

GRAY DAVIS, GOVERNOR

915 L STRUCT # SACRAMENTO CA # 95914-9706 # WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

June 28, 2002

Ms. Paula Higashi
Executive Director

JUN 2 8 2002

Commission on State Mandates
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95814

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

Dear Ms. Higashi:

As requested in your letter of May 2, 2002, the Department of Finance has reviewed the test claim submitted by the County of Orange (claimant) asking the Commission on State Mandates to determine whether specified costs incurred under Chapter No. 898, Statutes of 2000, (SB 28, Peace) are reimbursable state mandated costs (Claim No. CSM-01-TC-13 "Modified Primary Election").

Commencing with page 2 of the test claim, the claimant has identified a number of new activities related to the State's modified primary law, which it asserts are reimbursable state mandates. While we agree that the test claim statute may have resulted in a State mandated program, we do not concur with all of the activities identified by the claimant. Although we understand the specific activities will be further addressed in the parameters and guidelines should the Commission approve the test claim, we note our concerns with the following activities identified by the claimants:

- 1. Planning meetings in order to obtain information from the Secretary of State as to which political parties allow voters who have not designated their political party to vote in primary elections.
 - This information is available by calling a toll free number at the Secretary of State's
 office. As such, a meeting is not necessary.
- 2. Meetings within the elections department in order to ascertain what activities are necessary to implement the legislation.
 - This is a one-time activity.
- 3. Development of new internal procedures to comply with the mandate legislation.
 - This should be completed in conjunction with activity number 2 as stated above and should be a one-time activity.
- Redesign and republication of the sample ballot and absentee voter application.
 - Sample ballots must be redesigned and published each election to accommodate the
 different candidate names and various issues facing voters each election cycle. This
 does not appear to be a new program or higher level of service.
- 5. Redesign and implement election software.
 - This is a one-time activity.

- 6. Additional trained poll workers.
 - The test claim legislation does not require an increase in the number of poll workers. This activity should be excluded from this test claim.
- 7. Additional staff to process the absent voter requests manually.
 - The test claim legislation does not increase the number of absent voters or require the absent voter requests to be processed differently than was already being done.
 Therefore, this activity should be excluded from this test claim.
- 8. Training, including training for trainers as well as new and existing staff.
 - Training should be limited to one-time per employee.
- Update training program and manuals.
 - Costs associated with updating training and manuals should be one-time and should clearly identify only the incremental costs associated with the provisions of this test claim legislation.
- 10. Costs to put out a press release in order to inform the public of the changes.
 - There is no requirement in the test claim legislation to perform this task. Thus, it should be excluded from any reimbursement claim.
- 11. Staff time to answer an increase in the number of telephone calls and inquiries from voters and the media.
 - There is no justification for this activity and it should be excluded from this test claim.
- 12. Update the sample ballot and absentee voter education materials.
 - Since this information is updated each election regardless of this test claim legislation, this would not be a new program or higher level of service and should be excluded from this test claim.
- 13. Increase in the number of ballot types and the number of overall ballots.
 - While there may be a change to the ballot types depending on what kind of system each elections office uses, the test claim legislation does not require an increase in the number of ballots overall.
- 14. Increase in postage costs for mailing permanent and absentee voter information.
 - There is no justification for this activity and it should be excluded from this test claim.

As required by the Commission's regulations, we are including a "Proof of Service" indicating that the parties included on the mailing list which accompanied your May 2, 2002 letter have been provided with copies of this letter via either United States Mail or, in the case of other state agencies, Interagency Mail Service.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Tom Lutzenberger, Principal Program Budget Analyst and state mandates claims coordinator for the Department of Finance at (916) 916-445-8913.

Sincerely,

Calvin Smith

Program Budget Manager

Attachments

Attachment A

DECLARATION OF TOM LUTZENBERGER DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE CLAIM NO. CSM-01-TC-13

- I am currently employed by the State of California, Department of Finance (Finance), am familiar with the duties of Finance, and am authorized to make this declaration on behalf of Finance.
- We concur that the Chapter No. 898, Statutes of 2000, (SB 28, Peace) sections relevant to this claim are accurately quoted in the test claim submitted by claimants and, therefore, we do not restate them in this declaration.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the facts set forth in the foregoing are true and correct of my own knowledge except as to the matters therein stated as information or belief and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

On June 28, 2002, at Sacramento, CA

Tom Lutzenberger

PROOF OF SERVICE

Test Claim Name:

Modified Primary Election

Test Claim Number: CSM-01-TC-13

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am employed in the County of Sacramento, State of California, I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to the within entitled cause; my business address is 915 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814.

On June 28, 2002, I served the attached recommendation of the Department of Finance in said cause, by facsimile to the Commission on State Mandates and by placing a true copy thereof: (1) to claimants and nonstate agencies enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail at Sacramento, California; and (2) to state agencies in the normal pickup location at 915 L Street, 8th Floor, for Interagency Mail Service, addressed as follows:

A-16

Ms. Paula Higashi, Executive Director Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814 Facsimile No. 445-0278

B-29

Legislative Analyst's Office Attention: Marianne O'Malley 925 L Street, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814

Harmeet Barkschat Mandated Resource Center 5325 Elkhorn Blvd., #307 Sacramento, CA 95842

B-8 State Controller's Office Division of Audits 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 518 Sacramento, CA 95814

John Mott-Smith Chief, Elections Division Secretary of State's Office 1500 11th Street Sacramento, CA 95814

B-8

State Controller's Office Division of Accounting & Reporting Attention: Glen. Haas 3301 C Street, Room 500 Sacramento, CA 95816

County of Orange Rosalyn Lever, Registrar of Voters Hall of Administration P.O. Box 11298 Santa Ana, CA 92711

Dr. Carol Berg **Education Mandated Cost Network** 1121 L Street, Suite 1060 Sacramento, CA 95814

Paul Minney Spector, Middleton, Young & Minney, LLP 7 Park Center Drive Sacramento, CA 95825

Andy Nichols, Senior Manager Centration, Inc. 12150 Triburary Point Drive, Suite 140 Gold River, CA 95670

Keith Peterson, President SixTen & Associates 5252 Balboa Ave., Suite 807 San Diego, CA 92117

Steve Smith, CEO Mandated Cost Systems, Inc. 11130 Sun Center Drive Suite 100 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

David Wellhouse David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc. 9175 Kiefer Blvd., Suite 121 Sacramento, CA 95826 Sandy Reynolds, President Reynolds Consulting Group, Inc. P.O. Box 987 Sun City, CA 92856

Pam Stone, Legal Counsel MAXIMUS 4320 Auburn Blvd., Suite 2000 Sacramento, CA 95814

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on June 28, 2002, at Sacramento, California.

97%