards.ca.gov

Ada Waelder, Legislative Analyst, Government Finance and Administration, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)* 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 327-7500 awaelder@counties.org

Renee Wellhouse, *David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc.* 3609 Bradshaw Road, H-382, Sacramento, CA 95927 Phone: (916) 797-4883 dwa-renee@surewest.net

Tom Wheeler, Director of Public Works, *City of Lake Forest* 100 Civic Center Drive, Lake Forest, CA 92630 Phone: (949) 461-3480 twheeler@lakeforestca.gov

Adam Whelen, Director of Public Works, *City of Anderson* 1887 Howard St., Anderson, CA 96007 Phone: (530) 378-6640 awhelen@ci.anderson.ca.us

Dennis Wilberg, City Manager, City of Mission Viejo Claimant Contact 200 Civic Center, Mission Viejo, CA 92691 Phone: (949) 470-3051 dwilberg@cityofmissionviejo.org

Colleen Winchester, Senior Deputy City Attorney, *City of San Jose* 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113 Phone: (408) 535-1987 Colleen.Winchester@sanjoseca.gov

Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez, Deputy Executive Director for Legislative Affairs, *California State* Association of Counties (CSAC) 1100 K Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 650-8104 jwong-hernandez@counties.org Julia Woo, Deputy County Counsel, *County of Orange* 333 West Santa Ana Blvd, Suite 407, Santa Ana, CA 92701 Phone: (714) 834-6046 julia.woo@coco.ocgov.com

Elisa Wynne, Staff Director, *Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee* California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 651-4103 elisa.wynne@sen.ca.gov

Kaily Yap, Budget Analyst, *Department of Finance* Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-3274 Kaily.Yap@dof.ca.gov

Lisa Zawaski, Senior Water Quality Engineer, *City of Dana Point* Dana Point City Hall, 33282 Golden Lantern Street, Public Works Suite 212, Dana Point, CA 92629 Phone: (949) 248-3584 Izawaski@danapoint.org

Helmholst Zinser-Watkins, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-7876 HZinser-watkins@sco.ca.gov