

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. - GOVERNOR

915 L STREET # SACRAMENTO CA # 95814-3706 # WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

July 26, 2013

Ms. Heather Halsey
Executive Director
Commission on State Mandates
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Halsey:

Please find attached the Department of Finance's "Request to Adopt a New Test Claim Decision" on the Fire Safety Inspections of Care Facilities (01-TC-16) mandate program. A subsequent change in law amended the test claim statute of the program, and, as a result, has removed the state's obligation to fund the mandate.

Pursuant to section 1181.2, subdivision (c)(1)(E) of the California Code of Regulations, "documents that are e-filed with the Commission on State Mandates need not be otherwise served on persons that have provided an e-mail address for the mailing list."

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Michael Byrne, Principal Program Budget Analyst at (916) 445-3274.

Sincerely

TOM DÝEŘ

Assistant Program Budget Manager

Enclosures

1. TITLE OF REQUEST TO ADOPT A NEW TEST CLAIM DECISION

Fire Safety Inspections of Care Facilities (01-TC-16)

Request to Adopt a New Test Claim Decision

2. REQUESTER INFORMATION

| of Local Agencies or School Districts, or State Agency |
|--|
| Michael Byrne |
| Requester Contact |
| Principal Program Budget Analyst |
| Title |
| California Department of Finance |
| Organization |
| 915 L Street, Suite 1190 |
| Street Address |
| Sacramento, CA 95814 |
| City, State, Zip Code |
| (916) 445-3274 |
| Telephone Number |
| (916) 449-5252 |
| Fax Number |
| Michael.byrne@dof.ca.gov |
| E-Mail Address |

3. REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Representative Name

If requester designates another person to act as its sole representative for this request, all correspondence and communications regarding this request shall be forwarded to this representative. Any change in representation must be authorized by the requester in writing, and sent to the Commission on State Mandates. Please complete information below if desginating a representative.

| riopi o o i i i a i i a i i a i i a i i a i i a i i a i i a i i a i a i a i a i a i a i a i a i a i a i a i a i | |
|---|--|
| | |
| Title | |
| | |
| Organization | |
| | |
| Street Address | |
| | |
| City, State, Zip Code | |
| | |
| Telephone Number | |
| | |
| Fax Number | |
| | |
| E-Mail Address | |

For CSM Use Only

Filing Date: ECEIVED July 29, 2013 Commission on State Mandates

REQUEST#

13-MR-01

4. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

Please identify the name(s) of the programs, test claim number(s), and the date of adoption of the Statement of Decision, for which you are requesting a new test claim decision, and the subsequent change in law that allegedly changes the state's liability. Regarding the subsequent change in law, please identify all relevant code sections (include statutes, chapters, and bill numbers), regulations (include register number and effective date), executive orders (include effective date), cases, or ballot measures.

On March 29, 2006, the Commission on State Mandates adopted a statement of decision finding that the costs of activities required by Health and Safety Code section 13235, subdivision (a), as added Chapter 993, Statutes of 1989, under the Fire Safety Inspections of Care Facilities Test Claim No. (01-TC-16) are reimbursable by the state. Health and Safety Code section 13235, subdivision (a) as amended by Chapter 12, Statutes of 2009, Fourth Extraordinary Session (ABX4 12), enacted on July 28, 2009, is the subsequent change in law that removes the state's obligation to reimburse the costs of complying with this mandate program based on Government Code sections 17570 and 17556, subdivision (d). Sections 5, 6 and 7 are attached as follows: 5. Detailed Analysis: Pages 5 to 6 Pages 7 to 7 . 6. Declarations: Pages 8 to 8 7. Documentation:

8. CERTIFICATION

Read, sign, and date this section and insert at the end of the request for a new test claim decision.*

This request for a new test claim decision is true and complete to the best of my personal knowledge, information, or belief.

26/2013

Signature of Authorized Official

^{*}If declarant for this certification is different from the contact identified in section 2 of the form, please provide the declarant's address, telephone number, fax number and e-mail address.

Section 5. Detailed Analysis Request to Adopt a New Test Claim Decision Fire Safety Inspections of Care Facilities (01-TC-16) Department of Finance

On March 29, 2006, the Commission on State Mandates adopted a statement of decision finding that Health and Safety Code section 13235, subdivision (a), as added by Chapter 993, Statutes of 1989 imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program on local agencies within the meaning of Article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code sections 17514 for the following activities:

- 1. The preinspection of community care facilities, residential care facilities for the elderly, and child day care facilities;
- 2. The consultation and interpretation of applicable fire safety regulations for the prospective facility licensee; and
- 3. Written notice to the prospective facility licensee of the specific fire safety regulations which shall be enforced in order to obtain the final fire clearance approval.

Section 5. Detailed Analysis Request to Adopt a New Test Claim Decision Fire Safety Inspections of Care Facilities (01-TC-16) Department of Finance

The Department of Finance (Finance) requests that the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopt a new test claim decision on the Fire Safety Inspections of Care Facilities (01-TC-16) mandate program based on a "subsequent change in law" as defined by Government Code section 17570 and pursuant to Government Code section 17556, subdivision (d).

In 2006, the Commission adopted the statement of decision finding that the costs of activities required by Health and Safety Code section 13235, subdivision (a), are reimbursable by the state. Subsequently, Chapter 12, Statutes of 2009, Fourth Extraordinary Session (ABX4 12), amended Health and Safety Code section 13235, subdivision (a), to grant local agencies authority to charge a fee sufficient to cover all of the costs attributable to the mandated activities under Health and Safety Code section 13235, subdivision (a). The authority to collect such fees necessitates a new test claim decision finding there are no costs mandated by the state pursuant to Government Code section 17556, subdivision (d).

The enactment of ABX4 12 amended the language of Health and Safety Code section 13235 by adding language which allows local fire protection districts to charge a fee equal to, but not exceeding, the actual costs of their preinspection services. (See Attachment A, pages 11-12.) That 2009 amendment to Health and Safety Code section 13235, subdivision (a), is the "subsequent change in law" that requires the Commission to make a new test claim finding that the cost of the program is not a cost mandated by the state. Government Code section 17570 defines "subsequent change in law" "as a change in law that requires a finding that an incurred cost is a cost mandated by the state, as defined by Government Code section 17514, or is not a cost mandated by the state pursuant to Government Code section 17556, or a change in mandates law...." Additionally, Government Code section 17556, subdivision (d), provides that the Commission shall not find costs mandated by the state if a local agency has the authority to assess a fee sufficient to pay for the mandated program. As a result of the subsequent change in law, local agencies may charge a fee to cover all of their costs attributable to the mandated activities in Health and Safety Code section 13235, subdivision (a).

Based on Government Code sections 17570 and 17556, subdivision (d), Finance requests the Commission adopt a new test claim decision finding that there are no costs mandated by the state within the meaning of Article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution. Beginning fiscal year 2012-13, the state should not be obligated to reimburse any costs for local agencies to implement the mandated activities. The annual statewide cost estimate of the program should be zero dollars.

Section 6. Declarations Request to Adopt a New Test Claim Decision Fire Safety Inspections of Care Facilities (01-TC-16) Department of Finance

- 1. The state's estimated annual statewide costs for reimbursing the program should be zero dollars as of July 1, 2012 based on Government Code section 17570, subdivision (f) and the pre-June 30, 2014 filing date of this request. (See Attachment C: State Mandated Program Cost Report of Unpaid Claims and Deficiency (Pursuant to Government Code Section 17562(b)(2)): Schedule B, Section 2: Net Deficiencies and Surpluses for the Unfunded Mandates by Program.) The state owes back costs of \$764,793 as of fiscal year 2008-09 for reimbursable claims submitted from 2000-01 through 2008-09 Based on that data, the Department of Finance estimates that annual statewide costs have averaged approximately \$85,000 since the program's reimbursement period began fiscal year 2000-01. No reimbursable claims were submitted after 2008-09 because the program was suspended in 2009-10 and there was a subsequent change in law providing local agencies sufficient fee authority.
- 2. Chapter 12, Statutes of 2009, Fourth Extraordinary Session, enacted on July 28, 2009 is the subsequent change in law which provides local agencies authority to charge fees sufficient to cover the full costs of the mandated activities in the Fire Safety Inspections of Care Facilities program. Effective July 1, 2012, the state should not be legally required to reimburse the costs of the mandate program.
- 3. I am currently employed by the State of California, Department of Finance (Finance), am familiar with the duties of Finance, and am authorized to make these declarations on behalf of Finance.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the facts set forth in the foregoing are true and correct of my own knowledge except as to the matters herein stated as information or belief and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

At Sagramento, California

Carla Shelton

Fire Safety Inspections of Care Facilities (01-TC-16) Department of Finance

1. Chapter 12, Statutes of 2009, Fourth Extraordinary Session (ABX4 12) "Subsequent change in law" Statute Attachment A

2. Chapter 993, Statutes of 1989 - Test Claim Statute

Attachment B

3. State Mandated Program Cost Report of Unpaid Claims and Deficiency Pursuant to Government Code section 17562(b)(2): Schedule B, Section 2: Net Deficiencies and Surpluses for the Unfunded Mandates by Program

Attachment C

BILL NUMBER: ABX4 12 CHAPTERED

BILL TEXT

CHAPTER 12
FILED WITH SECRETARY OF STATE JULY 28, 2009
APPROVED BY GOVERNOR JULY 28, 2009
PASSED THE SENATE JULY 23, 2009
PASSED THE ASSEMBLY JULY 24, 2009
AMENDED IN SENATE JULY 23, 2009

INTRODUCED BY Assembly Member Evans

JULY 2, 2009

An act to amend Section 19616.51 of, and to add Section 19616.52 to, the Business and Professions Code, to add Section 14044 to the Corporations Code, to add and repeal Article 20.7 (commencing with Section 69999.10) of Chapter 2 of Part 42 of Division 5 of Title 3 of the Education Code, to amend Sections 8610.5, 11545, 12715, 13302, and 22877 of, to add Sections 11019.10 and 12472.5 to, and to add and repeal Section 22864.1 of, the Government Code, to amend Sections 1566.2, 13235, 17031.8, 17036, 18114, 18502, and 51504 of the Health and Safety Code, to add Article 7 (commencing with Section 11885) to Chapter 4 of Part 3 of Division 2 of, and to add and repeal Section 12975.9 of, the Insurance Code, to amend Sections 62.5 and 4352 of, and to add Section 67 to, the Labor Code, to amend Section 1012.3 of, and to add Article 8.2 (commencing with Section 999.80) to Chapter 6 of Division 4 of, the Military and Veterans Code, to amend Section 679.02 of the Penal Code, to add Section 42102.5 to the Public Resources Code, to amend Sections 7204.3 and 7273 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, and to add Sections 10214.6 and 14022 to the Unemployment Insurance Code, relating to state government, making an appropriation therefor, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 12, Evans. State government.

(1) Existing law, operative July 1, 2009, provides that, notwithstanding any other provision of law and in lieu of any license fee payable to the state prescribed for or referred to in specified provisions of the Horse Racing Law, any association or fair that conducts a racing meeting shall pay a license fee to the state to fund the California Horse Racing Board and the equine drug testing program, as provided.

This bill would instead require any association or fair to pay its proportional amount, as determined by the formula devised by the board in consultation with the industry, as a license fee to the state, to be deposited into the Horse Racing Fund, which the bill would

establish, to fund the board and the equine drug testing program, as provided.

(2) Existing law, operative until June 30, 2009, provides that if the total amount paid to the state as license fees by racing associations and fairs is less than \$40,000,000 in any calendar year, all associations and fairs that conducted live racing during the year of the shortfall shall remit to the state, on a pro rata basis according to the amount paid as license fees by each association or fair, the amount necessary to bring the total amount paid to the state as license fees to \$40,000,000.

This bill would provide that in lieu of all amounts payable prior to July 1, 2009, as shortfall amounts, the sum of \$5,500,000 shall be paid by racing associations and fairs from the amount available for commissions, purses, and breeder awards, as determined by the board, into the State Treasury to the credit of the Fair and Exposition Fund over a period of 6 years, as provided.

The bill would require the proportionate share to be paid by each racing association and fair and the method of payment to be determined by a formula approved by the board in consultation with the industry. By imposing new requirements on licensees under the Horse Racing Law, the violation of which would be a crime under other provisions of existing law, this bill would create a new crime and thereby impose a state-mandated local program. Because this bill would require the above funds to be deposited into a continuously appropriated fund, the Fair and Exposition Fund, the bill would make an appropriation.

(3) The California Small Business Financial Development Corporation Law authorizes the formation of small business financial development corporations to grant loans or loan guarantees for the purpose of stimulating small business development. The California Small Business Expansion Fund, which is created under that law, provides funds to be used to pay for defaulted loan guarantees and administrative costs of these corporations, among other investments. Existing law authorizes moneys in that fund to be paid out to a lending institution or financial company that will act as trustee of the funds, as specified.

This bill would make state money, as defined, in the California Small Business Expansion Fund and the trust fund unavailable for new loans, loan guarantees, or other investments and would require state money not needed to guarantee existing loans, to administer existing loans, or for other existing investments, as determined by the Director of Finance, to revert to the General Fund.

(4) Existing law establishes various student financial aid programs under the administration of the Student Aid Commission, and establishes eligibility requirements for the receipt of awards under those programs for participating students attending qualifying institutions. Existing law establishes the Military Department, which includes the California National Guard, the State Military Reserve, and the Naval Militia, to perform various duties regarding the state militia.

This bill would establish the California National Guard Education Assistance Award Program on behalf of qualifying members of the California National Guard, the State Military Reserve, and the Naval Militia under the administration of the commission.

The bill would require the Student Aid Commission, in consultation with the Military Department, to adopt emergency rules and regulations for the purpose of implementing the program. The bill would require the Student Aid Commission to report annually to the Legislature regarding program participation. The bill would require the Legislative Analyst, on or before January 1, 2016, to prepare and submit to the Legislature a report on the program. The bill would provide that the program would become operative only if funds are appropriated for the purposes of the program. The bill would also provide that implementation of the program would be contingent upon the receipt of federal funds. The program would become inoperative on July 1, 2019, and would be repealed on January 1, 2020.

- (5) The California Emergency Services Act provides for the assessment of certain state agency costs on utilities operating certain nuclear powerplants, and the deposit of those moneys into, and the appropriation and allocation of moneys from, the Nuclear Planning Assessment Special Account. The amounts available for disbursement are adjusted and compounded each fiscal year by the percentage increase in the California Consumer Price Index of the previous calendar year. These provisions become inoperative on July
- 1, 2019, and are repealed on January 1, 2020.

This bill would instead make these adjustments based on the percentage increase in the California Consumer Price Index of the previous fiscal year.

(6) Existing law authorizes the creation of state agencies, departments, and other entities within state government.

This bill would prohibit, except as provided, the provision of automatic increases to the University of California, California State University, the State Courts, or to state agency operations.

(7) Existing law requires the State Chief Information Officer to produce an annual information technology strategic plan.

This bill would additionally require the State Chief Information Officer to produce an annual information technology report with specified information to be provided to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee.

(8) Existing law requires the Controller to install and operate a uniform state payroll system for all state agencies, except the California Exposition and State Fair and the University of California, in conformance with a prescribed accounting system. Existing law prohibits pay dates under that accounting system from being more than 10 calendar days following the close of the payroll period for monthly salaried employees and more than 15 calendar days following the close of the payroll period for semimonthly and biweekly payroll systems. Existing law provides that for the purposes of financial reporting, a payable exists when services have been delivered and the state is required to pay for those services, and an encumbrance exists when a valid obligation against an appropriation has been created.

This bill would require, on and after January 1, 2010, that payments to employees made through the Uniform State Payroll System for a pay period ending on June 30 of each year shall be on or after July 1, provided that employees shall, in any event, be paid promptly. The bill would require that payments to employees made in

July through the Uniform State Payroll System for services rendered prior to June 30 of each year be considered payable in the fiscal year in which the warrant is issued. The bill would except from this provision payments made in July for purposes of calculating maintenance of effort expenditures under Section 8 of Article XVI of the California Constitution and other calculations of funds used by a program in the fiscal year, and would permit them to be counted towards the prior fiscal year.

(9) The Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act requires that premiums charged for enrollment in a health benefit program reasonably reflect the cost of the benefits, provided that this does not limit the Board of Administration of the Public Employees' Retirement System from adjusting premiums charged under any health benefit plan or contract to reflect regional variations in providing services, which adjustments are at the sole discretion of the board.

This bill would authorize the board to use reserves generated by one or more self-funded health benefit plans to reduce the premiums charged for enrollment in one or more separate self-funded health benefit plans offered by the board, as specified.

(10) Existing law establishes, until December 31, 2011, the Rural Health Care Equity Program for the purpose of funding the subsidization and reimbursement of premium costs, deductibles, coinsurance, and other out-of-pocket health care expenses paid by employees living in rural areas, as specified. Existing law provides that the operation of the program is contingent upon funding in the annual Budget Act or another statute. Existing law provides that moneys remaining in an account of the program at the end of any fiscal year shall remain in the account for use in subsequent fiscal years, until the account is terminated and requires that moneys remaining in a program account upon its termination be deposited in the General Fund. State Bargaining Unit 5 has a labor contract with the state that is operative until July 2, 2010.

This bill would provide that, contingent on funding in the annual Budget Act or another statute, the Rural Health Care Equity Program operates solely for the benefit of State Bargaining Unit 5. The bill would terminate operation of the program on July 3, 2010. The bill would require that any moneys that remain in the accounts of the program on July 1, 2009, other than moneys attributable to employees in State Bargaining Unit 5 on that date, be deposited in the General Fund. The bill would provide that, on and after July 3, 2010, benefits of the program would cease to be available to employees in State Bargaining Unit 5, and would require any moneys remaining in the accounts of the program be deposited in the General Fund. The bill would also make technical, corrective, and conforming changes to these provisions.

(11) Existing law requires the local fire authority or the State Fire Marshal to conduct a facility reinspection upon request of a prospective community care facility licensee and authorizes the primary enforcement agency to assess reinspection fees not to exceed \$50 for a facility with a capacity to serve 25 or fewer and fees not to exceed \$100 for a facility with a capacity to serve 26 or more.

This bill would, instead, authorize the assessed fees to equal,

♥ 6 D5 0 Fe 2.€3 2€

but not exceed, the actual cost of the reinspection services.

(12) Existing law establishes the right of victims to be notified by the district attorney's office, in cases that involve a violent felony, as defined, or in the event of a homicide, the victim's next of kin, of a pending pretrial disposition before a change of plea is entered before a judge. Existing law provides that a victim of any felony may request to be notified, by the district attorney's office, of a pretrial disposition.

The Victims' Bill of Rights Act of 2008: Marcy's Law established, within the California Constitution, that victims, as defined, shall be entitled to certain rights, including the right, upon request, to be notified of and informed before any pretrial disposition of a case.

This bill would amend statutory language to conform the notification rights of victims found in statute to the notification rights provided in the Constitution pursuant to the Victims' Bill of Rights Act of 2008: Marcy's Law.

(13) Under existing law, the Employee Housing Act requires that buildings used for human habitation, and buildings accessory thereto, comply with the building standards in the California Building Standards Code relating to employee housing, as defined. Existing law requires the Department of Housing and Community Development to gather and include specified information in an annual report for all employee housing owner and operator permeates for which it acts as the enforcement agency.

This bill would, from July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2012, inclusive, suspend the requirement that the department gather and include specified information in its annual report.

(14) Under existing law, the department is required to establish a schedule of fees to pay for the cost of administration and enforcement of the Employee Housing Act.

This bill would require the department to establish a schedule of fees that includes, but is not limited to, specified minimum permit fees. The bill would authorize the department, on or after January 1, 2010, to increase these specified fees, if necessary, to finance the costs of administration and enforcement of the act.

(15) Existing law requires the California Housing Finance Agency to administer the California Homebuyer's Downpayment Assistance Program for the purpose of assisting first-time low- and moderate-income home buyers utilizing existing mortgage financing. Under the program, the amount of the downpayment assistance is due and payable at the end of the term or upon sale of or refinancing of the home.

This bill would authorize the agency, in its discretion, to permit the downpayment assistance loan to be subordinated to refinancing if it determines that certain criteria have been met. The bill would authorize the agency to permit subordination on terms and conditions as it determines are reasonable.

(16) Existing law provides for the existence of the State Compensation Insurance Fund for the purpose of transacting workers' compensation insurance, insurance against the expense of defending any suit for serious and willful misconduct against an employer or

his or her agent, and insurance to employees and other persons of the compensation fixed by the workers' compensation laws for employees and their dependents.

This bill would authorize the Director of Finance, acting as agent for the state, to sell a portion of or otherwise obtain value for the State Compensation Insurance Fund assets and liabilities. It would provide that this sale or other disposition shall be transacted with an entity that the director, in consultation with the Treasurer, determines will meet specified conditions. It would require that the Board of Directors of the State Compensation Insurance Fund concur that the assets and liabilities identified by the Director of Finance are appropriate for disposition.

This bill would specify the procedures applicable to the sale or other disposition of these assets and liabilities, and would require that the proceeds of any sale or any proceeds achieved through any other disposition of workers' compensation assets and liabilities, less transaction costs, be deposited into the General Fund. It would require the Director of Finance to notify the Joint Legislative Budget Committee in writing upon determining that neither the sale nor any other transaction authorized by this bill is anticipated to achieve the purposes of the bill or upon the completion of a disposition of assets and liabilities pursuant to these provisions.

(17) Existing law creates a Seismic Safety Account within the Insurance Fund, which may be appropriated by the Legislature to fund the Department of Insurance and the Seismic Safety Commission, as specified. Existing law imposes an assessment upon certain insurers to fund the account. This provision was repealed on July 1, 2009.

This bill would restore the provisions relating to the Seismic Safety Account and extend its operation until July 1, 2012.

(18) The Mobilehome Parks Act requires the payment of a fee of \$11 to the Department of Housing and Development at the time of original registration or renewal of registration for each transportable section of a manufactured home, mobilehome, or commercial coach that is subject to annual renewal, and, for a manufactured home, mobilehome, or truck camper that is not subject to annual renewal, at the time of original registration and upon application for specified subsequent changes. The act also requires the payment of a fee of \$30 for each original application for registration of a floating home and for specified subsequent changes.

This bill would raise to \$23 the fee for the original registration or renewal of registration for each transportable section of a manufactured home, mobilehome, or commercial coach that is subject to annual renewal, and, for a manufactured home, mobilehome, or truck camper that is not subject to annual renewal, the fee for original registration and specified subsequent changes. The bill would also raise the fee for each original application for registration of a floating home and for specified subsequent changes to \$42.

(19) The Mobilehome Parks Act, until January 1, 2012, requires an annual operating permit fee of \$25 and an additional \$2 per lot, as specified.

This bill would, until that same date, instead impose an annual operating permit fee of \$140 and an additional \$7 per lot, as

specified.

(20) The Mobilehome Parks Act, beginning January 1, 2012, requires an annual operating permit fee of \$25 and an additional \$2 per lot or camping party, as specified, and a temporary recreational vehicle park operating permit fee of \$25, with no additional fee per lot.

This bill would, beginning January 1, 2012, instead impose an annual operating permit fee of \$140 and an additional \$7 per lot, as specified, and would eliminate the fee for a temporary recreational vehicle park operating permit.

(21) Existing law establishes the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement within the Department of Industrial Relations. Under existing law, the division enforces specified provisions of law relating to private employment.

This bill would establish the Labor Enforcement and Compliance Fund in the State Treasury. The bill would provide that moneys in the fund may be expended by the department, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for the support of the activities that the division performs pursuant to specified provisions of law.

The bill would require the Director of the Department of Industrial Relations to levy a separate surcharge upon all employers, as defined, for purposes of deposit in the Labor Enforcement and Compliance Fund. The bill would require that the total amount of the surcharges be allocated between employers in proportion to payroll respectively paid in the most recent year for which payroll information is available, and would require the director to adopt reasonable regulations governing the manner of collection of the surcharges.

(22) Existing law authorizes the California State Mediation and Conciliation Service (CSMCS) within the Department of Industrial Relations to investigate and mediate labor disputes.

This bill would authorize the Director of Industrial Relations to collect reimbursement for the services provided by CSMCS and would require the director to adopt regulations to implement these provisions.

(23) Under existing law, workers' compensation is the exclusive remedy of a disaster service worker, or his or her dependents, for injury or death arising out of, and in the course of, his or her activities as a disaster service worker. Under that law, no compensation may be paid or furnished to a disaster service worker or the worker's dependent except from money appropriated for the purpose of furnishing compensation to disaster service workers and their dependents. Liability for the payment or furnishing of compensation is dependent upon and limited to the availability of money so appropriated.

This bill would instead provide that workers' compensation may not be paid or furnished to a disaster service worker absent an initial appropriation of funds for that purpose, and that if appropriated funds are not available, the State Compensation Insurance Fund may provide compensation to an eligible claimant whose injuries have previously either been accepted or found to be compensable by the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board. The bill would require the California Emergency Management Agency to reimburse the fund when an

appropriation becomes available.

(24) Existing law provides for certain services, protections, and benefits for veterans.

This bill would require that any entity, or other entities with which it subcontracts, that receives specified funding from the federal Workforce Investment Act of 1998, as provided in the 2009 Budget Act, identified for use for veterans, meet specified criteria, as prescribed.

(25) Existing law provides for the establishment and operation of the Veterans' Home of California at various sites for aged and disabled veterans who meet certain eligibility requirements. Existing law establishes the total individual member's fees and charges for any fiscal year based on the level of care, which may not be greater than a specified percentage of the member's annual income or a flat amount, whichever is less.

This bill would eliminate the requirement that the member's fees and charges for any fiscal year be the lesser of a percentage of the member's annual income or a flat amount, and instead prohibit the total of the member's fees and charges for any fiscal year to be greater than a certain percentage of the member's annual income. This bill would prohibit the total of the member's fees and charges for any fiscal year, for domiciliary care, to be greater than 471/2%, and, for residential care for the elderly or assisted living, to be greater than 55%, of the member's annual income. This bill would require nonveteran spouses who become members of the home on or after July 1, 2009, to pay fees and charges based on the level of care, as specified, or an amount equal to the annual amount of federal per diem received for a veteran member in domiciliary care, whichever is greater, as provided.

(26) The Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law and the Transactions and Use Tax Law authorize local governmental agencies to impose sales and use taxes in modified conformity to state sales and use taxes and authorize the State Board of Equalization to impose charges in administering those local taxes. Existing law requires, beginning with the 2006-07 fiscal year, that the amount charged to each local governmental agency be determined in accordance with a methodology described in a specified report by the State Board of Equalization, as provided.

This bill would, for the 2008-09 fiscal year to the 2014-15 fiscal year, inclusive, provide that the amounts determined in accordance with the methodology described in a specified report by the State Board of Equalization shall not include specified revenues.

(27) Existing law establishes the Employment Training Panel (EPT) in the Employment Development Department, and prescribes the membership and functions and duties of the ETP.

This bill would require the panel to establish the Partnership for Workforce Recovery Training (PWRT) for the purpose of supporting and implementing the workforce development goals set forth in the federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). The bill would require the panel to develop and publish guidelines for implementing the PWRT, as specified. The bill would authorize the panel to allocate funds it receives pursuant to the federal Workforce

Investment Act of 1998 and the ARRA to support the activities of the PWRT, in accordance with specified requirements. The bill would require that any funds made available to the panel pursuant to those federal statutes be deposited into a separate account established by the Employment Development Department in the State Treasury, and used for the purposes of the PWRT. The bill would authorize the panel to adopt any regulations necessary to implement the provisions of the bill, as provided.

(28) Existing law, the California Workforce Investment Act, establishes the California Workforce Investment Board (CWIB), which is the body responsible for assisting the Governor in the development, oversight, and continuous improvement of California's workforce investment system, and prescribes the functions and duties of the board. Existing law, the California Green Collar Jobs Act of 2008 also establishes a special committee known as the Green Collar Jobs Council (GCJC), which is responsible for the development of a green collar jobs strategic initiative to address the growing need for a highly skilled and well-trained workforce to meet the needs of California's emerging green economy, as prescribed.

This bill would require the CWIB, in coordination with the Employment Development Department, to participate in the development and evaluation of specified grant allocations intended to provide funding to remove barriers for special needs populations for green technology and green collar jobs, and ensure consistency with the green collar jobs strategic initiative, as provided. The bill would also require the CWIB to prepare and annually submit to the Legislature a report containing specified information on the allocation of those grants funds.

(29) Existing law creates the Exposition Park Improvement Fund and requires that all revenues received by the California Science Center for the provision of certain services are deposited into that fund. Under existing law, the moneys in the Exposition Park Improvement Fund may only be used, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for improvements to Exposition Park, as specified.

This bill would authorize up to \$2,800,000 dollars to be transferred from the Exposition Park Improvement Fund into the General Fund for the 2009-10 fiscal year, as specified.

(30) Existing law requires the Department of Finance, the Controller, the Treasurer, and the Department of General Services to collaboratively develop, implement, utilize, maintain, and operate the Financial Information System for California (FISCal) as a single integrated financial management system that encompasses the management of resources and dollars in the areas of budgeting, accounting, procurement, cash management, financial management, financial reporting, cost accounting, asset management, project accounting, grant management, and human resources management. Existing law requires the FISCal Project Office in the Department of Finance to implement these provisions until the Office of the Financial Information System is established.

This bill would require the Department of Finance, before executing a contract for the prime vendor to implement these provisions, to submit a written report to the Legislature that

includes specified information. The bill would require the report to be submitted to the Legislature for review no less than 30 days before the contract is executed.

(31) Existing law, which has been amended by an initiative measure, requires that, prior to release from the custody of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation of a person who has been convicted of certain crimes of a sexual nature, the Director of Corrections and Rehabilitation refer that person to the State Department of Mental Health for evaluation if the director determines that person may be a sexually violent predator.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would require the Director of Finance to identify those local costs associated with the implementation of the sexually violent predator law that are necessary to implement or were expressly included in Proposition 83 of the November 7, 2006, General Election, and to propose an amendment to the applicable parameters and guidelines to the Commission on State Mandates.

(32) Existing law establishes, until January 1, 2012, the Chrome Plating Pollution Prevention Fund administered by the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency. Moneys in the fund, upon appropriation by the Legislature, are expended by the agency to provide specific loan guarantees to assist chrome plating facilities to purchase high performance environmental control equipment or technologies.

This bill would transfer all unencumbered moneys in the Chrome Plating Pollution Prevention Fund to the General Fund. The bill would require the Secretary of Business, Transportation and Housing to deposit any loan repayments into the General Fund.

(33) Existing law provides that no dog or cat impounded by a public pound or specified shelter shall be killed before 6 business days, as specified. Formerly, these laws required a waiting period of 72 hours.

This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature that the suspension in the Budget Act of 2009 of this requirement does not affect the duties provided in the laws that were impacted by that requirement, and that, therefore, the requirements that dogs and cats be held for a minimum of 72 hours remain in effect.

(34) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

(35) The California Constitution authorizes the Governor to declare a fiscal emergency and to call the Legislature into special session for that purpose. The Governor issued a proclamation declaring a fiscal emergency, and calling a special session for this purpose, on July 1, 2009.

This bill would state that it addresses the fiscal emergency declared by the Governor by proclamation issued on July 1, 2009, pursuant to the California Constitution.

(36) This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Appropriation: yes.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SEC. 13. Section 1566.2 of the Health and Safety Code is amended to read:

1566.2. A residential facility, which serves six or fewer persons shall not be subject to any business taxes, local registration fees, use permit fees, or other fees to which other family dwellings of the same type in the same zone are not likewise subject. Nothing in this section shall be construed to forbid the imposition of local property taxes, fees for water service and garbage collection, fees for inspections not prohibited by Section 1566.3, local bond assessments, and other fees, charges, and assessments to which other family dwellings of the same type in the same zone are likewise subject. Neither the State Fire Marshal nor any local public entity shall charge any fee for enforcing fire inspection regulations pursuant to state law or regulation or local ordinance, with respect to residential facilities that serve six or fewer persons, except for fees authorized pursuant to Section 13235.

For purposes of this section, "family dwellings," includes, but is not limited to, single-family dwellings, units in multifamily dwellings, including units in duplexes and units in apartment dwellings, mobilehomes, including mobilehomes located in mobilehome parks, units in cooperatives, units in condominiums, units in townhouses, and units in planned unit developments.

SEC. 14. Section 13235 of the Health and Safety Code is amended to read:

13235. (a) Upon receipt of a request from a prospective licensee of a community care facility, as defined in Section 1502, of a residential care facility for the elderly, as defined in Section 1569.2, or of a child day care facility, as defined in Section 1596.750, the local fire enforcing agency, as defined in Section 13244, or State Fire Marshal, whichever has primary jurisdiction, shall conduct a preinspection of the facility prior to the final fire clearance approval. At the time of the preinspection, the primary fire enforcing agency shall provide consultation and interpretation of fire safety regulations, and shall notify the prospective licensee of the facility in writing of the specific fire safety regulations which shall be enforced in order to obtain fire clearance approval. A fee equal to, but not exceeding, the actual cost of the preinspection services may be charged for the preinspection of a facility with a capacity to serve 25 or fewer persons. A fee equal to, but not exceeding, the

actual cost of the preinspection services may be charged for a preinspection of a facility with a capacity to serve 26 or more persons.

(b) The primary fire enforcing agency shall complete the final fire clearance inspection for a community care facility, residential care facility for the elderly, or child day care facility within 30 days of receipt of the request for the final inspection, or as of the date the prospective facility requests the final prelicensure inspection by the State Department of Social Services, whichever is later.

CHAPTER 993

An act to amend Section 13144.5 of, to add Sections 1531.2, 1569.149, and 1596.809 to, and to add Chapter 5.5 (commencing with Section 13235) to Part 2 of Division 12 of, the Health and Safety Code, relating to fire safety in care facilities.

[Approved by Governor September 29, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 29, 1989.]

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares the following: Community care facilities, residential care facilities for the elderly, and child care facilities serve the needs of thousands of persons who are either physically impaired, mentally disabled, frail, elderly, or children, and who warrant care in a specialized, noninstitutional environment.

It is in the best interest of the California public that private citizens be encouraged to develop and operate community care facilities, residential care facilities for the elderly, and child day care facilities throughout the state in order to meet the critical demand for quality, specialized care homes.

Complex and unclear fire safety codes have frustrated the attempts of persons seeking to establish community care facilities, residential care facilities for the elderly, and child day care facilities, and have resulted in significant loss of money and resources to individuals who have received incorrect information regarding fire safety requirements from state or local officials, or no guidance at all.

Interpretation of state and local fire safety regulations varies between the more than 1,200 fire jurisdictions, and in some cases varies within the same jurisdiction, causing confusion and, in numerous instances, project cancellation.

Therefore, it is the intention of the Legislature that a prospective applicant for community care facility, residential care facility for the elderly, or child day care facility licensure shall be clearly informed in advance of making design modifications to a structure to meet specific fire safety requirements.

The Legislature futher intends that it is incumbent on state and local agencies to assist persons in the interpretation of fire safety regulations for community care facilities, residential care facilities for the elderly, and child day care facilities, and that greater efforts must be made to clarify and streamline the fire safety clearance process.

SEC. 2. Section 1531.2 is added to the Health and Safety Code, to read:

1531.2. A prospective applicant for licensure shall be notified at the time of the initial request for information regarding application for licensure that, prior to obtaining licensure, the facility shall secure and maintain a fire clearance approval from the local fire enforcing agency, as defined in Section 13244, or the State Fire Marshal, whichever has primary fire protection jurisdiction. The prospective applicant shall be notified of the provisions of Section 13235, relating to the fire safety clearance application. The prospective applicant for licensure shall be notified that the fire clearance shall be in accordance with state and local fire safety regulations.

SEC. 3. Section 1569.149 is added to the Health and Safety Code, to read:

1569.149. A prospective applicant for licensure shall be notified at the time of the initial request for information regarding application for licensure that, prior to obtaining licensure, the facility shall secure and maintain a fire clearance approval from the local fire enforcing agency, as defined in Section 13244, or the State Fire Marshal, whichever has primary fire protection jurisdiction. The prospective applicant shall be notified of the provisions of Section 13235, relating to the fire safety clearance application. The prospective applicant for licensure shall be notified that the fire clearance shall be in accordance with state and local fire safety regulations.

SEC. 4. Section 1596.809 is added to the Health and Safety Code, to read:

1596.809. A prospective applicant for licensure shall be notified at the time of the initial request for information regarding application for licensure that, prior to obtaining licensure, the facility shall secure and maintain a fire clearance approval from the local fire enforcing agency, as defined in Section 13244, or the State Fire Marshal, whichever has primary fire protection jurisdiction. The prospective applicant shall be notified of the provisions of Section 13235, relating to the fire safety clearance application. The prospective applicant for licensure shall be notified that the fire clearance shall be in accordance with state and local fire safety regulations.

SEC. 5. Section 13144.5 of the Health and Safety Code is amended to read:

13144.5. The State Fire Marshal shall prepare and conduct voluntary regular training sessions devoted to the interpretation and application of the laws and rules and regulations in Title 19 and Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations relating to fire and panic safety. The training sessions shall include, but need not be limited to, interpretation of the regulations pertaining to community care facilities licensed pursuant to Section 1508, to residential care facilities for the elderly licensed pursuant to Section 1569.10, and to child day care facilities licensed pursuant to Section 1596.80, in order to coordinate a consistent interpretation and application of the regulations among local fire enforcement agencies.

SEC. 4. Chapter 5.5 (commencing with Section 13235) is added to Part 2 of Division 12 of the Health and Safety Code, to read:

CHAPTER 5.5. FIRE SAFETY INSPECTIONS OF CARE FACILITIES

13235. (a) Upon receipt of a request from a prospective licensee of a community care facility, as defined in Section 1502, of a residential care facility for the elderly, as defined in Section 1569.2, or of a child day care facility, as defined in Section 1596.750, the local fire enforcing agency, as defined in Section 13244, or State Fire Marshal, whichever has primary jurisdiction, shall conduct a preinspection of the facility prior to the final fire clearance approval. At the time of the preinspection, the primary fire enforcing agency shall provide consultation and interpretation of fire safety regulations, and shall notify the prospective licensee of the facility in writing of the specific fire safety regulations which shall be enforced in order to obtain fire clearance approval. A fee of not more than fifty dollars (\$50) may be charged for the preinspection of a facility with a capacity to serve 25 or fewer persons. A fee of not more than one hundred dollars (\$100) may be charged for a preinspection of a facility with a capacity to serve 26 or more persons.

(b) The primary fire enforcing agency shall complete the final fire clearance inspection for a community care facility, residential care facility for the elderly, or child day care facility within 30 days of receipt of the request for the final inspection, or as of the date the prospective facility requests the final prelicensure inspection by the State Department of Social Services, whichever is later.

SEC. 5. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because the local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the program or level of service mandated by this act. Notwithstanding Section 17580 of the Government Code, unless otherwise specified in this act, the provisions of this act shall become operative on the same date that the act takes effect pursuant to the California Constitution.

CHAPTER 994

An act to amend Section 224n of the Civil Code, relating to adoption.

[Approved by Governor September 29, 1989 Filed with Secretary of State September 29, 1989]

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 224n of the Civil Code is amended to read: 224n. The department or licensed adoption agency to which a child has been freed for adoption by either relinquishment or termination of parental rights shall be responsible for the care of the child, and shall be entitled to the exclusive custody and control of the



April 30, 2013

The Honorable Mark Leno, Chair Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee Joint Legislative Budget Committee State Capitol, Room 5100 Sacramento, CA 95814

The Honorable Robert Blumenfield, Chair Assembly Budget Committee State Capitol, Room 6026 Sacramento, CA 95814

Ms. Ana J. Matosantos, Director Department of Finance State Capitol, Room 1145 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: State Mandated Program Cost Report of Unpaid Claims and Deficiency Pursuant to Government Code Section 17562(b)(2)

Dear Senator Leno, Assembly Member Blumenfield, and Ms. Matosantos:

Pursuant to the above statutory reference, the amount appropriated for reimbursement of state mandated programs was insufficient to fully pay the claims filed with the State Controller's Office. The funding deficiencies are the result of claims received subsequent to April 1, 2012 and of claims that were not fully paid in prior years. It also includes the unfunded mandates, which are initial claims filed for new mandated programs, and estimated accrued interest.

The total amount owed to local agencies, school districts, and community college districts increased from \$5.9 billion (\$1.8; \$3.8; and \$0.3 billion, respectively) to \$6.4 billion (\$1.9; \$4.2; and \$0.3 billion, respectively) as compared to last year's deficiency report.

The Honorable Mark Leno
The Honorable Robert Blumenfield
Ms. Ana J. Matosantos
April 30, 2013
Page 2

The following is the deficiency summary as of April 1, 2013:

| | Local Agencies | School Districts | Community College Districts | Grand Totals | Schedules |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| m. 15 15. 1 . 1 | Local Agencies | Benooi Districts | Conego Districts | Giana Tours | (see last |
| Total State Mandate Program Payable Balances as of 04/01/2012 | (\$1,813,098,322) | (\$3,828,004,949) | (\$330,784,330) | (\$5,971,887,601) | year's report) |
| State Mandate Appropriations | | | | | |
| Beginning Appropriations, as of 07/01/2012 | \$94,525,192 | \$14,299,019 | \$5,632,540 | \$114,456,751 | A |
| Add: Receipts and Recovered Receivables | \$10,154,191 | \$684,554 | \$2,260,064 | \$13,098,809 | A |
| Less: Payments | \$48,738,068 | \$14,273,242 | \$4,704,502 | \$67,715,812 | A, A1 |
| Appropriation Balances as of 04/01/2013 | \$55,941,315 | \$710,331 | \$3,188,102 | \$59,839,748 | |
| State Mandate Program Payable Balances (Claims received as of 04/01/2013) | | | | | |
| Funded Mandates | (\$655,460,289) | (\$4,130,173,086) | (\$330,696,822) | (\$5,116,330,197) | B1 |
| Unfunded Mandates | (\$348,095,174) | (\$33,115,364) | (\$1,004,400) | (\$382,214,938) | B2 |
| 15-Year Payment Plan (Prop 1A) | (\$727,796,675) | \$0 | \$0 | (\$727,796,675) | В3 |
| Subtotal State Mandate Program Payable Balances | (\$1,731,352,138) | (\$4,163,288,450) | (\$331,701,222) | (\$6,226,341,810) | |
| Add: Accrued Interest (Estimated as of 06/30/2013) | (\$178,132,797) | (\$77,375,075) | (\$9,324,306) | (\$264,832,178) | |
| Grand Total State Mandate Program Payable Balances | (\$1,909,484,935) | (\$4,240,663,525) | (\$341,025,528) | (\$6,491,173,988) | |
| Net Appropriation Deficiencies as of 04/01/2013 | (\$1,853,543,620) | (\$4,239,953,194) | (\$337,837,426) | (\$6,431,334,240) | |

In summary, the \$6,431,334,240 deficiency is the amount needed to fully satisfy all state mandated program liabilities. The \$59,839,748 appropriation balance (reflected on page 1 of the report) is from the Budget Acts of 2010 through 2012 and is a result of funds remaining after recovered receivables and the Budget Act provisions fulfilled. Because each Budget Act specifies the programs and fiscal years that may be paid, the \$59,839,748 appropriation balance would need to be re-appropriated before it can be applied to the current outstanding balance of \$6,491,173,988.

If you have any questions, please contact Jay Lal, by phone at (916) 324-0256.

Sincerely,

(Original Signed By)

JOHN CHIANG California State Controller

Enclosures

cc: Marianne O'Malley, Legislative Analyst's Office Heather Halsey, Commission on State Mandates Richard J. Chivaro, State Controller's Office

CONTENTS

| SCHEDULE A: SUMMARY OF STATE MANDATED PROGRAM APPROPRIATION BALANCES, RECEIPTS AND PAYMENTS MADE IN FISCAL YEAR 2012-13 | J ama's |
|---|----------------|
| SCHEDULE A, SECTION 1: SUMMARY OF STATE MANDATED PROGRAM PAYMENTS MADE IN FISCAL YEAR 2012-13 | N |
| SCHEDULE B: SUMMARY OF FUNDED, UNFUNDED, AND 15-YEAR PAYMENT PLAN MANDATES | 9 |
| SCHEDULE B, SECTION 1: NET DEFICIENCIES AND SURPLUSES FOR THE FUNDED MANDATES BY FISCAL YEAR | 10 |
| SCHEDULE B, SECTION 2: NET DEFICIENCIES AND SURPLUSES FOR THE UNFUNDED MANDATES BY PROGRAM | 39 |
| SCHEDULE B, SECTION 3: NET DEFICIENCIES AND SURPLUSES FOR THE 15-YEAR PAYMENT PLAN (PROPOSITION 1A) | 49 |

STATE MANDATED PROGRAM AND DEFICIENCY REPORT **AS OF APRIL 1, 2013 APPROPRIATION**

SCHEDULE B, SECTION 2:

NET DEFICIENCIES AND SURPLUSES FOR THE UNFUNDED MANDATES **BY PROGRAM**

| \$ 253,715 | \$ | \$ 253,715 | \$ | \$ | \$ 253,715 | 306 | Ch. 483/01 | crime victims. Domestic violence incident Reports II | 70000/ |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------|
| \$ 275,387 | | \$ 275,387 | | \$ | | 306 | Ch. 483/01 | Crime Victims' Domestic Violence Incident Reports II | 300-03 |
| | \$ - | \$ 263,698 | \$ | \$ - | | 306 | Ch. 483/01 | Crime Victims' Domestic Violence Incident Reports II | 2008-09 |
| | • | - | \$ | \$ - | \$ 297,792 | 306 | Ch. 483/01 | | 2009-10 |
| \$ 57,816 | | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 57,816 | 306 | Ch. 483/01 | ┸ | 2010-11 |
| <u>.</u> | • | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 158,455,146 | | | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice Total | Crime Statis |
| \$ 11,348,947 | ₹ | \$ 11,348,947 | \$ - | \$ | \$ 11,348,947 | 310 | Ch. 1172/89 | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice | 2001-02 |
| \$ 12,146,890 | · \$ | \$ 12,146,890 | \$ | \$ | \$ 12,146,890 | 310 | Ch. 1172/89 | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice | 2002-03 |
| \$ 12,995,063 | | \$ 12,995,063 | \$ | \$ | \$ 12,995,063 | 310 | Ch. 1172/89 | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice | 2003-04 |
| \$ 13,916,033 | \$ | \$ 13,916,033 | 45 | \$ | \$ 13,916,033 | 310 | Ch. 1172/89 | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice | 2004-05 |
| \$ 14,208,617 | · · | \$ 14,208,617 | · | \$ | \$ 14,208,617 | 310 | Ch. 1172/89 | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice | 2005-06 |
| \$ 14,699,081 | \$ | \$ 14,699,081 | • | \$ | \$ 14,699,081 | 310 | Ch. 1172/89 | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice | 2006-07 |
| \$ 15,655,373 | • | \$ 15,655,373 | · cs | ₩ | \$ 15,655,373 | 310 | Ch. 1172/89 | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice | 2007-08 |
| \$ 16,060,195 | · . | \$ 16,060,195 | th. | \$ | \$ 16,060,195 | 310 | Ch. 1172/89 | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice | 2008-09 |
| \$ 16,504,011 | in . | \$ 16,504,011 | * | \$ | \$ 16,504,011 | 310 | Ch. 1172/89 | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice | 2009-10 |
| \$ 16,676,387 | 1 | \$ 16,676,387 | \$ | \$ | \$ 16,676,387 | 310 | Ch. 1172/89 | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice | 2010-11 |
| \$ 14,244,549 | , | \$ 14,244,549 | · | · | \$ 14,244,549 | 310 | Ch. 1172/89 | Crime Statistics Reports for the Department of Justice | 2011-12 |
| \$ 216,176 | • | \$ 216,176 | \$ | \$ | \$ 216,176 | | | California Fire Incident Reporting System (CFIRS) Total | California Fi |
| \$ 85,888 | · | \$ 85,888 | 1 5 | ţs. | \$ 85,888 | 288 | Ch. 445/00, 345/87 | California Fire Incident Reporting System (CFIRS) | 1990-91 |
| \$ 130,288 | · | \$ 130,288 | · | th. | \$ 130,288 | 288 | Ch. 445/00, 345/87 | California Fire Incident Reporting System (CFIRS) | 1991-92 |
| (4) | - | \rightarrow | \$ | \$ | \$ 328,270 | | | Binding Arbitration Total | Binding Arb |
| \$ 36,299 | - | - | \$ | \$ - | \$ 36,299 | 284 | Ch. 906/00 | Binding Arbitration | 2000-01 |
| | - | \rightarrow | • | ÷ | \$ 169,704 | 284 | Ch. 906/00 | Binding Arbitration | 2001-02 |
| \$ 122,267 | | 122,267 | . | \$ | \$ 122,267 | 284 | Ch. 906/00 | Binding Arbitration | 2002-03 |
| | | | | | Local Agencies | Lot | | | |
| Net Balance | Receivable Balance | Payable Balance | Established Receivables | Program Payments | Program Costs | Program Number | Legal Reference | Program Name | Fiscal Year |
| | | | | | | _ | | | _ |

Schedule B, Section 2: Unfunded Mandates Local Agencies

| | \$ | \$ 157,256 | \$ | \$ | \$ 157,256 | | | rilearing for Discharged inpatients Total | riicariii |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | \$ | 13,248 | \$ | ٠ • | \$ 13,248 | 293 | Ch. 578/99 | FUL rirearm Hearing for Discharged Inpatients | TO-0007 |
| | \$ | \$ 15,208 | \$ | \$ | \$ 15,208 | 293 | Ch. 578/99 | | 0-1007 |
| | \$ - | \$ 12,410 | | - | | _ | Ch. 5/8/99 | | 2002-03 |
| | \$ | \$ 10,431 | \$ | \$ | | _ | Ch. 5/8/99 | | 2002-03 |
| | \$ - | \$ 9,385 | , | \$ | \$ 9,385 | _ | Ch. 5/8/99 | | 2003-04 |
| \$ 14,818 | \$ - | \$ 14,818 | • | \$ | | _ | cn. 5/8/99 | | 2004-05 |
| | \$ | \$ 17,343 | 4 | 1 | | \perp | ch 578/99 | | 2005-06 |
| \$ 27,775 | - \$ | \$ 27,775 | \$ | - | \$ 27,775 | _ | Ch. 5/8/99 | | 2007-02 |
| _ | \$ | \$ 31,906 | 4 | • | | \perp | Ch. 578/99 | | 2008-03 |
| | \$ - | | | 5 | \$ 4,732 | L | Ch. 5/8/99 | | 2009-10 |
| \$ 764,793 | \$ - | \$ 764,793 | • | - | \$ 764,793 | _ | | 5 | 2000-1 |
| | \$ | \$ 56,002 | ⋄ | | \$ 56,002 | 283 | Ch. 993/89 | Cor I rive salety inspections of Care Facilities | TO-0007 |
| | \$ | \$ 75,056 | \$ | * | \$ 75,056 | | Ch. 993/89 | | 70-T007 |
| | - - | \$ 59,501 | | | \$ 59,501 | L | Ch. 993/89 | \perp | 2002-03 |
| | | | \$ | \$ | \$ 69,168 | | Ch. 993/89 | | 2003-04 |
| | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ 83,670 | | Ch. 993/89 | | 50-4007 |
| | · | \$ 74,994 | \$ | ٠. | \$ 74,994 | 283 | Ch. 993/89 | | 3004 05 |
| | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ 99,516 | 283 | Ch. 993/89 | | 70-9005 |
| | \$ - | | \$ | \$ | \$ 146,000 | 283 | Ch. 993/89 | L | 2007-00 |
| | | 100,886 | \$ - | 45 | \$ 100,886 | 283 | Ch. 993/89 | | 2007 00 |
| 19 | \$ | \$ 19,222,191 | - | - | \$ 19,222,191 | | | 2 | DONE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR |
| | | | \$ - | \$ | | 322 | Ch. 713/01 | 1-UZ Domestic Violence Background Checks | 20-TOO2 |
| | | | - | \$ | \$ 1,581,911 | | Ch. 713/01 | | 2002-03 |
| | | | \$ | ₹ | \$ 1,548,593 | 322 | Ch. 713/01 | 1 | 2003-04 |
| | \$ | | • | \$ | | 322 | Ch. 713/01 | | 2004-05 |
| | | - | | \$ | \$ 1,512,773 | | Ch. 713/01 | | 2005-06 |
| | | | , | · | \$ 1,716,586 | 322 | Ch. 713/01 | | 2006-07 |
| \$ 2,049,524 | | | | - | \$ 2,049,524 | 322 | Ch. 713/01 | | 2007-08 |
| | | | | \$ | | _ | Ch. 713/01 | L | 2008-09 |
| | | | • | \$ | | _ | Ch. 713/01 | 10 Domestic Violence Background Checks | 2009-10 |
| \$ 2330 399 | | | 4 | · | | | Ch. 713/01 | | 2010-11 |
| | | | 1 | ٠. | \$ 2,269,994 | 322 | Ch. 713/01 | L-12 Domestic Violence Background Checks | 2011-12 |
| \$ 2,009,609 | · | 2.0 | | | \$ 2,009,608 | | | 贯 | Crime Vic |
| | · | | | \$ | \$ 51,990 | _ | Ch. 483/01 | L-02 Crime Victims' Domestic Violence Incident Reports II | 2001-02 |
| | | | · | \$ | | _ | Ch. 483/01 | | 2002-03 |
| | | | | \$\frac{1}{2} | \$ 198,432 | | Ch. 483/01 | 3-04 Crime Victims' Domestic Violence Incident Reports II | 2003-04 |
| | · | | , | | \$ 222,536 | 306 | Ch. 483/01 | | 2004-05 |
| \$ 228,442 | \$ | \$ 228,442 | \$ | ❖ | \$ 228,442 | 306 | Ch. 483/01 | | 2005-06 |
| Net Balance | Receivable Balance | Payable Balance | Established Receivables | Program Payments | Program Costs | Program Number | Legal Reference | | Fiscal Year |
| | | | | | | _ | _ | | - |

Schedule B, Section 2: Unfunded Mandates Local Agencies

| 95 \$ | | \$ 17,935 | | · | 17,935 | 281 \$ | Ch. 1419/85 | 2009-10 of Parole |
|----------------------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| - \$ 4,439,053 \$ | 4 | | \$ | · | 4,439,053 | 45 | | Mentally Disordered Offenders: Treatment as a Conditions |
| - \$ 171,461 \$ | | | Ş | | ╁ | 285 \$ | cn. ////01 | al Bostontianal Atana Ballaman J Caracai Table |
| | | - | 45 | | + | 285 \$ | Ch. 777/01 | 2002-03 Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings |
| 389,996 | j | | · CO | \$ | 389,996 | 285 \$ | Ch. 777/01 | Ĺ |
| 423,486 | \$ | | ₩. | \$ - | 423,486 | 285 \$ | Ch. 777/01 | _ |
| 520,454 | | <u>'</u> | \$ | \$ | 520,454 | 285 \$ | Ch. 777/01 | |
| 608,739 | | , | \$ | • | \dashv | 285 \$ | Ch. 777/01 | |
| 661,256 | S | -1 | \$ | | 661,256 | 285 \$ | Ch. 777/01 | |
| 669,845 | | | ** | - | \rightarrow | | Ch. 777/01 | L |
| 518,685 | | | Ş | - | - | 285 \$ | Ch. 777/01 | Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings |
| 77,349 | | | \$ | | | 285 \$ | Ch. 777/01 | L |
| 9,137,307 | \$ | \rightarrow | \$ | | 9,137,307 | Ş | | Local Government Employee Relations Total |
| 189,785 | | , | Ş | · | 189,785 | 298 \$ | Ch. 901/00 | 2001-02 Local Government Employee Relations |
| 217,798 | | , | ₩. | | 217,798 | 298 \$ | Ch. 901/00 | _ |
| 278,272 | | | \$ | \$ | 278,272 | 298 \$ | Ch. 901/00 | Local Government Employee Relations |
| 572,059 | | - | \$ | 1 | - | 298 \$ | Ch. 901/00 | 2004-05 Local Government Employee Relations |
| 624,936 | | | \$ | | 624,936 | 298 \$ | Ch. 901/00 | Local Government Employee Relations |
| 1,494,135 | | , | \$ | ٠. | - | 298 \$ | ch. 901/00 | 2006-07 Local Government Employee Relations |
| 1,622,631 | Ş | \rightarrow | \$ | | 1,622,631 | 298 \$ | Ch. 901/00 | Local Government Employee Relations |
| 844,154 | | | S | • | - | 298 \$ | Ch. 901/00 | 2008-09 Local Government Employee Relations |
| \rightarrow | | , | \$ | \$ | - | | Ch. 901/00 | 2009-10 Local Government Employee Relations |
| 1,235,450 | | - | \$ | , | \rightarrow | 298 \$ | Ch. 901/00 | Local Government Employee Relations |
| 1,354,359 | 1,3 | | · . | | \rightarrow | 298 \$ | Ch. 901/00 | 2011-12 Local Government Employee Relations |
| 5,390 | | , | S | | -+ | ⋄ | , | Local Elections: Consolidation Total |
| 3,550 | | , | \$ | | | _ | Ch. 1013/81 | olidation |
| 1.840 | , | , | S | , | | 259 \$ | Ch. 1013/81 | 2011-12 Local Elections: Consolidation |
| 83.447.260 | _ | | S | | | \Box | | Identity Theft Total |
| 4,695,299 | | | S | | \rightarrow | _ | Ch. 956/00 | |
| 5 303 310 | | | S | | - | | Ch. 956/00 | 2003-04 Identity Theft |
| 6.574.227 | | 1 | ٠, | , | -+ | | Ch. 956/00 | 2004-05 Identity Theft |
| 7.185.143 | | , | \$ | | \rightarrow | | Ch. 956/00 | 2005-06 Identity Theft |
| 8.958.838 | | | S | 1 | \rightarrow | 321 \$ | Ch. 956/00 | |
| 10,388,483 | | | S | - | \rightarrow | 321 \$ | Ch. 956/00 | Identity Theft |
| 10,775,496 | | 1 | \$ | | | | Ch. 956/00 | Identity Theft |
| 9,924,117 | 9,924,117 | 1 | \$ | • | - | | Ch. 956/00 | Identity Theft |
| 10,344,505 | 10,344,505 | 1 | ··· | 1 | 10,344,505 \$ | 321 \$ | Ch. 956/00 | Identity Theft |
| - \$ 9,297,842 \$ | 9,297,842 | 1 | \$ | 1 | 9,297,842 \$ | 321 \$ | Ch. 956/00 | Identity Theft |
| es Payable Balance Balance | | | Established Receivables | Program Payments | Program Costs | Program Pr Number | Legal Reference N | Fiscal Year Program Name |
| _ | | - | | | | _ | | |

Schedule B, Section 2: Unfunded Mandates Local Agencies

| | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| a Conditions Ch. 1419/85 281 \$ 383,293 \$ \$. \$ 383,293 \$ \$. \$ a Conditions Ch. 1419/85 281 \$ 681,508 \$ \$. \$. \$ 681,508 \$ \$. \$. \$ 681,508 \$ \$. \$. \$ 681,508 \$ \$. \$. \$ 681,508 \$ \$. \$. \$ 681,508 \$ \$. \$. \$ 681,508 \$ \$. \$. \$ 681,508 \$ \$. \$. \$. \$. \$ 681,508 \$ \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. | Fiscal Year | Program Name | Legal Reference | Program Number | Program C | osts | Program Payments | Established Receivables | Payable Balance | Receivable Baiance | Net Balance |
| a Conditions Ch. 1419/85 281 \$ 681,608 \$. \$. \$ | 2008-09 | Mentally Disordered Offenders: Treatment as a Conditions of Parole | Ch. 1419/85 | 281 | | | [| | | | |
| Conditions Ch. 1419/85 Z81 S 681,008 S S S C81,008 S S S S S S S S S | 2007-08 | Mentally Disordered Offenders: Treatment as a Conditions | | | | \rightarrow | | | | • | |
| Ch. 1413/85 | 2006 07 | Mentally Disordered Offenders: Treatment as a Conditions | CO/CTAT 1112 | 107 | | _ | | | | | |
| a Conditions Ch. 1419/85 281 \$ 680,286 \$ \$ \$ 680,286 \$ | | Mentally Disordered Offenders: Treatment as a Conditions | Cut Tatrolog | 107 | | - | | · | 649,974 | | |
| a Conditions Ch. 1419/85 281 \$ 427,477 \$ - \$ - \$ 427,477 \$ - \$ - \$ 427,477 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 446,888 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 446,888 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 446,888 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - | 2005-06 | Mentally Disordered Offenders: Treatment as a Condition | Ch. 1419/85 | 281 | | - | 155 | 5 | 680,286 | | |
| a Conditions Ch. 1419/85 Ch. 1 | 2004-05 | of Parole | Ch. 1419/85 | 281 | | | <i>^</i> | ٨ | | • | |
| a Conditions Ch. 1419/85 281 \$ 446,868 \$ \$ \$ 446,868 \$. | | Mentally Disordered Offenders: Treatment as a Conditions | | | | + | | | | | |
| a Conditions Ch. 1419/85 281 \$ 821,319 \$ \$ \$ 821,319 \$ | 2003-04 | of Parole | Ch. 1419/85 | 281 | | | | · | | ٠ | |
| a Conditions Ch. 1419/85 281 \$ 821,319 \$ \$ \$ 821,319 \$. | | Mentally Disordered Offenders: Treatment as a Conditions | , | | | -+ | | -1 | | | |
| a Conditions Ch. 1419/85 Ch. 1 | 2002-03 | of Parole | Ch. 1419/85 | 281 | | | | · | | Λ. | |
| Ch. 1419/85 281 \$ 565,634 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 3 | Mentally Disordered Offenders: Treatment as a Conditions | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| of Parole Total Ch. 1419/85 281 \$ 235,446 \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. | 100 | Mentally Disordered Offenders: Treetment as a Conditional | Cn. 1419/85 | 281 | | + | \$ | • | | \$ | |
| of Parole Total \$ 4,909,840 \$ \$ 4,909,840 \$ \$ 4,909,840 \$ \$ 4,909,840 \$ \$ 4,909,840 \$ \$ 4,909,840 \$ \$ 4,909,840 \$ \$ 4,909,840 \$ \$ 4,909,840 \$ \$ 4,909,840 \$ \$ 5,40,909,840 \$ \$ 5,40,909,840 \$ \$ 5,40,909,840 \$ \$ 5,40,909,840 \$ \$ 5,40,909,840 \$ \$ 5,40,909,840 \$ \$ 5,40,909 \$ \$ 5,40,909,840 \$ \$ 5,40,909 \$ \$ | 2000-01 | of Parole | Ch. 1419/85 | 283 | | | ^ | ጉ | | • | |
| Ch. 898/00 323 \$ 551,501 \$ | Mentally Dis | ordered Offenders: Treatment as a Conditions of Parole Total | | | | -+ | | <i>y</i> < | | n - U | |
| Ch. 898/00 323 \$ 2,509 \$ \$ \$ 2,509 \$ \$ \$ 2,509 \$ \$ \$ 2,509 \$ \$ \$ 2,509 \$ \$ \$ 2,509 \$ \$ \$ 2,509 \$ \$ \$ 2,509 \$ \$ \$ 2,509 \$ \$ \$ 2,509 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 2,509 \$ \$ <t< td=""><td>2011-12</td><td>Modified Primary Election</td><td>Ch. 898/00</td><td>323</td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td>\$</td><td></td><td>S</td><td></td></t<> | 2011-12 | Modified Primary Election | Ch. 898/00 | 323 | | | , | \$ | | S | |
| Ch. 898/00 323 \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 468,288 \$ - \$ \$ 321,317 < | 2010-11 | Modified Primary Election | Ch. 898/00 | 323 | | \rightarrow | \$ | · · | | | , |
| Ch. 898/00 323 \$ 321,317 \$ | 2009-10 | | Ch. 898/00 | 323 | 4 | \dashv | | · | 46 | | 46 |
| Ch. 898/00 323 \$ 224,217 \$. \$. \$. \$. 3,21,217 \$. \$. \$. \$. 3,21,217 \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. | 2007-08 | Modified Primary Election | Ch. 898/00 | 323 | | \rightarrow | • | \$ | | | |
| Ch. 898/00 323 \$ 138,065 \$ | 2005-06 | | Ch. 898/00 | 323 | | - | \$ | \$ | | | |
| Ch. 898/00 323 \$ 32,181 \$ | 2003-04 | | Ch. 898/00 | 323 | | | | \$ - | | · | |
| charges Title 2 314 \$ 2,402,029 \$ - \$ - \$ 2,402,029 \$ - \$ | Modified Dri | Tribunitary Lieuton | Cn. 898/00 | 323 | | | | \$ | | \$ | |
| Charges Title 2 314 \$ 2,402,029 \$ - \$ 2,802,029 \$ - \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5,126,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5,126,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5,126,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5,126,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 2,826,141 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 3,464,041 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 3,464,041 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 3,464,041 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5,182,988 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5,182,988 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5,182,988 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5,182,988 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5,182,988 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5,182,988 \$ - \$ | 2011-12 | Antoronal librar Disable Disable | 1 | | | - | \$ | \$ | 1,7 | | 1, |
| Charges Title 2 314 \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 2,826,117 \$ - \$ 2,890,479 \$ - \$ 2,8 | 2010-11 | | Title 2 | 314 | | | \$ | \$ | | | |
| Charges Title 2 314 \$ 2,890,479 \$ - \$ 2,890,479 \$ - \$ 3,464,041 \$ - \$ 3,464,041 \$ - \$ 3,464,041 \$ - \$ 3,464,041 \$ - \$ 3,464,041 \$ - \$ 3,464,041 \$ - \$ 5,182,988 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,1 | 2009-10 | | THIS 2 | 314 | | | | \$ | | \$ | |
| charges Title 2 314 \$ 5,182,988 \$ - \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ 5,1 | 2008-09 | | Title 2 | 214 | | | | • | 2,890,479 | | |
| charges Title 2 314 \$ 5,196,315 \$ - \$ \$ 3,691,417 <th< td=""><td>2007-08</td><td></td><td>Title 2</td><td>314</td><td>Ì</td><td>+</td><td></td><td>n 4</td><td>5,464,U41</td><td></td><td></td></th<> | 2007-08 | | Title 2 | 314 | Ì | + | | n 4 | 5,464,U41 | | |
| charges Title 2 314 \$ 4,675,988 \$ - \$ 4,675,998 \$ - \$ 4,675,998 \$ - \$ 5 charges Title 2 314 \$ 4,614,117 \$ - \$ 4,614,117 \$ - \$ 5 \$ 4,614,117 \$ - \$ 5 charges Title 2 314 \$ 4,368,555 \$ - \$ 5 - \$ 4,368,555 \$ - \$ 5 - \$ 4,368,555 \$ - \$ 5 charges Title 2 314 \$ 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 - \$ 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 charges Title 2 314 \$ 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 - \$ 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 charges Title 2 314 \$ 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 - \$ 3,9312,044 \$ - \$ 5 charges Title 2 314 \$ 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 - \$ 3,9312,044 \$ - \$ 5 charges Title 2 314 \$ 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 - \$ 3,9312,044 \$ - \$ 5 ch. 922/01 324 \$ 4,074,733 \$ - \$ 5 - \$ 4,074,733 \$ - \$ 5 | 2006-07 | | Title 2 | 314 | | - | , | A 4 | | | |
| charges Title 2 314 \$ 4,614,117 \$ - \$ 4,614,117 \$ - \$ 4,614,117 \$ - \$ 5,6 | 2005-06 | Ì | Title 2 | 314 | | | | · | | | |
| charges Title 2 314 \$ 4,368,555 \$ - \$ 4,368,555 \$ - \$ 4,368,555 \$ - \$ 5 4,368,555 \$ - \$ 5 5,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 5 3,9312,044 \$ - \$ 5 3,9312,044 \$ - \$ 5 3,691,405 | 2004-05 | | Title 2 | 314 | | - | 1 | · | | | |
| Charges Title 2 314 \$ 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 3,691,405 \$ - \$ 3,691,405 \$ - \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 39,312,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ 30,012,044 \$ - \$ 30,012,044 \$ 30,012,044 \$ 30,012,044 \$ 30,012,044 \$ 30,012,044 \$ 30,012,044 \$ 30,012,044 \$ 30,012,044 \$ 30,012,044 <td>2003-04</td> <td></td> <td>Title 2</td> <td>314</td> <td></td> <td>- 1</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | 2003-04 | | Title 2 | 314 | | - 1 | • | | | | |
| Ch. 922/01 324 \$ 4,074,733 \$ - \$ - \$ 4,074,733 \$ - \$ | Municipal 64 | charges | Title 2 | 314 | | ├ | | \$ - | | | |
| refilierien Absent Voters II Ch. 922/01 324 \$ 4,074,733 \$ - \$ 4,074,733 \$ - \$ | 2011-13 | Downsont Abras Victoria Discharges (otal | | | | \vdash | | \$ | | | |
| | 2002 | I simplified wascill votals if | Ch. 922/01 | 324 | | \vdash | \$ | \$ | | ı | |

| Fiscal Year | Program Name | Legal Reference | Program Number | Program Costs | Program Payments | Established Receivables | Payable Balance | Receivable Balance | Net Balance |
|----------------------|--|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 2010-11 | Permanent Absent Voters II | Ch. 922/01 | 324 | \$ 2,038,396 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,038,396 | \$ | \$ 2,038,396 |
| 2009-10 | Permanent Absent Voters II | Ch. 922/01 | 324 | \$ 121,578 | \$ | \$ | | ÷ | |
| 2008-09 | Permanent Absent Voters II | Ch. 922/01 | 324 | \$ 191,573 | \$ | \$ | \$ 191,573 | \$· | |
| 2007-08 | Permanent Absent Voters II | Ch. 922/01 | 324 | \$ 18,688 | ٠. | \$ - | \$ 18,688 | S- | 5 18,688 |
| 2006-07 | Permanent Absent Voters II | Ch. 922/01 | 324 | | ٠. | · | | ري ا | \$ 24.807 |
| 2005-06 | Permanent Absent Voters II | Ch. 922/01 | 324 | | \rightarrow | \$ | | S. | \$ 21.868 |
| 2004-05 | Permanent Absent Voters II | Ch. 922/01 | 324 | | \$ | · | | | \$ 24.382 |
| 2003-04 | Permanent Absent Voters II | Ch. 922/01 | 324 | | \$ | \$ | \$ 14.834 | \$ | |
| 2002-03 | Permanent Absent Voters II | Ch. 922/01 | 324 | | \rightarrow | \$ | \$ 9,310 | S. | \$ 9.310 |
| Permanent At | Permanent Absent Voters II Total | | | \$ 6,540,169 | \$. - | \$ | \rightarrow | ·\$ | \$ 6,540,169 |
| 2004-05 | Racial Profiling: Law Enforcement Training | Ch. 684/00 | 282 | \$ 126,355 | \$ | · \$ | \rightarrow | \$ | \$ 126,355 |
| 2003-04 | Racial Profiling: Law Enforcement Training | Ch. 684/00 | 282 | \$ 6,650,521 | \$ | \$ - | \$ 6,650,521 | \$ | \$ 6,650,521 |
| 2002-03 | Racial Profiling: Law Enforcement Training | Ch. 684/00 | 282 | \$ 3,008,618 | \$ - | \$ | \$ 3,008,618 | \$ | |
| 2001-02 | Racial Profiling: Law Enforcement Training | Ch. 684/00 | 282 | \$ 70,053 | \$ | \$ | \$ 70,053 | · | \$ 70,053 |
| 2000-01 | Racial Profiling: Law Enforcement Training | Ch. 684/00 | 282 | \$ 4,292 | \$ | \$ | | ٠. | |
| Racial Profilin | Racial Profiling: Law Enforcement Training Total | | | \$ 9,859,839 | \$ | - C | \$ 9,859,839 | | \$ 9,859,839 |
| 2011-12 | Voter Identification Procedures | Ch. 260/00 | 331 | \$ 626,779 | \$ | \$ | \$ 626,779 | , | \$ 626,779 |
| 2010-11 | Voter Identification Procedures | Ch. 260/00 | 331 | \$ 1,484,457 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,484,457 | · | \$ 1,484,457 |
| 2009-10 | Voter Identification Procedures | Ch. 260/00 | 331 | \$ 467,811 | \$ | \$ - | | \$ | |
| 2008-09 | Voter Identification Procedures | Ch. 260/00 | 331 | \$ 1,361,366 | ₹5 | \$ - | \$ 1,361,366 | \$ | \$ 1,361,366 |
| 2007-08 | Voter Identification Procedures | Ch. 260/00 | 331 | \$ 418,990 | \$ | \$ | \$ 418,990 | \$ | \$ 418,990 |
| 2006-07 | Voter Identification Procedures | Ch. 260/00 | 331 | \$ 695,563 | \$ | \$ | \$ 695,563 | \$ - | \$ 695,563 |
| 2005-06 | Voter Identification Procedures | Ch. 260/00 | 331 | \$ 427,478 | ٠. | \$ - | \$ 427,478 | \$ | \$ 427,478 |
| 2004-05 | Voter Identification Procedures | Ch. 260/00 | 331 | \$ 1,088,135 | \$ - | \$ - | | S | \$ 1,088,135 |
| 2003-04 | Voter Identification Procedures | Ch. 260/00 | 331 | \$ 514,260 | \$ - | \$ | | \$ | \$ 514,260 |
| 2002-03 | Voter Identification Procedures | Ch. 260/00 | 331 | \$ 467,915 | \$ - | \$ | \$ 467,915 | \$ | \$ 467,915 |
| Voter Identifi | Voter Identification Procedures Total | | | \$ 7,552,754 | \$ | \$ | \$ 7,552,754 | · | \$ 7,552,754 |
| Iotal Local Agencies | encles | | | \$ 348,095,174 | \$ | \$ | \$ 348,095,174 | \$ | \$ 348,095,174 |

| Fiscal Year | Program Name | Legal Reference | Program Number | Program Costs | 8 | Program Payments | Established Receivables | Pa | Payable Balance | Receivable Baiance | Net Balance |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | | | Sch | School Districts | | | | | | | |
| 2011-12 | Academic Performance Index | Ch. 695/00 | 305 | \$ 489,335 | 35 | • | \$ | ^ | 755 D87 | ^ | |
| 2010-11 | Academic Performance Index | Ch. 695/00 | 305 | | | | A 4 | Λ (| 700,000 700,000 | 7-1-6 | |
| 2009-10 | Academic Performance Index | Ch. 695/00 | 305 | | | _ | · | S (| 163,684 | <i>y</i> 4 | |
| 2008-09 | Academic Performance Index | Ch. 695/00 | 305 | | - | - | | A . | 125 080 | ^ 1 | |
| 2007-08 | Academic Performance Index | Ch. 695/00 | 305 | | -+ | - | ^ • | n 1 | 117 677 | 3 4 | 000,021 |
| 2006-07 | Academic Performance Index | Ch. 695/00 | 305 | | \rightarrow | | A 4 | 2 | 110,777 | 7 0 | |
| 2005-06 | Academic Performance Index | Ch. 695/00 | 305 | | + | | | + | C/ E/OTT | > 0 | |
| 2004-05 | Academic Performance Index | Ch. 695/00 | 305 | \$ 27,574 | \$ 1 0 A | | | + | 91,5/4 | | |
| 2003-04 | Academic Performance Index | Ch 695/00 | <u> </u> | | + | | • | ╫ | 83,768 | | |
| 2002-03 | Academic Performance Index | Ch 695/00 | 200 | | + | | > · · | · · | 74,511 | | |
| 2001-02 | Academic Performance Index | Ch. 695/00 | 205 | ¢ 67 621 | + | | > U | · | 61,134 | | |
| 2000-01 | Academic Performance Index | Ch. 695/00 | 305 | | 7 F | | n 0 | 2 10 | 5/,561 | | |
| Academic Per | Academic Performance Index Total | | | | + | | | | OCT/TC | | |
| 2006-07 | Charter Schools III | Ch. 34/98 | 277 | | \rightarrow | | ^ 4 | | T,030,134 | | 1,8 |
| 2005-06 | Charter Schools III | Ch. 34/98 | 277 | | - | , | Λ (· | n t | 0 571 | 1 | ~ |
| 2004-05 | Charter Schools III | Ch. 34/98 | 277 | | \rightarrow | - | · Λ | n t | 1 027 | n 10 | |
| 2003-04 | Charter Schools Iii | Ch. 34/98 | 277 | l I | -+ | | \$ | ^ < | 1 705 | A 4 | |
| 2002-03 | Charter Schools III | Ch. 34/98 | 277 | | | - | \$ | v 1 | _ | A (| ¢ 1 100 |
| 2001-02 | Charter Schools III | Ch. 34/98 | 277 | | \neg | | \$ | A 1 | _ | n (| |
| 2000-01 | Charter Schools III | Ch. 34/98 | 277 | | - | • | | V 1 | 1,225 | <i>∧</i> | |
| 1999-00 | Charter Schools III | Ch. 34/98 | 277 | | | 1 | | Λ· | 1 005 | A 4 | |
| Charter Schools III Tota | ols III Total | | | 10 | | t | · | v- 1 | 102.241 | S (| \$ 100,000 |
| 2011-12 | Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting | Ch. 640/87 | 309 | \$ 18,035 | | - | S | s. | 18.035 | ^ 1 | |
| 2010-11 | Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting | Ch. 640/87 | 309 | \$ 13,640 | - | - | \$ | v. | 13,640 | \$ | |
| 7009-10 | Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting | Ch. 640/87 | 309 | \$ 10,638 | 38 \$ | 1 | \$ | S | 10,638 | S | \$ 10.638 |
| Child Abuse a | Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Total | | | \$ 42,313 | \vdash | • | • | w | 42,313 | - | |
| 7008-09 | Comprehensive School Safety Plans II: Discrimination and | Ch. 890/01; Ch. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2008-09 | Harassment Policy, and Hate Crime Reporting Procedures | 506/02 | 311 | \$ 3,616 | \$16 | 1 | \$ | ts. | 3,616 | ts. | \$ 3,616 |
| 2007-08 | Comprehensive School Safety Plans II: Discrimination and Harassment Policy, and Hate Crime Reporting Procedures | Ch. 890/01; Ch. 506/02 | 311 | \$ 3,7 | 3,730 \$ | , | . | in. | 3.730 | r. | \$ 3.730 |
| 2004-05 | Comprehensive School Safety Plans II: Discrimination and | Ch. 890/01; Ch. | | | | | | | | | ļ |
| | | 000/02 | ٢ | 1,0 | T,022 2 | | | v | 1.029 | , | 5 1000 |

Schedule B, Section 2: Unfunded Mandates School Districts

| Fiscal Year | Program Name | Legal Reference | Program Number | Program Costs | Program | Established | Payable Balance | Receivable | Net Balance |
|----------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|
| 2002-03 | Comprehensive School Safety Plans II: Discrimination and | Ch. 890/01; Ch. | | | | | | - 1 | |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 9 17 001 | | ,,000 | | 40 | 3,000 | · | 3,668 |
| 2001-02 | Comprehensive School Safety Plans II: Discrimination and Harassment Policy, and Hata Crime Reporting Procedures | Ch. 890/01; Ch. | 2 | | | , | | • | |
| Comprehensi | Comprehensive School Safety Plans II: Discrimination and Harassment Policy, and Hate Crime | licy, and Hate Crim | r c | 0,0,0 | 4 | | \$ 0,5/3 | v | \$ 6,9/3 |
| Reporting Pro | Reporting Procedures Total | • | | \$ 19.016 | w | · | \$ 19,016 | • | \$ 10.016 |
| | Comprehensive School Safety Plans II: Earthquake | | | | , | - | | | orn'er & |
| | Emergency Procedure System and Use of School Buildings | | | | | | | | |
| 2006-07 | During Emergencies | Ch. 895/04 | 312 | \$ 3,045 | ₹ 5 | · · · | \$ 3,045 | in- | \$ 3.045 |
| | Comprehensive School Safety Plans II: Earthquake | | | | \rightarrow | | | 4 | |
| | Emergency Procedure System and Use of School Buildings | | | | | | | | |
| 90-002 | During Emergencies | Ch. 895/04 | 312 | \$ 1,649 | ₹\$ | · · | \$ 1,649 | · | \$ 1,649 |
| Comprehensi | Comprenensive school safety Plans II: Earthquake Emergency Procedure System and Use of School | System and Use of | School | | | | | | |
| and Samoura | boundings During Emergencies Local | | | \$ 4,694 | +- | \$ | \$ 4,694 | \$ - | \$ 4,694 |
| 77-1107 | Developer rees | ch. 955/// | 333 | | - | · • | \$ 30,191 | \$ | \$ 30,191 |
| 11-0107 | Developer Fees | Ch. 955/77 | 333 | \$ 48,961 | 1 \$ | - \$ - | \$ 48,961 | \$ | \$ 48,961 |
| 01-6007 | Developer Fees | Ch. 955/77 | 333 | \$ 63,178 | 8 \$ | \$ | \$ 63,178 | \$ | |
| 2008-09 | Developer Fees | Ch. 955/77 | 333 | \$ 31,346 | \$ | \$ | 31,346 | \$ | |
| 2007-08 | Developer Fees | Ch. 955/77 | 333 | \$ 43,667 | 7 \$ | \$ | 43,667 | \$ | |
| 2006-07 | Developer Fees | Ch. 955/77 | 333 | \$ 29,385 | \$ | \$ | 29,385 | | |
| 2005-06 | Developer Fees | Ch. 955/77 | 333 | \$ 40,677 | \$ | \$ | | 1 | |
| 2004-05 | Developer Fees | Ch. 955/77 | 333 | \$ 23,209 | 9 \$ | - \$ - | | \$ | |
| 2003-04 | Developer Fees | Ch. 955/77 | 333 | \$ 36,273 | \$ | \$ | \$ 36,273 | S | |
| 2002-03 | Developer Fees | Ch. 955/77 | 333 | \$ 19,497 | 7 \$ | - \$ - | _ | 10 | |
| Z0-T00Z | Developer Fees | Ch. 955/77 | 333 | \$ 18,499 | \$ | \$ | \$ 18,499 | - | |
| Developer Fees Total | es Total | | | \$ 384,883 | 3 \$ | \$ | \$ 384,883 | \$ | 441 |
| 2011-12 | Mandate Reimbursement Process I and II | Ch. 486/75 | 319 | \$ 16,448,287 | 7 \$ | - \$ | 16, | \$ | 16, |
| Mandate Rei | Mandate Reimbursement Process I and II Total | | | \$ 16,448,287 | 7 \$ | - \$ | | \$ | |
| 2006-07 | Missing Children Reports | Ch. 249/86 | 275 | \$ 23,761 | \vdash | · | | | \$ 23,761 |
| 2005-06 | Missing Children Reports | Ch. 249/86 | 275 | \$ 3,950 | \$ | \$ | 3,950 | | |
| 2004-05 | Missing Children Reports | Ch. 249/86 | 275 | \$ 7,119 | \$ | \$ | 7,119 | | |
| 2003-04 | Missing Children Reports | Ch. 249/86 | 275 | \$ 1,082 | - | \$ | 1,082 | | |
| 2002-03 | Missing Children Reports | Ch. 249/86 | 275 | \$ 1,047 | - | ** | | | |
| Missing Child | Missing Children Reports Total | | | \$ 36,959 | \$ | \$ | ш | \$ | ш |
| | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | | | | | | | | |
| 2011-12 | Services Plan | Ch. 116/01 | 329 | \$ 1,298,904 | 4 \$ | \$ | \$ 1,298,904 | \$ | \$ 1,298,904 |

| \$ 224,162 | \$ | \$ 224,162 | \$ | \$ | 224,162 | 308 | cn. 593/89 | -1 | |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|--|-----------------|
| \$ 242,733 | ţ\$ | \$ 242,733 | +- | | - | ļ. | ch. 593/89 | - | 2009-10 |
| \$ 256,620 | 5 | \$ 256,620 | + | | - | _ | Ch. E03/00 | Student Records | 2010-11 |
| > 256,620 | | | + | | - | 1 | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational Services Plan Total | Pupil Expulsion |
| | n | | r. | · | 256.620 | 325 \$ | Ch. 972/95 | Services Plan | 1995-96 |
| \$ 349,502 | | 200,040 | + | | | - | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| | 2 | 3/0 5/02 | ^ ' | | 349.502 | | 2 | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational Services Plan Total | Pupil Expulsion |
| | ^ | \$ 349 502 | n | · | 349,502 | 326 \$ | Ch. 874/95 | Services Plan | 1996-97 |
| C00,C#1 | | | | | | | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| | A (| | \rightarrow | ٠ | 743,685 | \$ | ¥ | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational Services Plan Total | Pupil Expulsion |
| \$ 257.876 | ٠ <u>٠</u> | \$ 357.826 | (s) | \$· | 357,826 | 327 \$ | Ch. 637/97 | Services Plan | 1997-98 |
| 200,000 | 4 | | | | \rightarrow | | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| 205 050 | ^ | \$ 385,859 | ن ه | ' | 385,859 | 327 \$ | Ch. 637/97 | Services Plan | 1998-99 |
| 724,467 | 4 | | | | | | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| \$ 751 433 | У | | v. | | 751,422 | 1 |) | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational Services Plan Total | Pupil Expulsion |
| | <i>^</i> | \$ 394,091 | ري د | ب | 394,091 | 328 \$ | Ch. 332/99 | Services Plan | 1999-00 |
| 100,700 | | 100,000 | | | - | | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| \$ 257 221 | <i>^</i> | \$ 357 331 | · | ٠ د | 357,331 | 328 \$ | Ch. 332/99 | Services Plan | 2000-01 |
| | | | | | | | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| 11 | ري ا | 11,067,577 | | \$ | 11,067,577 | \$ | 3 | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational Services Plan Total | Pupil Expulsion |
| \$ 440.790 | · | \$ 440,790 | · · · | ٠, | 440,790 | 329 \$ | Ch. 116/01 | Services Plan | 2001-02 |
| 700,070 | | | | | | | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| \$ 700 670 | <i>p</i> | \$ 708.678 | · | · | 708,678 | 329 \$ | Ch. 116/01 | Services Plan | 2002-03 |
| \$ 646,075 | | 0,0,0 | • | | | _ | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| | ^ | \$ 949.075 | in- | · | 848.075 | 329 \$ | Ch. 116/01 | Services Plan | 2003-04 |
| \$ /00,070 | | , 60,070 | 1 | | | 4 | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| \$ 700 670 | <i>γ</i> . | \$ 783 673 | vr | · | 783,673 | 329 \$ | Ch. 116/01 | Services Plan | 2004-05 |
| 02±,con | - | 999/200 | | | \rightarrow | | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| \$ 205 136 | | \$ 805 126 | S | <i>د</i> | 805,126 | 329 \$ | Ch. 116/01 | Services Plan | 2005-06 |
| 7 20,507 | < | | | | - | \perp | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| \$ 000 027 | , | \$ 909 927 | S | · | 909,937 | 329 \$ | Ch. 116/01 | Services Plan | 2006-07 |
| 0444,0UL,1 | - | 1,100,110 | | | | | | Pupit Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| | ^ | \$ 1.108.446 | <i>ب</i> | ب | 1,108,446 | 329 \$ | Ch. 116/01 | | 2007-08 |
| 4,C(1,C'T | 4 | | | | - | | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| | ^ | \$ 1 277 274 | S | · | 1,377,374 | 329 \$ | Ch. 116/01 | Services Plan | 2008-09 |
| 70C'40C'T | | 2007 | | | - | _ | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| ¢ 1 204 202 | ^ | \$ 1 284 287 | <u>.</u> ∽ | ' | 1,384,382 | 329 \$ | Ch. 116/01 | Services Plan | 2009-10 |
| 761,204,1 | -0 | 201,201,1 | | | \rightarrow | 4 | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| | ^ | \$ 1,402,193 | · | · | 1.402.192 | 329 \$ | Ch. 116/01 | Services Plan | 2010-11 |
| | | | | | | | | Pupil Expulsions II, Pupil Suspensions II, and Educational | |
| Net Balance | Receivable Balance | Payable Balance | Established Receivables | Program Payments | Program Costs | Number F | Legal Reference | r Program Name | Fiscal Year |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Fiscal Year | Program Name | Legal Reference | Program Number | Program Costs | Program Payments | Established Receivables | Payable Balance | Receivable Balance | Net Balance |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2008-09 | Student Records | Ch. 593/89 | 308 | \$ 135,845 | · | ري د | \$ 135,845 | ^ | ¢ 125 9/5 |
| 2007-08 | Student Bookds | 2 500 | | | | 1 | * | 4 | to to the |
| 2007-00 | Studelit vectords | Ch. 593/89 | 308 | \$ 124,119 | · | ₹. | \$ 124,119 | \$ | \$ 124.119 |
| 70-9007 | Student Records | Ch. 593/89 | 308 | \$ 83,236 | · . | ٠ | \$ 22.28 | ^ | אבר בס |
| 2000 | Children Bosonic | 2 | | | | 1 | 7 | 1 | و2,00 |
| 00-002 | Student Records | Ch. 593/89 | 308 | \$ 68,777 | · . | ₹ | \$ 68,777 | \$ | \$ 68.77 |
| 2004-05 | Student Records | Ch. 593/89 | 308 | \$ 75.037 | ٠. | ^ | \$ 75,027 | 0 | ל יייייי |
| 3 | Ctildont Books | | | | | 1 | 7 | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| 2000 00 | Student Records | Ch. 593/89 | 308 | \$ 53,294 | · | ₹ | \$ 53,294 | \$ | \$ 53,29 |
| 2002-03 | Student Records | Ch. 593/89 | 308 | \$ 38,314 | · | ÷ | \$ 38,314 | ٠. | \$ 38.31 |
| 70-1007 | Student Records | Ch. 593/89 | 308 | \$ 32,464 | - | 5 | \$ 37 464 | ^ | 4 CC |
| Student Records Total | ords Total | | | ¢ 1 077 001 | 2 | 1 | 4 010,101 | | 104,30 |
| Total School | Diobriado | | | TOE' 110'T | | ٠ | T26'//n'T ¢ | | \$ 1,077,98 |
| Total School Districts | Danies | | | \$ 33,115,364 | ٠ | · | \$ 33,115,364 | • | \$ 33,115,36 |