

October 31, 2023

Mr. Howard Gest Burhenn & Gest, LLP 12401 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 200 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Ms. Natalie Sidarous
State Controller's Office
Local Government Programs and
Services Division
3301 C Street, Suite 740
Sacramento, CA 95816

And Parties, Interested Parties, and Interested Persons (See Mailing List)

# Re: Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, Schedule for Comments, and Notice of Tentative Hearing Date

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11
Cities of Dana Point, Laguna Hills, Laguna Niguel, Lake Forest, Mission Viejo, San Juan Capistrano, the County of Orange, and the Orange County Flood Control District. Claimants

Dear Mr. Gest and Ms. Sidarous:

On October 27, 2023, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted the Decision partially approving the Test Claim on the above-entitled matter.

State law provides that reimbursement, if any, is subject to Commission approval of parameters and guidelines for reimbursement of the mandated program, approval of a statewide cost estimate, a specific legislative appropriation for such purpose, a timely-filed claim for reimbursement, and subsequent review of the reimbursement claim by the State Controller's Office.

Following is a description of the responsibilities of all parties and of the Commission during the parameters and guidelines phase.

## **Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines**

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.9, Commission staff has expedited the parameters and guidelines process by preparing Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines to assist the claimant. The proposed reimbursable activities have been limited to those approved in the Decision by the Commission. Reasonably necessary activities to perform the mandated activities may be proposed by the parties. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, §1183.7(d).) "Reasonably necessary activities" are those activities necessary to comply with the statutes, regulations and other executive orders found to impose a state-mandated program (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, §1183.7(d).) Whether an activity is reasonably necessary is a mixed question of law and fact. All representations of fact to support any proposed reasonably necessary activities shall be supported by documentary evidence submitted in accordance with section 1187.5 of the Commission's regulations.

J:\MANDATES\2010\TC\10-TC-11 SDRWQCB Order No. R9-2009-0002\Correspondence\Draft Expedited Ps&Gstrans.docx

Mr. Gest and Ms. Sidarous October 31, 2023 Page 2

## Review of Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines

Proposed modifications and comments may be filed on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines no later than **5:00 pm on November 21, 2023**. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, §1183.9(b).) Please note that all representations of fact submitted to the Commission must be signed under penalty of perjury by persons who are authorized and competent to do so and must be based upon the declarant's personal knowledge, information, or belief. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, §1187.5.) Hearsay evidence may be used for the purpose of supplementing or explaining other evidence but shall not be sufficient in itself to support a finding unless it would be admissible over an objection in civil actions. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1187.5.) The Commission's ultimate findings of fact must be supported by substantial evidence in the record.<sup>1</sup>

### Rebuttals

Written rebuttals may be filed within 15 days of service of comments. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1183.9(c).)

# **Draft Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines**

If there are no substantive comments filed by the comment deadline, then no Draft Proposed Decision will be prepared or issued for comment and the matter will be set for the next regularly scheduled hearing, pursuant to section 1183.9(d) of the Commission's regulations. If substantive comments are filed, Commission staff will review the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, comments, and any rebuttals and will prepare a Draft Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines, which will be issued for comment.

# Alternative Process: Joint Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology and Statewide Estimate of Costs

Test Claimant and Department of Finance Submission of Letter of Intent
Within 30 days of the Commission's adoption of a decision on a test claim, the test
claimant and the Department of Finance may notify the executive director of the
Commission in writing of their intent to follow the process described in Government
Code sections 17557.1—17557.2 and section 1183.11 of the Commission's regulations
to develop a joint reasonable reimbursement methodology and statewide estimate of
costs for the initial claiming period and budget year for reimbursement of costs
mandated by the state. The written notification shall provide all information and filing
dates as specified in Government Code section 17557.1(a).

<u>Test Claimant and Department of Finance Submission of Draft Reasonable</u>
<u>Reimbursement Methodology and Statewide Estimate of Costs</u>

Pursuant to the plan, the test claimant and the Department of Finance shall submit the *Draft Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology and Statewide Estimate of Costs* to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Government Code section 17559(b), which provides that a claimant or the state may commence a proceeding in accordance with the provisions of section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure to set aside a decision of the Commission on the ground that the Commission's decision is not supported by substantial evidence in the record.

Mr. Gest and Ms. Sidarous October 31, 2023 Page 3

Commission. See Government Code section 17557.1 for guidance in preparing and filing a timely submission.

# Review of Proposed Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology and Statewide Estimate of Costs

Upon receipt of the jointly developed proposals, Commission staff shall notify all recipients that they shall have the opportunity to review and provide written comments concerning the draft reasonable reimbursement methodology and proposed statewide estimate of costs within 15 days of service. The test claimant and Department of Finance may submit written rebuttals to Commission staff.

Adoption of Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology and Statewide Estimate of Costs At least 10 days prior to the next hearing, Commission staff shall review comments and rebuttals and issue a staff recommendation on whether the Commission should approve the draft reasonable reimbursement methodology and adopt the proposed statewide estimate of costs pursuant to Government Code section 17557.2.

# Alternative Process: Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology Proposed for Inclusion in Parameters and Guidelines

Government Code section 17518.5 provides a process for a reasonable reimbursement methodology to be proposed by the Department of Finance, the State Controller, an affected state agency, the claimant, or an interested party for inclusion in the parameters and guidelines of an amendment to parameters and guidelines. In this context, Government Code section 17518.5 defines "reasonable reimbursement methodology" as a formula for reimbursing local agencies and school districts for costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514 which shall:

- Be based on cost information from a representative sample of eligible claimants, information provided by associations of local agencies and school districts, or other projections of local costs.
- Consider the variation in costs among local agencies and school districts to implement the mandate in a cost-efficient manner, and
- Whenever possible, be based on general allocation formulas, uniform cost allowances, and other approximations of local costs mandated by the state, rather than detailed documentation of actual local costs. In cases when local agencies and school districts are projected to incur costs to implement a mandate over a period of more than one fiscal year, the determination of a reasonable reimbursement methodology may consider local costs and state reimbursements over a period of greater than one fiscal year, but not exceeding 10 years.

You are advised that comments filed with the Commission are required to be electronically filed (e-filed) in an unlocked legible and searchable PDF file, using the Commission's Dropbox. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3(c)(1).) Refer to <a href="https://www.csm.ca.gov/dropbox.shtml">https://www.csm.ca.gov/dropbox.shtml</a> on the Commission's website for electronic filing instructions. If e-filing would cause the filer undue hardship or significant prejudice, filing may occur by first class mail, overnight delivery or personal service only upon prior

Mr. Gest and Ms. Sidarous October 31, 2023 Page 4

approval of a written request to the executive director. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, 1181.3(c)(2).)

If you would like to request an extension of time to file comments, please refer to section 1187.9(a) of the Commission's regulations.

## Hearing

The Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines for this matter are tentatively set for hearing on **Friday**, **January 26**, **2024**, at 10:00 a.m., and will be issued on or about January 12, 2024, but may be heard on **Friday**, **March 22**, **2024**, at 10:00 a.m., and will be issued on or about March 8, 2024 if substantive comments are filed by the comment deadline.

Sincerely,

Heather Halsey

**Executive Director** 

Hearing Date: January 26, 2024<sup>1</sup>

J:\MANDATES\2010\TC\10-TC-11 SDRWQCB Order No. R9-2009-0002\Ps&Gs\Draft Expedited

Ps&Gs.docx

## DRAFT EXPEDITED PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009

10-TC-11

Period of reimbursement from December 16, 2009, through December 31, 2017

### I. SUMMARY OF THE MANDATE

These Parameters and Guidelines address state-mandated activities arising from NPDES Order No. R9-2009-0002 issued by the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board on December 16, 2009.

On October 27, 2023, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted its Decision finding that the test claim permit imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program upon local agencies within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514 from December 16, 2009, through December 31, 2017. The Commission partially approved the Test Claim for the following reimbursable activities only:

- 1. Develop a monitoring plan to sample a representative percent of the major outfalls within each hydrologic subarea to determine SAL compliance (*one-time* activity as required by section D.2.).<sup>2</sup>
- 2. Update the map of the entire MS4 and the corresponding drainage areas within each copermittees' jurisdiction *in GIS format* and submit GIS layers within 365 days of adoption of the permit to the Regional Board (*one-time only*, as required by section F.4.b.)<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tentative. If substantive comments are received on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, a Draft Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines will be prepared and issued for comment and this matter will instead be set for the March 22, 2024 hearing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2141 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section D.2.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2186 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section F.4.b.).

- 3. JRMP Effectiveness Assessment and Reporting, and Work Plan to Address High Priority Water Quality Problems (Sections J.1., J.3., and J.4.)<sup>4</sup>
  - a. Establish annual assessment measures for reducing discharges into each downstream 303(d) listed water body and downstream environmentally sensitive areas that conform to the six outcome levels developed by CASQA, and which target water quality outcomes and the results of municipal enforcement activities, and to annually assess those measures. (Section J.1.a.)
  - b. Include the following effectiveness assessment information within each annual report, beginning with the 2011 annual report:
    - A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for reducing discharges of stormwater pollutants from the MS4 into each 303(d) listed waterbody. (Section J.3.a.1.)
    - A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for managing discharges of pollutants from the MS4 into each downstream environmentally sensitive area. (Section J.3.a.2.)
    - A description of the steps that will be taken to improve the copermittees' ability to assess program effectiveness using measurable targeted outcomes, assessment measures, assessment methods, and outcome levels 1-6, and include a time schedule for when improvement will occur. (Section J.3.a.8.)
  - c. Develop a work plan to address high priority water quality problems in an iterative manner over the life of the permit. The plan is required to be submitted to the Regional Board within 365 days of the adoption of the test claim permit, and shall be annually updated and included in the annual JRMP report. The work plan shall include the following information (Section J.4):<sup>5</sup>
    - The problems and priorities identified during the assessment.
    - A list of priority pollutants and known or suspected sources.
    - A brief description of the strategy employed to reduce, eliminate or mitigate the negative impacts.
    - A description and schedule for new or modified BMPs. The schedule is to include dates for significant milestones.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2195-2198 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, sections J.1., J.3., and J.4.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2198 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section J.4.).

- A description of how the selected activities will address an identified high priority problem, including a description of the expected effectiveness and benefits of the new or modified BMPs.
- A description of how efficacy results will be used to modify priorities and implementation.
- A review of past activities implemented, progress in meeting water quality standards, and planned program adjustments. (Section J.4.)
- 4. Annual JRMP Reports (Section F.1.d.7.i.; K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit)<sup>6</sup>
  - a. Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, notify the Regional Board in the annual report of all other priority development projects choosing to participate in the LID waiver program. The annual report must include the following information: name of the developer of the participating priority development project; site location; reason for LID waiver including technical feasibility analysis; description of BMPs implemented; total amount deposited, if any, into the stormwater mitigation fund; water quality improvement projects proposed to be funded; and timeframe for implementation of water quality improvement projects. (Section F.1.d.7.i.)
  - b. Gather and report the following new information in the annual report checklist:
    - Construction Except for the permittee's own municipal construction, gather and report number of active sites, number of inactive sites, and number of violations for all other construction.
    - New development Except for the permittee's own municipal new development, gather and report the number of development plan reviews, number of grading permits issued, and number of projects exempted from interim/final hydromodification requirements for all other new development.
    - Post construction development Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, gather and report the number of priority development projects; and number of SUSMP [standard urban storm water mitigation plans] required post construction BMP violations.
    - MS4 maintenance –amount of waste removed, and total miles of MS4 inspected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2155, 2202, 2235 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section F.1.d.7.i., section K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2.).

- Municipal/commercial/industrial Except for the permittee's own municipal facilities, gather and report the number of facilities and number of violations. (Section K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit.)
- 5. Annually notice and conduct public meetings to review and update the watershed workplan. (Sections G.6. and K.1.b.4.n.)<sup>7</sup>

Reimbursement for these activities is denied beginning January 1, 2018, because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d) and, thus, there are no costs mandated by the state.

In addition, reimbursement for these mandated activities from any source, including but not limited to, state and federal funds, any service charge, fees, or assessments to offset all or part of the costs of this program, and any other funds that are not the claimant's proceeds of taxes including Measure M2 funds received from the Orange County Local Transportation Authority, shall be identified and deducted from any claim submitted for reimbursement.

All other sections, activities, and costs pled in the Test Claim were denied.

## II. ELIGIBLE CLAIMANTS

The following permittees are required to comply with Order No. R9-2009-0002 and are eligible to claim reimbursement, provided they are subject to the taxing restrictions of articles XIII A and XIII C of the California Constitution, and the spending limits of article XIII B of the California Constitution, and incur increased costs as a result of this mandate that are paid from their local proceeds of taxes:

City of Aliso Viejo, City of Mission Viejo, City of Dana Point, City of Rancho Santa Margarita, City of Laguna Beach, City of San Clemente, City of Laguna Hills, City of San Juan Capistrano, City of Laguna Niguel, City of Laguna Woods, City of Lake Forest, County of Orange, and Orange County Flood Control District.<sup>8</sup>

## III. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT

Government Code section 17557(e) states that a test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for that fiscal year. The claimant filed the test claim on June 30, 2011, establishing eligibility for reimbursement for the 2009-2010 fiscal year. However, the test claim permit has a later effective date and therefore the period of reimbursement for this program begins on the permit's effective date, December 19, 2009. Beginning January 1, 2018, there are no costs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2190, 2200 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, sections G.6. and K.1.b.4.n.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2118 (Order No. R9-2009-0002).

mandated by the state because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d). Therefore, costs incurred are reimbursable on or after December 19, 2009, through December 31, 2017.

Reimbursement for state-mandated costs may be claimed as follows:

- 1. Actual costs for one fiscal year shall be included in each claim.
- 2. Pursuant to Government Code section 17561(d)(1)(A), all claims for reimbursement of initial fiscal year costs shall be submitted to the State Controller (Controller) within 120 days of the issuance date for the claiming instructions.
- 3. Pursuant to Government Code section 17560(a), a local agency may, by February 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred, file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year.
- 4. If revised claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to Government Code section 17558(c), between November 15 and February 15, a local agency filing an annual reimbursement claim shall have 120 days following the issuance date of the revised claiming instructions to file a claim. (Gov. Code §17560(b).)
- 5. If the total costs for a given fiscal year do not exceed \$1,000, no reimbursement shall be allowed except as otherwise allowed by Government Code section 17564(a).
- 6. There shall be no reimbursement for any period in which the Legislature has suspended the operation of a mandate pursuant to state law.

### IV. REIMBURSABLE ACTIVITIES

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event, or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct," and must further comply with the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 2015.5. Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities

otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.

For each eligible claimant that incurs increased costs, the following activities are reimbursable:

## A. One Time Activities

- 1. Develop a monitoring plan to sample a representative percent of the major outfalls within each hydrologic subarea to determine SAL compliance (Section D.2.).9
- 2. Update the map of the entire MS4 and the corresponding drainage areas within each copermittees' jurisdiction *in GIS format* and submit GIS layers within 365 days of adoption of the permit to the Regional Board (Section F.4.b).<sup>10</sup>

## **B.** Ongoing Activities

- 1. JRMP Effectiveness Assessment and Reporting, and Work Plan to Address High Priority Water Quality Problems (Sections J.1., J.3., and J.4.)<sup>11</sup>
  - a. Establish annual assessment measures for reducing discharges into each downstream 303(d) listed water body and downstream environmentally sensitive areas that conform to the six outcome levels developed by CASQA, and which target water quality outcomes and the results of municipal enforcement activities, and to annually assess those measures. (Section J.1.a.)
  - b. Include the following effectiveness assessment information within each annual report, beginning with the 2011 annual report:
    - A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for reducing discharges of stormwater pollutants from the MS4 into each 303(d) listed waterbody. (Section J.3.a.1.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2141 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section D.2.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2186 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section F.4.b.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2195-2198 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, sections J.1., J.3., and J.4.).

- A description and results of the annual assessment measures or methods for managing discharges of pollutants from the MS4 into each downstream environmentally sensitive area. (Section J.3.a.2.)
- A description of the steps that will be taken to improve the copermittees' ability to assess program effectiveness using measurable targeted outcomes, assessment measures, assessment methods, and outcome levels 1-6, and include a time schedule for when improvement will occur. (Section J.3.a.8.)
- 2. Develop a work plan to address high priority water quality problems in an iterative manner over the life of the permit. The plan is required to be submitted to the Regional Board within 365 days of the adoption of the test claim permit, and shall be annually updated and included in the annual JRMP report. The work plan shall include the following information (Section J.4.): 12
  - The problems and priorities identified during the assessment.
  - A list of priority pollutants and known or suspected sources.
  - A brief description of the strategy employed to reduce, eliminate or mitigate the negative impacts.
  - A description and schedule for new or modified BMPs. The schedule is to include dates for significant milestones.
  - A description of how the selected activities will address an identified high priority problem, including a description of the expected effectiveness and benefits of the new or modified BMPs.
  - A description of how efficacy results will be used to modify priorities and implementation.
  - A review of past activities implemented, progress in meeting water quality standards, and planned program adjustments. (Section J.4.)
- 3. Annual JRMP Reports (Section F.1.d.7.i.; K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit)<sup>13</sup>
  - a. Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, notify the Regional Board in the annual report of all other priority development projects choosing to participate in the LID waiver program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, page 2198 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section J.4.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2155, 2202, 2235 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, section F.1.d.7.i., section K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2.).

The annual report must include the following information: name of the developer of the participating priority development project; site location; reason for LID waiver including technical feasibility analysis; description of BMPs implemented; total amount deposited, if any, into the stormwater mitigation fund; water quality improvement projects proposed to be funded; and timeframe for implementation of water quality improvement projects. (Section F.1.d.7.i.)

- b. Gather and report the following new information in the annual report checklist:
  - Construction Except for the permittee's own municipal construction, gather and report number of active sites, number of inactive sites, and number of violations for all other construction.
  - New development Except for the permittee's own municipal new development, gather and report the number of development plan reviews, number of grading permits issued, and number of projects exempted from interim/final hydromodification requirements for all other new development.
  - Post construction development Except for the permittee's own municipal priority development projects, gather and report the number of priority development projects; and number of SUSMP [standard urban storm water mitigation plans] required post construction BMP violations.
  - MS4 maintenance –amount of waste removed, and total miles of MS4 inspected.
  - Municipal/commercial/industrial Except for the permittee's own municipal facilities, gather and report the number of facilities and number of violations. (Section K.3.a.3.c., and Attachment D., section D-2., of the test claim permit.)
- 4. Annually notice and conduct public meetings to review and update the watershed workplan. (Sections G.6. and K.1.b.4.n.)<sup>14</sup>

## V. CLAIM PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION

Each of the following cost elements must be identified for each reimbursable activity identified in Section IV., Reimbursable Activities, of this document. Each claimed reimbursable cost must be supported by source documentation as described in Section IV. Additionally, each reimbursement claim must be filed in a timely manner.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Exhibit C, Water Boards' Comments on the Test Claim, filed October 21, 2016, pages 2190, 2200 (Order No. R9-2009-0002, sections G.6. and K.1.b.4.n.).

# A. Direct Cost Reporting

Direct costs are those costs incurred specifically for the reimbursable activities. The following direct costs are eligible for reimbursement.

### Salaries and Benefits

Report each employee implementing the reimbursable activities by name, job classification, and productive hourly rate (total wages and related benefits divided by productive hours). Describe the specific reimbursable activities performed and the hours devoted to each reimbursable activity performed.

# 2. Materials and Supplies

Report the cost of materials and supplies that have been consumed or expended for the purpose of the reimbursable activities. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting discounts, rebates, and allowances received by the claimant. Supplies that are withdrawn from inventory shall be charged on an appropriate and recognized method of costing, consistently applied.

## 3. Contracted Services

Report the name of the contractor and services performed to implement the reimbursable activities. If the contractor bills for time and materials, report the number of hours spent on the activities and all costs charged. If the contract is a fixed price, report the services that were performed during the period covered by the reimbursement claim. If the contract services are also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the services used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed. Submit contract consultant and attorney invoices with the claim and a description of the contract scope of services.

### 4. Fixed Assets

Report the purchase price paid for fixed assets (including computers) necessary to implement the reimbursable activities. The purchase price includes taxes, delivery costs, and installation costs. If the fixed asset is also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the purchase price used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed.

## B. Indirect Cost Rates

Indirect costs are costs that are incurred for a common or joint purpose, benefiting more than one program, and are not directly assignable to a particular department or program without efforts disproportionate to the result achieved. Indirect costs may include both: (1) overhead costs of the unit performing the mandate; and (2) the costs of the central government services distributed to the other departments based on a systematic and rational basis through a cost allocation plan.

Compensation for indirect costs is eligible for reimbursement in accordance with the Office of Management and Budget Circular 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al. Claimants have the option of using 10 percent of direct labor, excluding fringe benefits, or preparing an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) if the indirect cost rate claimed exceeds 10 percent.

If the claimant chooses to prepare an ICRP, both the direct costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.) and the indirect costs shall exclude capital expenditures and unallowable costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.). However, unallowable costs must be included in the direct costs if they represent activities to which indirect costs are properly allocable.

The distribution base may be: (1) total direct costs (excluding capital expenditures and other distorting items, such as pass-through funds, major subcontracts, etc.); (2) direct salaries and wages; or (3) another base which results in an equitable distribution.

In calculating an ICRP, the claimant shall have the choice of one of the following methodologies:

- 1. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.) shall be accomplished by: (1) classifying a department's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect; and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate which is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage that the total amount of allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected; or
- 2. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.) shall be accomplished by: (1) separating a department into groups, such as divisions or sections, and then classifying the division's or section's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect; and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate that is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage which the total amount of allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected.

### VI. RECORD RETENTION

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5(a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed pursuant to this chapter<sup>15</sup> is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> This refers to title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV., must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

### VII. OFFSETTING REVENUES AND REIMBURSEMENTS

Any offsetting revenue the claimant experiences in the same program as a result of the same statutes or executive orders found to contain the mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate from any source, including but not limited to, state and federal funds, any service charge, fee, or assessment authority to offset all or part of the costs of this program, and any other funds that are not the claimant's proceeds of taxes including Measure M2 funds received from the Orange County Local Transportation Authority, shall be identified and deducted from any claim submitted for reimbursement. <sup>16</sup>

## VIII. STATE CONTROLLER'S CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558(b), the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement not later than 90 days after receiving the adopted parameters and guidelines from the Commission, to assist local governments in claiming costs to be reimbursed. The claiming instructions shall be derived from these parameters and guidelines and the decisions on the test claim and parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17561(d)(1), issuance of the claiming instructions shall constitute a notice of the right of the eligible claimants to file reimbursement claims, based upon parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

## IX. REMEDIES BEFORE THE COMMISSION

Upon request of an eligible claimant, the Commission shall review the claiming instructions issued by the Controller or any other authorized state agency for reimbursement of mandated costs pursuant to Government Code section 17571. If the Commission determines that the claiming instructions do not conform to the parameters

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The City of San Clemente adopted a stormwater fee to cover the costs of the activities required by the permit, effective February 7, 2014, through June 30, 2020, and thus, the City of San Clemente has no costs mandated by the state pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d) beginning February 7, 2014. (Exhibit K (12), City of San Clemente Municipal Code, title 13, chapter 13.34, sections 13.34.010-13.34.030, page 3.)

and guidelines, the Commission shall direct the Controller to modify the claiming instructions and the Controller shall modify the claiming instructions to conform to the parameters and guidelines as directed by the Commission.

In addition, requests may be made to amend parameters and guidelines pursuant to Government Code section 17557(d), and California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.17.

## X. LEGAL AND FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

The decisions adopted for the test claim and parameters and guidelines are legally binding on all parties and interested parties and provide the legal and factual basis for the parameters and guidelines. The support for the legal and factual findings is found in the administrative record. The administrative record is on file with the Commission.

## **DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL**

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On October 31, 2023, I served the:

- Current Mailing List dated October 30, 2023
- Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, Schedule for Comments, and Notice of Tentative Hearing Date issued October 31, 2023
- Decision adopted October 27, 2023

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections D.2.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.4.b.; G.6.; K.1.b.4.n.; K.3.a.3.c.; J.1.; J.3.; J.4.; and Attachment D, Section D-2, Adopted December 16, 2009, 10-TC-11 Cities of Dana Point, Laguna Hills, Laguna Niguel, Lake Forest, Mission Viejo, San Juan Capistrano, the County of Orange, and the Orange County Flood Control District, Claimants

by making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on October 31, 2023 at Sacramento, California.

Jill L. Magee

Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 323-3562

# **COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES**

# **Mailing List**

Last Updated: 10/30/23 Claim Number: 10-TC-11

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, Order No. R9-2009-0002, Sections B.2.; C.; D.; F.1.d.; F.1.d.7.i.; F.1.f.; F.1.h.; F.3.a.4.c.; **Matter:** F.3.d.; F.4.b.; F.4.d.; F.4.e.; G.6.; I.; J.; K.1.b.4.n.; and, Only as They Relate to the

Reporting Checklist, Section K.3.a. and Attachment D, Adopted December 16,

2009

Claimants: City of Dana Point

City of Laguna Hills
City of Laguna Niguel
City of Lake Forest
City of Mission Viejo
City of San Juan Capistrano

County of Orange

Orange County Flood Control District

### TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

Adaoha Agu, County of San Diego Auditor & Controller Department

Projects, Revenue and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Avenue, Ste. 410, MS:O-53, San Diego,

CA 92123

Phone: (858) 694-2129

Adaoha.Agu@sdcounty.ca.gov

Rebecca Andrews, Partner, Best Best & Krieger, LLP

655 West Broadway, 15th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101

Phone: (619) 525-1300

Rebecca. And rews@bbklaw.com

Rachelle Anema, Division Chief, County of Los Angeles

Accounting Division, 500 W. Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-8321

RANEMA@auditor.lacounty.gov

Lili Apgar, Specialist, State Controller's Office

Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0254 lapgar@sco.ca.gov

Socorro Aquino, State Controller's Office

Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-7522 SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Aaron Avery, Legislative Representative, California Special Districts Association

1112 I Street Bridge, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 442-7887 Aarona@csda.net

Harmeet Barkschat, Mandate Resource Services, LLC

5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307, Sacramento, CA 95842

Phone: (916) 727-1350 harmeet@comcast.net

Ryan Baron, Best Best & Krieger LLP

18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1000, Irvine, CA 92612

Phone: (949) 263-2600 ryan.baron@bbklaw.com

Cindy Black, City Clerk, City of St. Helena

1480 Main Street, St. Helena, CA 94574

Phone: (707) 968-2742

ctzafopoulos@cityofsthelena.org

Guy Burdick, Consultant, MGT Consulting

2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815

Phone: (916) 833-7775 gburdick@mgtconsulting.com

Allan Burdick,

7525 Myrtle Vista Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95831

Phone: (916) 203-3608 allanburdick@gmail.com

Rica Mae Cabigas, Chief Accountant, Auditor-Controller

Accounting Division, 500 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-8309

rcabigas@auditor.lacounty.gov

Evelyn Calderon-Yee, Bureau Chief, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-5919 ECalderonYee@sco.ca.gov

Teresa Calvert, Program Budget Manager, Department of Finance

915 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 322-2263 Teresa.Calvert@dof.ca.gov

Sheri Chapman, General Counsel, League of California Cities

1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 658-8267 schapman@calcities.org

Annette Chinn, Cost Recovery Systems, Inc.

705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294, Folsom, CA 95630

Phone: (916) 939-7901 achinners@aol.com

Carolyn Chu, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8326 Carolyn.Chu@lao.ca.gov

Michael Coleman, Coleman Advisory Services

2217 Isle Royale Lane, Davis, CA 95616

Phone: (530) 758-3952 coleman@muni1.com

Tim Corbett, Deputy Director of Public Works, County of Orange

Public Works, 2301 North Glassell Street, Orange, CA 92865

Phone: (714) 955-0630 tim.corbett@ocpw.ocgov.com

Brian Cote, Senior Government Finance & Administration Analyst, California State Association of

Counties (CSAC)

1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 650-8184 bcote@counties.org

Thomas Deak, Senior Deputy, County of San Diego

Office of County Counsel, 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355, San Diego, CA 92101

Phone: (619) 531-4810

Thomas.Deak@sdcounty.ca.gov

Kalyn Dean, Senior Legislative Analyst, California State Association of Counties (CSAC)

Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 327-7500 kdean@counties.org

Douglas Dennington, Attorney, Rutan & Tucker, LLP

611 Anton Blvd., Suite 1400, Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Phone: (714) 641-5100 ddennington@rutan.com

Ted Doan, Budget Analyst, Department of Finance

Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Ted.Doan@dof.ca.gov

James Eggart, Woodruff, Spradlin & Smart

555 Anton Boulevard, #1200, Costa Mesa, CA 92626-7670

Phone: (714) 415-1062 JEggart@wss-law.com

**Donna Ferebee**, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-8918 donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov

Tim Flanagan, Office Coordinator, Solano County

Register of Voters, 678 Texas Street, Suite 2600, Fairfield, CA 94533

Phone: (707) 784-3359 Elections@solanocounty.com

Jennifer Fordyce, Assistant Chief Counsel, State Water Resources Control Board

Office of Chief Counsel, 1001 I Street, 22nd floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 324-6682

Jennifer.Fordyce@waterboards.ca.gov

Craig Foster, Chief Operating Officer, Building Industry Legal Defense Foundation

Building Association of Southern California, 17744 Sky Park Circle, Suite 170, Irvine, Irvin 92614

Phone: (949) 553-9500 cfoster@biasc.org

Howard Gest, Burhenn & Gest, LLP

**Claimant Representative** 

12401 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 200, Los Angeles, CA 90025

Phone: (213) 629-8787 hgest@burhenngest.com

David Gibson, Executive Officer, San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92123-4340

Phone: (858) 467-2952 dgibson@waterboards.ca.gov

Mike Gomez, Revenue Manager, City of Newport Beach

100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660

Phone: (949) 644-3240

mgomez@newportbeachca.gov

Catherine George Hagan, Senior Staff Counsel, State Water Resources Control Board

c/o San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100, San Diego,

CA 92108

Phone: (619) 521-3012

catherine.hagan@waterboards.ca.gov

Shawn Hagerty, Best Best & Krieger, LLP

San Diego Office, 655 West Broadway, 15th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101

Phone: (619) 525-1300 Shawn.Hagerty@bbklaw.com

Heather Halsey, Executive Director, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 heather.halsey@csm.ca.gov

Andrew Hamilton, Auditor-Controller, County of Orange

**Claimant Contact** 

1770 North Broadway, Santa Ana, CA 92706

Phone: (714) 834-2450

Andrew.Hamilton@ac.ocgov.com

Sunny Han, Acting Chief Financial Officer, City of Huntington Beach

2000 Main Street, Huntington Beach, CA 92648

Phone: (714) 536-5630 Sunny.Han@surfcity-hb.org

Jarad Hildenbrand, City Manager, City of Laguna Hills

**Claimant Contact** 

24035 El Toro Road, Laguna Hills, CA 92653

Phone: (949) 707-2611

jhildenbrand@lagunahillsca.gov

**Chris Hill**, Principal Program Budget Analyst, *Department of Finance* Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Chris.Hill@dof.ca.gov

Tiffany Hoang, Associate Accounting Analyst, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-1127 THoang@sco.ca.gov

**Jeremy Hohnbaum**, Senior Civil Engineer, *City of San Juan Capistrano* Public Works, 32400 Paseo Adelanto, San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

Phone: (949) 449-1190

JHohnbaum@sanjuancapistrano.org

Jason Jennings, Director, Maximus Consulting

Financial Services, 808 Moorefield Park Drive, Suite 205, Richmond, VA 23236

Phone: (804) 323-3535 SB90@maximus.com

Angelo Joseph, Supervisor, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-0706 AJoseph@sco.ca.gov

Jeremy Jungreis, Partner, Rutan & Tucker, LLP

611 Anton Boulevard, 14th Floor, Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Phone: (714) 641-5100 jjungreis@rutan.com

Anita Kerezsi, AK & Company

2425 Golden Hill Road, Suite 106, Paso Robles, CA 93446

Phone: (805) 239-7994 akcompanysb90@gmail.com

Joanne Kessler, Fiscal Specialist, City of Newport Beach

Revenue Division, 100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 90266

Phone: (949) 644-3199 jkessler@newportbeachca.gov

Mike Killebrew, City Manager, City of Dana Point

**Claimant Contact** 

33282 Golden Lantern, Dana Point, CA 92629-1805

Phone: (949) 248-3554 mkillebrew@danapoint.org

Lisa Kurokawa, Bureau Chief for Audits, State Controller's Office

Compliance Audits Bureau, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 327-3138 lkurokawa@sco.ca.gov

Michael Lauffer, Chief Counsel, State Water Resources Control Board

1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814-2828

Phone: (916) 341-5183

michael.lauffer@waterboards.ca.gov

Eric Lawyer, Legislative Advocate, California State Association of Counties (CSAC)

Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 650-8112 elawyer@counties.org

Kim-Anh Le, Deputy Controller, County of San Mateo

555 County Center, 4th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 599-1104 kle@smcgov.org

Fernando Lemus, Principal Accountant - Auditor, County of Los Angeles

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-0324 flemus@auditor.lacounty.gov

Tamara Letourneau, City Manager, City of Laguna Niguel

**Claimant Contact** 

30111 Crown Valley Parkway, Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

Phone: (949) 362-4300

tletourneau@cityoflagunaniguel.org

Erika Li, Chief Deputy Director, Department of Finance

915 L Street, 10th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 erika.li@dof.ca.gov

**Diego Lopez**, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee

1020 N Street, Room 502, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 651-4103 Diego.Lopez@sen.ca.gov

Everett Luc, Accounting Administrator I, Specialist, State Controller's Office

3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0766 ELuc@sco.ca.gov

Jill Magee, Program Analyst, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 Jill.Magee@csm.ca.gov

Darryl Mar, Manager, State Controller's Office

3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0706 DMar@sco.ca.gov

Hazel McIntosh, Associate Engineer, City of Mission Viejo

200 Civic Center, Mission Viejo, CA 92691

Phone: (949) 470-8419

hmcintosh@cityofmissionviejo.org

Tina McKendell, County of Los Angeles

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-0324

tmckendell@auditor.lacounty.gov

Michelle Mendoza, MAXIMUS

17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403

Phone: (949) 440-0845

michellemendoza@maximus.com

Andre Monette, Partner, Best Best & Krieger, LLP

2000 Pennsylvania NW, Suite 5300, Washington, DC 20006

Phone: (202) 785-0600 andre.monette@bbklaw.com

Lourdes Morales, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8320

Lourdes.Morales@LAO.CA.GOV

Jill Moya, Financial Services Director, City of Oceanside

300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054

Phone: (760) 435-3887 jmoya@oceanside.org

Marilyn Munoz, Senior Staff Counsel, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-8918 Marilyn.Munoz@dof.ca.gov

Kaleb Neufeld, Assistant Controller, City of Fresno

2600 Fresno Street, Fresno, CA 93721

Phone: (559) 621-2489 Kaleb.Neufeld@fresno.gov

Andy Nichols, Nichols Consulting

1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819

Phone: (916) 455-3939 andy@nichols-consulting.com

Adriana Nunez, Staff Counsel, State Water Resources Control Board

Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA

95814

Phone: (916) 322-3313

Adriana.Nunez@waterboards.ca.gov

Kevin Onuma, Chief Engineer, Orange County Flood Control District

**Claimant Contact** 

601 N. Ross Street, Santa Ana, CA 92701

Phone: (714) 647-3939

kevin.onuma@ocpw.ocgov.com

Patricia Pacot, Accountant Auditor I, County of Colusa

Office of Auditor-Controller, 546 Jay Street, Suite #202, Colusa, CA 95932

Phone: (530) 458-0424 ppacot@countyofcolusa.org

Arthur Palkowitz, Law Offices of Arthur M. Palkowitz

12807 Calle de la Siena, San Diego, CA 92130

Phone: (858) 259-1055 law@artpalk.onmicrosoft.com

Kirsten Pangilinan, Specialist, State Controller's Office

Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-2446 KPangilinan@sco.ca.gov

Johnnie Pina, Legislative Policy Analyst, League of Cities

1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 658-8214 jpina@cacities.org

Jai Prasad, County of San Bernardino

Office of Auditor-Controller, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018

Phone: (909) 386-8854 jai.prasad@sbcountyatc.gov

Jonathan Quan, Associate Accountant, County of San Diego

Projects, Revenue, and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Ave, Suite 410, San Diego, CA 92123

Phone: 6198768518

Jonathan.Quan@sdcounty.ca.gov

Roberta Raper, Director of Finance, City of West Sacramento

1110 West Capitol Ave, West Sacramento, CA 95691

Phone: (916) 617-4509

robertar@cityofwestsacramento.org

David Rice, State Water Resources Control Board

1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 341-5161 david.rice@waterboards.ca.gov

Debra Rose, City Manager, City of Lake Forest

**Claimant Contact** 

100 Civic Center Drive, Lake Forest, CA 92630

Phone: (949) 461-3400 drose@lakeforestca.gov

Omar Sandoval, Woodruff, Spradlin & Smart

555 Anton Boulevard, #1200, Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Phone: (714) 415-1049 osandoval@wss-law.com

Jessica Sankus, Senior Legislative Analyst, California State Association of Counties (CSAC)

Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 327-7500 jsankus@counties.org

Alex Sauerwein, Attorney, State Water Resources Control Board

San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 327-8581

Alex.Sauerwein@waterboards.ca.gov

Richard Schlesinger, City of Mission Viejo

200 Civic Center, Mission Viejo, CA 92691

Phone: (949) 470-3079

rschlesinger@cityofmissionviejo.org

Cindy Sconce, Director, MGT

Performance Solutions Group, 3600 American River Drive, Suite 150, Sacramento, CA 95864

Phone: (916) 276-8807 csconce@mgtconsulting.com

Jacki Scott, Director of Public Works, City of Laguna Niguel

30111 Crown Valley Parkway, Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

Phone: (949) 362-4337 jscott@cityoflagunaniguel.org

Carla Shelton, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 carla.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Camille Shelton, Chief Legal Counsel, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 camille.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Natalie Sidarous, Chief, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA

95816

Phone: 916-445-8717 NSidarous@sco.ca.gov

Ben Siegel, City Manager, City of San Juan Capistrano

**Claimant Contact** 

32400 Paseo Adelanto, San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

Phone: (949) 493-1171 bsiegel@sanjuancapistrano.org

Michelle Skaggs Lawrence, City Manager, City of Oceanside

300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054

Phone: (760) 435-3055 citymanager@oceansideca.org

Eileen Sobeck, Executive Director, State Water Resources Control Board

1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814-2828

Phone: (916) 341-5183

Eileen.Sobeck@waterboards.ca.gov

Jolene Tollenaar, MGT Consulting Group

2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815

Phone: (916) 243-8913 jolenetollenaar@gmail.com

James Treadaway, Director of Public Works, County of Orange

300 North Flower Street, Santa Ana, CA 92703

Phone: (714) 667-9700

James.Treadaway@ocpw.ocgov.com

Brian Uhler, Principal Fiscal & Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8328 Brian.Uhler@LAO.CA.GOV

Travis Van Ligten, Associate, Rutan & Tucker, LLP

611 Anton Blvd, Suite 1400, Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Phone: (714) 641-5100 tvanligten@rutan.com

Antonio Velasco, Revenue Auditor, City of Newport Beach

100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660

Phone: (949) 644-3143 avelasco@newportbeachca.gov

Vincent Vu, Attorney, State Water Resources Control Board

San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-5669

Vincent.Vu@waterboards.ca.gov

Emel Wadhwani, Senior Staff Counsel, State Water Resources Control Board

Office of Chief Counsel, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 322-3622

emel.wadhwani@waterboards.ca.gov

Ada Waelder, Legislative Analyst, Government Finance and Administration, California State

Association of Counties (CSAC)

1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 327-7500 awaelder@counties.org

Renee Wellhouse, David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc.

3609 Bradshaw Road, H-382, Sacramento, CA 95927

Phone: (916) 797-4883 dwa-renee@surewest.net

Tom Wheeler, Director of Public Works, City of Lake Forest

100 Civic Center Drive, Lake Forest, CA 92630

Phone: (949) 461-3480 twheeler@lakeforestca.gov

Adam Whelen, Director of Public Works, City of Anderson

1887 Howard St., Anderson, CA 96007

Phone: (530) 378-6640 awhelen@ci.anderson.ca.us

Dennis Wilberg, City Manager, City of Mission Viejo

**Claimant Contact** 

200 Civic Center, Mission Viejo, CA 92691

Phone: (949) 470-3051

dwilberg@cityofmissionviejo.org

Colleen Winchester, Senior Deputy City Attorney, City of San Jose

200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: (408) 535-1987

Colleen.Winchester@sanjoseca.gov

Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez, Deputy Executive Director for Legislative Affairs, California State

Association of Counties (CSAC)

1100 K Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 650-8104

jwong-hernandez@counties.org

**Julia Woo**, Deputy County Counsel, *County of Orange* 333 West Santa Ana Blvd, Suite 407, Santa Ana, CA 92701

Phone: (714) 834-6046 julia.woo@coco.ocgov.com

**Elisa Wynne**, Staff Director, *Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee* California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 651-4103 elisa.wynne@sen.ca.gov

Lisa Zawaski, Senior Water Quality Engineer, City of Dana Point

Dana Point City Hall, 33282 Golden Lantern Street, Public Works Suite 212, Dana Point, CA 92629

Phone: (949) 248-3584 lzawaski@danapoint.org

**Helmholst Zinser-Watkins**, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 700,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-7876 HZinser-watkins@sco.ca.gov