Hearing: July 24, 2020

J:\Meetings\Minutes\2020\052220\Proposed Minutes 052220.docx

Item 1

Proposed Minutes

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

Location of Meeting: via Zoom May 22, 2020

Present: Member Gayle Miller, Chairperson

Representative of the Director of the Department of Finance

Member Mark Hariri

Representative of the State Treasurer, Vice Chairperson

Member Lee Adams County Supervisor Member Jeannie Lee

Representative of the Director of the Office of Planning and Research

Member Sarah Olsen Public Member

Member Carmen Ramirez City Council Member

Member Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez Representative of the State Controller

NOTE: The transcript for this hearing is attached. These minutes are designed to be read in conjunction with the transcript.

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Chairperson Miller called the meeting to order at 10:08 a.m. Executive Director Heather Halsey called the roll.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairperson Miller asked if there were any objections or corrections to the January 24, 2020 minutes. Member Ramirez made a motion to adopt the minutes. With a second by Member Hariri, the January 24, 2020 hearing minutes were adopted by a unanimous voice vote with Member Olsen abstaining.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Chairperson Miller asked if there was any public comment. There was no response.

HEARINGS AND DECISIONS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, ARTICLE 7 (GOV. CODE, § 17551, 17557, 17559, and 17570) (action)

Executive Director Halsey swore in the parties and witnesses participating in the Article 7 portion of the hearing.

APPEAL OF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DECISIONS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, SECTION 1181.1(c) (info/action)

Item 2 Appeal of Executive Director Decisions

Executive Director Halsey stated that there were no appeals to consider for this hearing.

TEST CLAIM

Item 3 Racial and Identity Profiling, 18-TC-02

Government Code Section 12525.5 and Penal Code Sections 13012 and 13519; as added or amended by Statutes 2015, Chapter 466 (AB 953); Statutes 2017, Chapter 328 (AB 1518)

California Code of Regulations, Title 11, Sections 999.224, 999.225, 999.226, 999.227, 999.228, and 999.229 as added by Register 2017, No. 46

City of San Diego, Claimant

Chief Legal Counsel Camille Shelton presented this item and recommended that the Commission adopt the Proposed Decision to partially approve this Test Claim.

Parties were represented as follows: Captain Jeffrey Jordon appeared on behalf of the claimant via video and audio; Donna Ferebee appeared on behalf of the Department of Finance via audio only.

Captain Jordon stated that he generally agreed with the Proposed Decision. Ms. Ferebee stated that the Department of Finance has no comment on the staff recommendation. Following comments by Member Ramirez, she made a motion to adopt the staff recommendation. With a second by Member Olsen, the motion to adopt the staff recommendation was adopted by a vote of 7-0.

MANDATE REDETERMINATION ON REMAND

Item 4 Sexually Violent Predators (CSM-4509), 12-MR-01-R

Welfare and Institutions Code Sections 6601 through 6608; Statutes 1995, Chapter 762; Statutes 1995, Chapter 763; Statutes 1996, Chapter 4

ON REMAND PURSUANT TO COURT'S JUDGMENT AND WRIT COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO v. COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES (2018) 6 CAL.5TH 196; JUDGMENT AND WRIT OF MANDATE ISSUED BY SAN DIEGO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT, CASE NO.: 37-2014-00005050-CU-WM-CTL

Department of Finance, Requester

Chief Legal Counsel Camille Shelton presented this item and recommended that the Commission adopt the Proposed Decision to deny the Request for Mandate Redetermination.

Parties were represented as follows: Donna Ferebee appeared on behalf of the Department of Finance via audio only; Christina Snider appeared on behalf of the County of San Diego via video and audio.

Ms. Ferebee stated that the Department of Finance has no comment on this item. Ms. Snider stated that the County of San Diego rested on its briefing and urged the Commission to adopt the Proposed Decision. Following comments from Member Wong-Hernandez, she made a motion to adopt the staff recommendation. With a second by Member Hariri, the motion to adopt the staff recommendation was adopted by a vote of 7-0.

HEARINGS ON COUNTY APPLICATIONS FOR FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL DISTRESS PURSUANT TO WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE SECTION 17000.6 AND CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, ARTICLE 2 (info/action)

Item 5 Assignment of County Application to Commission, a Hearing Panel of One or More Members of the Commission, or to a Hearing Officer

Executive Director Heather Halsey stated that no SB 1033 applications have been filed.

REPORTS

Item 6 Legislative Update (info)

Ms. Ortman presented this item and described five bills that the Commission is tracking: SB 287, AB 2395, SB 1371, AB 400, and AB 1471.

Item 7 Chief Legal Counsel: New Filings, Recent Decisions, Litigation Calendar (info)

Chief Legal Counsel Camille Shelton presented this item.

Item 8 Executive Director: Budget, Workload Update and Tentative Agenda Items for the July and September 2020 Meetings (info))

Executive Director Halsey introduced the Commission's three new attorneys and provided a short background for each: Ms. Juliana Gmur as Attorney III, Mr. Christopher Becker as Attorney IV, and Ms. Elizabeth McGinnis as Attorney I. Member Ramirez congratulated Executive Director Halsey and Chief Legal Counsel Shelton for finding excellent staff and stated that the work of the Commission was complicated and thanked the new attorneys for being willing to do it. Chairperson Miller also congratulated everyone and stated that she looked forward to working with everyone and acknowledged that the Commission was grateful for their service to the State of California. Member Olsen welcomed the new attorneys and reminded them of the need to interpret the law and make it understandable to the general public, as the Public Member of the Commission.

Executive Director Halsey described the cuts and new funding to the Budget Act included in the May Revision, described the Commission's pending caseload, and asked Senior Information Technology Specialist Jason Rogers and Assistant Executive Director Heidi Palchik to turn on their video and un-mute their microphones so that Ms. Palchik could present Mr. Rogers with an award in recognition of his 25 years of state service. Assistant Executive Director Palchik congratulated Senior Information Technology Specialist Rogers; presented him with an Odyssey White Hot Pro 2.0 putter; and Chairperson Miller and Executive Director Halsey congratulated him and thanked him for his 25 years of state service.

CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11126 AND 11126.2 (info/action)

A. PENDING LITIGATION

To confer with and receive advice from legal counsel, for consideration and action, as necessary and appropriate, upon the following matters pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(1):

Trial Courts:

- 1. On Remand from the Third District Court of Appeal, Case No. C070357

 State of California Department of Finance, State Water Resources Control Board, and California Regional Water Quality Board, San Diego Region v. Commission on State Mandates and County of San Diego, et al. (petition and cross-petition)

 Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 34-2010-80000604

 [Discharge of Stormwater Runoff, Order No. R9-207-000 (07-TC-09), California Regional Water Control Board, San Diego Region Order No. R9-2007-001, NPDES No. CAS0108758, Parts D.1.d.(7)-(8), D.1.g., D.3.a.(3), D.3.a.(5), D.5, E.2.f, E.2.g, F.1, F.2, F.3, I.1, I.2, I.5, J.3.a.(3)(c) iv-vii & x-xv, and L]
- City of San Diego v. Commission on State Mandates, State Water Resources Control Board, Department of Finance,
 Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 2019-80003169 (Lead Sampling in Schools: Public Water System No. 3710020 (17-TC-03)
- 3. On Remand from the California Supreme Court, Case No. S247266, and the First District Court of Appeal, Case No. A148606

 California School Board Association (CSBA) v. State of California et al.

 Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG11554698

 [Multiple Causes of Action on the Mandates Process]

Courts of Appeal:

1. Coast Community College District, et al. v. Commission on State Mandates, Third District Court of Appeal, Case No. C080349 Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2014-80001842 [Minimum Conditions for State Aid, 02-TC-25/02-TC-31 (Education Code Sections 66721, 66721.5, 66722, 66722.5, 66731, 66732, 66736, 66737, 66738, 66740, 66741, 66742, 66743, 70901, 70901.5, 70902, 71027, 78015, 78016, 78211.5, 78212, 78213, 78214, 78215, 78216, 87482.6, and 87482.7; Statutes 1975, Chapter 802; Statutes 1976, Chapters 275, 783, 1010, and 1176; Statutes 1977, Chapters 36 and 967; Statutes 1979, Chapters 797 and 977; Statutes 1980, Chapter 910; Statutes 1981, Chapters 470 and 891; Statutes 1982, Chapters 1117 and 1329; Statutes 1983, Chapters 143 and 537; Statutes 1984, Chapter 1371; Statutes 1986, Chapter 1467; Statutes 1988, Chapters 973 and 1514; Statutes 1990, Chapters 1372 and 1667; Statutes 1991, Chapters 1038, 1188, and 1198; Statutes 1995, Chapters 493 and 758; Statutes 1998, Chapter 365, 914, and 1023; Statutes 1999, Chapter 587; Statutes 2000, Chapter 187; and Statutes 2002, Chapter 1169; California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Sections 51000, 51002, 51004, 51006, 51008, 51012, 51014, 51016, 51018, 51020, 51021, 51022, 51023, 51023.5, 51023.7, 51024, 51025, 51027, 51100, 51102, 53200, 53202, 53203,

53204, 53207, 53300, 53301, 53302, 53308, 53309, 53310, 53311, 53312, 53314, 54626, 54805, 55000, 55000.5, 55001, 55002, 55002.5, 55004, 55005, 55006, 55100, 55130, 55150, 55160, 55170, 55182, 55200, 55201, 55202, 55205, 55207, 55209, 55211, 55213, 55215, 55217, 55219, 55300, 55316, 55316.5, 55320, 55321, 55322, 55340, 55350, 55401, 55402, 55403, 55404, 55500, 55502, 55510, 55512, 55514, 55516, 55518, 55520, 55521, 55522, 55523, 55524, 55525, 55526, 55530, 55532, 55534, 55600, 55601, 55602, 55602.5, 55603, 55605, 55607, 55620, 55630, 55750, 55751, 55752, 55753, 55753.5, 55753.7, 55754, 55755, 55756, 55756.5, 55757, 55758, 55758.5, 55759, 55760, 55761, 55762, 55763, 55764, 55765, 55800, 55800.5, 55801, 55805, 55805.5, 55806, 55807, 55808, 55809, 55825, 55827, 55828, 55829, 55830, 55831, 58102, 58104, 58106, 58107, 58108, 59404, and 59410; Handbook of Accreditation and Policy Manual, Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges (Summer 2002); and "Program and Course Approval Handbook" Chancellor's Office California Community Colleges (September 2001).]

2. On Remand from California Supreme Court, Case No. S214855, State of California Department of Finance, State Water Resources Control Board, and California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region v. Commission on State Mandates and County of Los Angeles, et al (petition and cross-petition) Second District Court of Appeal Case No. B292446
[Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BS130730, Related Appeal from Second District Court of Appeal, Case No. B237153 [Municipal Storm Water and Urban Runoff Discharges, 03-TC-04, 03-TC-19, 03-TC-20, and 03-TC-21, Los Angeles Regional Quality Control Board Order No. 01-182, Permit CAS004001, Parts 4C2a., 4C2b, 4E & 4Fc3]

To confer with and receive advice from legal counsel, for consideration and action, as necessary and appropriate, upon the following matter pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(2):

Based on existing facts and circumstances, there is a specific matter which presents a significant exposure to litigation against the Commission on State Mandates, its members or staff.

B. PERSONNEL

To confer on personnel matters pursuant to Government Code section 11126(a)(1).

The Commission adjourned into closed executive session at 10:47 a.m., pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(2), to confer with and receive advice from legal counsel for consideration and action, as necessary and appropriate, upon the pending litigation listed on the published notice and agenda; and to confer with and receive advice from legal counsel regarding potential litigation; and to confer on personnel matters pursuant to Government Code section 11126(a)(1).

RECOVENE IN PUBLIC SESSION

REPORT FROM CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 11:23 a.m., the Commission reconvened in open session. Chairperson Miller reported that the Commission met in closed executive session pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(2) to confer with and receive advice from legal counsel for consideration and action, as necessary and appropriate, upon the pending litigation listed on the public notice and agenda, and to confer with and receive advice from legal counsel regarding potential litigation, and, pursuant to

Government Code section 11126(a)(1) to confer on personnel matters.

ADJOURNMENT

Hearing no further business, Chairperson Miller requested a motion to adjourn the meeting. Member Hariri made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Member Ramirez seconded the motion.

The May 22, 2020 meeting was adjourned by a unanimous voice vote at 11:24 a.m.

Heather Halsey Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

RECEIVED

JUN 22 2020

PUBLIC MEETING

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

FRIDAY, MAY 22, 2020 10:08 A.M.

MEETING HELD

VIA ZOOM,

A VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS PLATFORM

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

ORIGINAL

REPORTED BY:

KATHRYN S. SWANK Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 13061 Registered Professional Reporter

> KATHRYN S. SWANK, CSR 303 Paddock Court Roseville, California 95661 Telephone (916) 390-7731 KathrynSwankCSR@sbcglobal.net

1	APPEARANCES
2	(All attendees appeared remotely, via Zoom.)
3	COMMISSIONERS PRESENT
4	GAYLE MILLER Representative for KEELY BOSLER, Director
5	Department of Finance (Chair of the Commission)
6	MARK HARIRI
7	Representative for FIONA MA State Treasurer (Vice Chair of the Commission)
9	JACQUELINE WONG-HERNANDEZ
10	Representative for BETTY T. YEE State Controller
11	JEANNIE LEE
12	Representative for KATE GORDON, Director Office of Planning & Research
13	LEE ADAMS III
14	Sierra County Supervisor Local Agency Member
15	SARAH OLSEN Public Member
16	
17	M. CARMEN RAMIREZ Oxnard City Council Member
18	Local Agency Member
19	000
20	COMMISSION STAFF
21	HEATHER A. HALSEY
22	Executive Director
23	KERRY ORTMAN Program Analyst
24	HEIDI PALCHIK
25	Assistant Executive Director
	2

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	JASON ROGERS Chief Information Officer
3	
4	CAMILLE N. SHELTON Chief Legal Counsel
5	
6	000
7	PUBLIC PARTICIPANTS
8	
9	CAPTAIN JEFFREY JORDON City of San Diego, Claimant
10	DONNA FEREBEE
11	Department of Finance
12	CHRISTINA SNIDER County of San Diego
13	
14	000
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	3

1			ERRATA SHEET
2			
3	Page	Line	Correction
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		INDEX	
2	ITEM NO.		PAGE
3 4	I.	Call to Order and Roll Call	8
5	II.	Approval of Minutes	10
5		Item 1 January 24, 2020	
7	III.	Public Comment for Matters Not on Agenda (none)	the 11
8	IV.	Proposed Consent Calendar for Item Proposed for Adoption on Consent	ns 12
9		Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Articles 7	
10		and 8 (none)	
11	V.	Hearings and Decisions Pursuant to California Code of Regulations,)
12		Title 2, Article 7	
13 14	Α.	Appeal of Executive Director Decis Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Section 1181	
15		Item 2 Appeal of Executive	12
16			ie)
17	В.	Test Claim	::1: 10
18		Item 3 Racial and Identity Prof 18-TC-02	illing, 12
19		Government Code Section	
20		and Penal Code Sections and 13519; as added or a	mended
21		by Statutes 2015, Chapte (AB 953); Statutes 2017,	
22		Chapter 328 (AB 1518)	ations
23		California Code of Regul Title 11, Sections 999.2	224,
24		999.225, 999.226, 999.22 999.228, and 999.229 as	added
25		by the Register 2017, No	

1		IND	EX CONTINUED	
2	ITEM NO.		P	AGE
3	C.	Mandate Re	determination on Remand	21
4		Item 4	Sexually Violent Predators (CSM-4509), 12-MR-01-R	
5			Welfare and Institutions Code	
6			Sections 6601 through 6608; Statutes 1995, Chapter 762;	
7			Statutes 1995, Chapter 763; Statutes 1996, Chapter 4	
8			On Remand Pursuant to Court's	
9			Judgment and Writ of County of San Diego v. Commission	
10			on State Mandates (2018) 6 Cal.5th 196; Judgment and	
11			Writ of Mandate Issued by San Diego County Superior	
12			Court, Case No.: 37-2014-00005050-CU-WM-CTL	
13			Department of Finance,	
14			Requester	
15	VI.		n County Applications for f Significant Financial	
16		Distress P	ursuant to Welfare and ns Code Section 17000.6	
17			rnia Code of Regulations,	
18		•	Assignment of County	27
19			Application to Commission, a Hearing Panel of One or	2,
20			More Members of the Commission, or to a Hearing Officer (none)	
21	VII.	Reports	or co a nearing orriver (none)	
22	v ± ± •	_	Legislative Update	27
23			Chief Legal Counsel:	28
24			New Filings, Recent Decisions, Litigation Calendar	20
25			nicigation carcinal	
				6

1 INDEX CONTINUED 2 ITEM NO. PAGE 3 Executive Director: 31 Item 8 4 Budget, Workload Update and Tentative Agenda Items for the July and 5 September 2020 Meetings 6 Closed Executive Session Pursuant to 41 VIII. 7 Government Code Sections 11126 and 11126.2 8 Pending Litigation A. 9 B. Personnel 10 Report from Closed Executive Session IX. 41 11 Adjournment 43 12 Reporter's Certificate 44 13 ---000---14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

FRIDAY,	MAY	22,	2020,	10:08	A.M

---000---

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: The meeting of the Commission on State Mandates will come to order. Welcome, and thank you to everyone for participating via Zoom.

Please note that in response to COVID-19 and its impact on public meetings, under the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act, Governor Newsom's Executive Order N-29-20 suspends, on an emergency basis pursuant to Government Code 8571, certain requirements for public meetings.

Accordingly, requiring the physical presence of board members at public meetings and providing a physical space for members of the public to observe and participate have been suspended until further notice, so long as the agency makes it possible for members of the public to observe and address the meetings remotely; for example, via web or audio conferencing such as Zoom.

The Commission is committed to ensuring that our public meetings are accessible to the public and that the public has the opportunity to observe the meeting and to participate by providing a written and verbal comment on Commission matters.

During this time, as we explore new ways of doing business with new technologies, we ask that you remain patient with us, as you have all exhibited. So thank

```
1
    you for that.
 2
         Any questions?
 3
         (No response)
 4
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Nope. Great. Thank you very
5
    much.
         Please note that the materials for today's meeting,
6
7
    including the notice, agenda, and witness list, are all
8
    available on the Commission's website, www.csm --
9
    Commission on State Mandates -- .ca.gov, under the
10
    "Hearings" tab.
11
         Ms. Halsey, will you please call the roll.
12
         MS. HALSEY:
                      Yes.
13
         Mr. Adams.
14
         MEMBER ADAMS: Here.
15
         MS. HALSEY: Mr. Hariri.
16
         MEMBER HARIRI: Here.
17
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Lee.
18
         MEMBER LEE: Here.
19
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Miller.
20
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Here.
21
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Olsen.
22
         MEMBER OLSEN: Here.
23
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Ramirez.
24
         MEMBER RAMIREZ: Here.
25
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Wong-Hernandez.
```

```
1
         MEMBER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Here.
 2.
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: It's really lovely to see all
 3
             Thank you all for being here.
    of you.
         The next item is number -- Item Number 1.
 4
 5
         Are there any objections to or corrections of the
6
    January 24th, 2020, minutes?
7
         MEMBER RAMIREZ: No. I will move the recommended
    action.
9
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Ramirez.
10
         Moved by Ms. Ramirez.
11
         MEMBER HARIRI: I second that.
12
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Hariri.
13
         Seconded by Mr. Hariri.
14
         We have a motion and a second for adoption of the
15
    January 24th, 2020, minutes.
16
         All those in favor of the adopting the minutes,
17
    please signify by saying "aye."
18
         (Ayes)
         MEMBER OLSEN: Note: I should be shown as an
19
20
    abstention since I was not present at the meeting.
21
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, thank you, Ms. Olsen.
22
         Ms. Olsen is an abstention.
23
         Are there any opposed?
24
         (No response)
25
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Seeing none.
```

10

```
1
         MEMBER RAMIREZ: I have a question, Chairwoman.
 2
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER:
 3
         MEMBER RAMIREZ: Usually we have to do a roll call
    vote, and I'm wondering if we're okay with just the
 4
5
    voice "aye."
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: The voice vote is okay for the
6
7
    minutes.
8
         Ms. Shelton, do you want to correct me if I'm wrong
    on that?
9
10
         MS. SHELTON: Hi. Good morning.
11
         For the minutes, I think you are fine. For items,
12
    I do recommend a roll call vote.
13
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great.
                                      Thank you for that.
14
    And thank you for the question, Ms. Ramirez.
15
         So the minutes are adopted.
16
         Ms. Halsey.
17
         MS. HALSEY: Hello.
18
         Now we will take up public comment for matters not
19
    on the agenda. Please note that the Commission cannot
20
    take action on items not on the agenda. However, it can
21
    schedule issues raised by the public for future -- or
22
    for consideration at future meetings.
23
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you for that.
24
         Is there any public comment?
25
         (No response)
```

11

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Seeing none and hearing none, 2 we will move to the next item. 3 Ms. Halsey. MS. HALSEY: Let's move to the Article 7 portion of 4 the hearing. There are no items on consent today. 5 6 Now will the parties and witnesses for Items 3 and 7 4 please turn on your video and un-mute your microphone 8 and please rise. 9 Thank you. 10 (Parties/witnesses stood to be sworn or 11 affirmed.) 12 MS. HALSEY: Thank you. 13 Please be seated and turn off your video and 14 microphone for now. 15 Item 2 is reserved for appeals of Executive 16 Director decisions. There are no appeals to consider for this hearing. 17 Next is Item 3. Chief Legal Counsel Camille 18 19 Shelton will please turn on her video and un-mute her 20 microphone and present a proposed decision on a test 21 claim on racial and identity profiling. 22 At this time, we invite the parties and witnesses for Item 3 to turn on their video and un-mute their 23 24 microphones when they hear their name called for the 25 presentation of their testimony.

MS. SHELTON: Good morning.

This test claim addresses the Racial and Identity
Profiling Act and the implementing regulations adopted
by the Department of Justice, which require local
governments that employ peace officers to electronically
report to the Attorney General data on all stops
conducted by the agency peace officers. The data
required to be reported include the reason for the stop,
the perceived race or ethnicity, gender, LGBT status,
approximate age and English fluency of the person
stopped, the actions taken by the officer during the
stop, and the result of the stop.

Staff finds that the test claim statutes and regulations constitute a reimbursable state-mandated program beginning November 7th, 2017, only on city and county law enforcement agencies that employ or contract for peace officers to perform the mandated requirements for stops within their own jurisdictions.

The test claim statutes and regulations do not, however, impose a state-mandated program on K-12 school districts and community college districts or on city or county agencies that assign their peace officer employees out to work for other government or private entities, based on a contract or memorandum of understanding.

	Accordingly, stall recommends that the Commission
2	adopt the proposed decision, partially approving this
3	test claim, and authorize staff to make any technical,
4	nonsubstantive changes to the proposed decision
5	following the hearing.
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you very much.
7	Parties and witnesses, please turn on your
8	microphones and state your names for the record.
9	Captain Jordon for the claimant.
10	CAPTAIN JORDON: Good morning. I'm Captain Jeff
11	Jordon.
12	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great very much.
13	Ms. Ferebee for the Department of Finance. Could
14	you please turn on your microphone. Donna, can you hear
15	me?
16	Okay. Why don't we pause for one minute and make
17	sure that we get Donna on. Is that possible? I can
18	un-mute her or the host can un-mute her. Oh, there we
19	go. Donna?
20	MS. FEREBEE: Hi. Can you hear me?
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I can now, yes. Please.
22	Okay.
23	MS. FEREBEE: Okay. I my apologies. I'm sorry.
24	I'm appearing by telephone, by audio. I don't have the
25	video going.
	14

```
1
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, no worries.
 2
         MS. FEREBEE: Thank you.
 3
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No worries.
 4
         I just wanted to make sure, did you hear
5
    Ms. Shelton's introduction?
         MS. FEREBEE: Yes, I did.
6
7
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Perfect.
8
         MS. PALCHIK: And can I -- Madam Chair, this is
    Heidi Palchik.
9
10
         I note that Ms. Ferebee -- I'm not sure if she was
11
    there, available for the swearing in. If she could just
    confirm that she was sworn in.
12
13
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you for that.
14
         MS. FEREBEE: Yes, I was.
15
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great.
16
         MS. FEREBEE:
                       Thank you.
17
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Ferebee.
18
    Great. Thank you very much.
19
         Therefore, Captain Jordon, I'm going to ask that
20
    you just state your name one more time and we will begin
21
    this again. If you could please begin.
         CAPTAIN JORDON: Hi. My name is Jeffrey Jordon,
22
23
    and then my last name is spelled J-O-R-D-O-N.
24
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you very much.
25
         And will you please begin. Anything you would like
                                                             15
```

to add.

CAPTAIN JORDON: Thank you. Good morning.

As mentioned, my name is Jeff Jordon. I'm a captain with the San Diego Police Department. And I'll be representing the City of San Diego today and the hearing for the proposed test claim for the Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015, also known as RIPA. It was authorized for filing by the City's chief financial officer, Rolando Charvel.

First I would like to thank the Commission for giving me the opportunity to present this test claim today, especially in light of all the challenges presented by us by COVID-19.

Next, I wanted to express my general agreement with the proposed decision, which clearly took into account the new legislatively mandated costs incurred by local agencies, with the implementation of Government Code 12525(a)(1), requiring law enforcement agencies to collect stop data and to report this data to the DOJ annually. These collection and reporting activities alleged in the Government Code and standardized by the DOJ when issuing regulations in Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 999.224 through 999.229. As noted in the proposed decision, the Government Code and regulations cited in this claim

require the collection of stop data and the electronic submission of it to the DOJ annually, as well as efforts to validate this data and audit it. This is an activity which took three of my officers the entire month of March to complete.

Also, one issue that was noted in the proposed decision, on page 65, relates to training costs. And I bring them up now for consideration and any established parameters and guidelines as a reasonable and necessary activity.

RIPA, as you may know, was enacted in 2015, and it took over two years for the DOJ to develop the regulations which governed the activities related to it. And I don't believe it's unreasonable for local agencies to be reimbursed for the time spent instructing officers on the 22 pages of regulations that outlined the circumstances of data that must be collected. Some of those circumstances were noted in your proposed decision.

Additionally, the RIPA board periodically requests changes or clarifies data elements that must be collected, and this requires agencies to update their training of officers. That recently took place in the fall in regards to documenting seized property.

Additionally, agencies like the San Diego Police

1	Department are being subjected to numerous CPRA requests
2	related to the data being collected and submitted;
3	having to respond to media stories; and the data, which
4	is also being collected under RIPA, we're having to
5	gauge a substantial analysis of it to respond. That
6	analysis is required by us, because if we don't respond
7	and have an analysis that speaks to the data being
8	collected, it potentially impacts our legitimacy and the
9	trust that our agencies experience in our communities.
10	I believe these also issues should be considered
11	in establishing any parameters and guidelines.
12	And with that, I will just say I'm open for any
13	comments and questions from the Commission, and I thank
14	you for your time today.
15	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. Thank you, Captain
16	Jordon.
17	Ms. Ferebee, do you have anything to add for the
18	Department of Finance?
19	MS. FEREBEE: Thank you.
20	The Department of Finance has no comment on the
21	staff recommendation for the test claim.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Thank you very much.
24	I appreciate it.
25	Are there any questions from members? Please feel
	18

free to un-mute yourselves at this time. 1 2 Ms. Ramirez? 3 MEMBER RAMIREZ: Yeah. I don't particularly have a question, but just a comment for the record. 4 5 I think it's very -- this is a very important piece 6 of legislation that clearly requires -- it's not just a 7 knee-jerk kind of thing where our law enforcement can comply with the regulations. So I really do appreciate 8 9 the comments of the captain about the time for training. 10 But it's so important for retaining/regaining the trust of the public to know that the laws are enforced fairly. 11 12 So I do appreciate those comments and support -- support the staff's recommendation. 13 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. 16 MEMBER RAMIREZ: And I would, at the appropriate 17 time, make the motion to approve. 18 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you for that motion, 19 Ms. Ramirez. 20 Any other comments from the board? MEMBER OLSEN: Only that I second the motion. 21 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Thank you, Ms. Olsen. 22 23 Seeing no further discussion, is there any 24 public -- oh, Mr. Hariri. Did you have a question? 25 I'm just getting ready to MEMBER HARIRI: No. No. 19

```
1
    vote.
 2
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, great. I appreciate it.
 3
         Is there any -- is there any public comment?
4
    Anyone wishing to make any statement?
5
         (No response)
6
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No? Okay.
7
         Well, then we have a motion from Ms. Ramirez; a
    second from Ms. Olsen to adopt the staff recommendation.
8
9
         Seeing no further discussion, I'm just making sure,
10
    quickly -- great, thank you very much.
11
         Ms. Halsey, could you please call the roll.
12
         MS. HALSEY: Mr. Adams.
13
         MEMBER ADAMS: Aye.
14
         MS. HALSEY: Mr. Hariri.
15
         MEMBER HARIRI: Aye.
16
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Lee.
17
         MEMBER LEE: Aye.
18
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Miller.
19
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Aye.
20
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Olsen.
21
         MEMBER OLSEN: Aye.
22
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Ramirez.
23
         MEMBER RAMIREZ:
                          Aye.
24
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Wong-Hernandez.
25
         MEMBER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Aye.
```

20

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, everyone. 2 motion carried. 3 And if you -- if the presenters for Item 3 can please turn off their video and mute their microphones. 4 5 Thank you, Captain Jordon. CAPTAIN JORDON: Thank you so much, Commission. 6 7 appreciate your time. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you for being here 8 9 today. Take care. 10 We will move on to Item Number 4. Ms. Halsey, 11 please. 12 MS. HALSEY: Chief Legal Counsel Camille Shelton 13 will -- well, she already has her video on and she can un-mute her microphone, and present a denial of a new 14 test claim decision on mandate redetermination on remand 15 16 on sexually violent predators. 17 At this time, we invite the parties and witnesses to turn on the video -- their video and un-mute their 18 19 microphone when they hear their name called for a 20 presentation of testimony. 21 MS. SHELTON: Thank you. This matter is on remand from the California 22 23 Supreme Court, based on a request from the Department of 24 Finance to adopt a new test claim decision to end the 25 State's liability for the Sexually Violent Predator

Program, based on a subsequent change in law, namely the 2006 voter-approved ballot initiative Proposition 83, known as Jessica's Law.

In accordance with the Court's decision, the proposed decision makes the following findings:

Number 1: The record shows that although the number of sexually violent predator referrals has not increased over time, at least some portion of all new referrals since 2006 are based on a single offense, and those referrals are therefore triggered by Proposition 83 and not by the test claim statutes or other changes in law.

Number 2: The voters are the source of an ongoing policy of civil commitment of sexually violent predators. The ballot measure included in the amendment clause in-Proposition 83 support the conclusion that the voters reasonably intended to prohibit the Legislature from repealing or significantly reducing the civil commitment program.

However, Proposition 83 does not constitute a subsequent change in law that modifies the State's liability for this program because the activities and costs to implement the program in accordance with the voter mandate have been shifted to counties based on the State's true choice, and, thus, the activities and costs

1	remain mandated by the State.	
2	Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the	
3	proposed decision to deny the request for mandate	
4	redetermination, and authorize staff to make any	
5	technical, nonsubstantive changes to the proposed	
6	decision following the hearing.	
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Shelton.	
8	And if the parties and witnesses can please or	
9	not for Ms. Ferebee. But Ms. Snider, could you please	
LO	turn on your video and make sure to un-mute your	
L1	microphones. Thank you very much.	
L2	And could you please state your names for the	
L3	record.	
L4	Ms. Snider.	
L5	MS. SNIDER: Christina Snider with the County of	
L6	San Diego.	
L7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you very much.	
L8	Ms. Ferebee.	
L9	MS. FEREBEE: This is Donna Ferebee with the	
20	Department of Finance.	
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Thank you very much.	
22	Ms. Ferebee, would you like to begin?	
23	MS. FEREBEE: Thank you.	
24	The Department of Finance has no comment on this	
25	item. Thank you.	
		23

```
1
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you very much.
 2
         Ms. Snider, for the County of San Diego, do you
 3
    have any comments?
         MS. SNIDER: Well, I actually was just going to
 4
5
    respond to the Department of Finance as needed.
6
         So one thing I will say is, to the extent the
7
    proposed decision is any different from our briefing, we
8
    would just rest on our briefing. But we appreciate the
9
    proposed decision in the local agency's favor, and we
10
    urge you to adopt it.
11
         Thank you.
12
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Snider.
13
         Is there any additional public comment on this
14
    item? If you could please un-mute your microphone
15
    and/or your video, if you have any additional public
16
    comment.
17
         (No response)
18
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I don't see any. I assume,
19
    Ms. Palchik, you will let me know if there's anyone
20
    calling in.
21
         (No response)
22
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great.
                                      Okay.
23
         Are there any questions from board members?
24
         Ms. Wong-Hernandez?
         MEMBER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
25
                                                              24
```

1	I actually just wanted to compliment staff on this
2	one. I mean, this is quite a complicated I mean,
3	many of the mandates that they write up and many of the
4	things that you all are asked to evaluate are very
5	complex.
6	But this one, I spent a lot of time with, kind of
7	understanding the layers and, frankly, the weirdness of
8	the particular situation that gave rise to all of this.
9	And so just really appreciate all the work that must
10	have gone into putting that together and having to think
11	about it differently and interpret what the court said
12	and deal grapple with the issues that hadn't been
13	really grappled with the first time around, with the
14	test claim.
15	MS. SHELTON: Thank you.
16	MEMBER WONG-HERNANDEZ: So thank you all. And I'm
17	ready to move the approval when you are ready,
18	Ms. Miller.
19	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That that compliment is
20	well deserved, Ms. Shelton.
21	Any other questions from board members?
22	(No response)
23	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: We have a motion from
24	Ms. Wong-Hernandez.
25	MEMBER HARIRI: I second that.

```
1
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Seconded by Mr. Hariri.
 2
         Any other questions? Comments?
 3
         (No response)
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. So we have a motion
 4
5
    and a second to adopt the staff recommendation.
         And I think we are, therefore, Ms. Halsey, ready --
6
7
    seeing no further discussion or comment. Just making
    sure. I think we're ready to call the roll, please.
         MS. HALSEY: Mr. Adams.
9
10
         MEMBER ADAMS: Aye.
11
         MS. HALSEY: Mr. Hariri.
12
         MEMBER HARIRI: Aye.
13
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Lee.
14
         MEMBER LEE: Aye.
15
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Miller.
16
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Aye.
17
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Olsen.
18
         MEMBER OLSEN: Aye.
19
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Ramirez.
20
         MEMBER RAMIREZ: Aye.
21
         MS. HALSEY: Ms. Wong-Hernandez.
22
         MEMBER WONG-HERNANDEZ: Aye.
23
         MS. HALSEY:
                      Thank you.
24
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Thank you, Ms. Halsey.
25
         We now ask the presenters for Items 4 -- they
                                                             26
```

1 already have turned off their videos and microphones. 2 Thank you very much. 3 Moving to Item 5. Ms. Halsey. This item is reserved for county 4 MS. HALSEY: 5 applications for a finding of significant financial 6 distress or SB 1033 applications. No SB 1033 7 applications have been filed. 8 Program Analyst Kerry Ortman will please turn on 9 her video and microphone and present Item 6, the 10 Legislative Update. 11 MS. ORTMAN: Good morning. 12 SB 287 Commission on State Mandates: Test claim: 13 Filing date, which proposes language that would specify 14 that for purposes of filing a test claim based on the 15 date of incurring increased costs, the phrase "within 12 16 months" means by June 30 of the fiscal year following 17 the fiscal year in which increased costs were first 18 incurred by the test claimant. This bill is currently 19 being held in Assembly Committee under submission. 20 AB 2395 State Mandates: Claims proposes reducing 21 the statutorily mandated minimum amount of costs 22 incurred to file a mandate reimbursement claim from 23 \$1,000 to \$900. On February 24th, 2020, the bill was 24 referred to the Assembly Committee on Local Government.

SB 1371, Maintenance of the codes. This bill makes

25

1	technical, nonsubstantive changes to clean up, among
2	other codes, the Government Code section 17581.7, which
3	addresses the Community College State Mandate Block
4	Grant. On March 20th, 2020, the bill was referred to
5	the Committee on the Judiciary.
6	AB 400, State Mandates, and AB 1471, State-mandated
7	local cost: Notification. Both died on January 31st,
8	2020, pursuant to Article IV, Section 10(c) of the
9	California Constitution, because they did not make it
LO	out of their houses of origin by the deadline.
L1	And staff will continue to monitor for legislation
L2	that impacts the mandates process.
L3	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Thank you.
L4	MS. HALSEY: Thank you, Kerry.
L5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. Any questions for
L6	Ms. Ortman, anyone?
L7	(No response)
L8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Nope. Great. Thank you.
L9	MS. HALSEY: Okay. Chief Legal Counsel Camille
20	Shelton will please turn on her video and microphone and
21	present Item 7, the Chief Legal Counsel Report.
22	MS. SHELTON: Thank you.
23	We do have some recent decisions to report.
24	The first one is on the challenge to the
25	Commission's decision in Discharge of Stormwater Runoff.

That's a filing and cross-petition filed by both the Department of Finance and the San Diego County and cities.

And on February 6, the Sacramento County Superior Court issued an order denying the petition and the cross-petition and upholding the Commission's decision, finding that the permit did impose a new program or higher level of service. And except for the activities related to the Hydromodification Plan and low impact development, the activities did result in increased costs mandated by the State.

We're still waiting for the proposed judgment on that. I think the court is having some issues with the closure during this crisis. So we're waiting to receive that.

The second case to report is Coast Community

College District versus Commission on State Mandates.

On April 3rd, the Third District Court of Appeal issued a published decision partially approving the petition for writ of mandate. A significant portion of the Court's decision finds that the minimum condition regulations imposed state-mandated requirements on community college districts to meet their underlying duty to operate.

A petition for rehearing was filed by the

1 Commission and joined by the Department of Finance to 2. address a couple of the pleading and jurisdictional 3 issues raised in the Court's decision. And on May 1st, 2020, the Court issued a modified opinion correcting one 4 of the issues raised but denied the rehearing on the 5 6 remaining issues. 7 As far as litigation calendar, we do have a hearing date now set in the City of San Diego case, which 8 9 challenges the Commission's decision on Lead Sampling in 10 Schools. That hearing date is now set for July 28 in 11 the Sacramento County Superior Court. 12 And we have a case management conference scheduled 13 in California School Board Association versus The State 14 of California, which is set for June 19th, 2020, in 15 Alameda County Superior Court. 16 And that's all I have. Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Thank you very much. 18 Any questions for Ms. Shelton? 19 (No response) 20 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No? Okay. And none from Mr. Hariri, either? Right. 21 22 (No response) 23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I assume not. Mark, if 24 you have a question, just make sure to un-mute -- come 25 on video.

1 MEMBER HARIRI: No. I don't have any. Thank you. 2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Thank you so much. 3 Okay, Ms. Halsey. The Executive Director Report, 4 please. 5 MS. HALSEY: Okay. The Commission -- so I have a 6 few things to report on. The first is Commission 7 staffing. As you might remember from our last hearing 8 in January, at that time, two of our staff counsel were leaving the Commission. And then shortly thereafter, we 9 10 had also another staff counsel leave the Commission. 11 we were down three attorneys out of our four. 12 We did recruit for those three positions and we 13 have filled them and we could not be more delighted. 14 I want to introduce our new attorneys to you. 15 First let me introduce Ms. Juliana "Mur" -- Juliana 16 Gmur, excuse me. Juliana, please turn on your video and 17 un-mute your microphone. There she comes. Hi, Juliana. 18 Ms. Gmur has been -- has been an attorney for 27 19 years and has been in public service for approximately 20 14 years while practicing public law as a deputy county 21 counsel, assistant county counsel, and, at times, an 22 acting county counsel. She has approximately 11 years 23 of state mandates experience. She represented local 24 governments before the Commission between the years of

So

2002 and 2013. And her -- in her prior state mandate

Mr. Becker has been an attorney for 16 years and has been in public service for 15 years working for the County of Sacramento and then for the State. He served as deputy district attorney for approximately four years, where he had many jury trials and over 40 court trials. In his capacity as deputy attorney general and supervising deputy attorney general, he supervised a team of six attorneys and numerous civil lawsuits; provided legal counsel to the Governor's Office and the Department of Corrections; conducted jury trials and prepared and argued legal briefs, including before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Mr. Becker was hired in April and started working

1 with the Commission as our Attorney IV on May 1st. 2 is a welcome addition to our team. 3 Thank you. 4 MR. BECKER: Thank you. 5 MS. HALSEY: And, finally, please let me introduce 6 Ms. Elizabeth McGinnis. 7 Liz, please turn on your video and un-mute your 8 microphone. 9 Ms. McGinnis is an attorney with eight years' 10 experience in civil litigation and demonstrated 11 communication, legal writing, and research skills. 12 She's a dedicated public servant, having worked for 13 Legal Aid of Sonoma County, California Rural Legal 14 Assistance, as well as in private practice, where she 15 represented nonprofits and governmental entities. 16 Ms. McGinnis was a Research Attorney III for the Shasta 17 County Superior Court for two years and developed skills 18 that will certainly be applicable to her work here at 19 the Commission. 20 She was hired -- she too was hired in April, and 21 her first day of working with the Commission as an 22 Attorney I was on May 1st. 23 Ms. McGinnis thus completes our legal team. 24 MEMBER RAMIREZ: I have a comment, if I could. 25 Can you hear me?

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Please, Ms. Ramirez. 2. MEMBER RAMIREZ: I'm an attorney. I've been an 3 attorney for decades, and I know a number of the members of the Commission are attorneys as well as our staff, 4 5 and I am very impressed. I've always been very impressed with the quality of work of our legal team. 6 7 And I want to congratulate Heather and Camille for finding excellent staff in the legal team. 9 But I want to say, this -- the work of the 10 Commission is so complicated and takes so much focus and 11 attention. I -- I'm really pleased to see that people 12 are still willing to do it though. I'm sure that -- I'm 13 sure that people sometimes come into something without 14 understanding how difficult it's going to be. 15 So I congratulate you and I hope that you are happy 16 there and I know -- it seems like at least a couple of 17 you could probably retire if you wanted to, but you have 18 picked up the gauntlet, and I thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I think that was beautifully 20 said, Ms. Ramirez. And I congratulate everyone as well and really look forward to working with all of you. 21 22 It's a huge service to the State of California and we're 23 grateful for everything you are doing. So thank you. 24 Any other comments on the --25 MEMBER OLSEN: Yes, I have a comment.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ms. Olsen.

MEMBER OLSEN: I want to build on Ms. Ramirez's comments.

As the nonattorney/public member, I also want to welcome the new attorneys. It is a really difficult, complex, dense job. And I do want to stress that one of your jobs here is not just to talk to the attorneys among us, but to interpret the law and make it understandable to the general public. And I -- you know, I'm like your marker for the general public on this Commission. If you can make me understand it, then anybody can understand it.

So welcome. Thank you.

MS. HALSEY: Thank you. Thank you for your comments and support, Members Olsen and Ramirez.

I did also want to add -- but you guys already kind of made this point -- it's no secret that it's been a challenge to recruit and retain qualified attorneys to serve on the Commission staff and, longer term, to be part of our succession planning. The work is very challenging, it's detail-oriented, and it requires deep research and understanding of the laws in a wide variety of program areas. And it's certainly not for everyone.

However, our Commission management was incredibly pleased with the caliber of candidates who applied for

these recruitments, and we're really delighted with the recruitments that we were able to make this time around. They -- they all seem to have what it takes in terms of skills, abilities, and temperament to enjoy being successful in mandates law, and we're really delighted to have them on board. So this is definitely a bright spot in this otherwise dark time in our history. So anyway, something to celebrate.

Moving on to the not-so-good news.

Budget. The Budget Act, as you know, was introduced on January 10th, 2020. At that time, we had a surplus and it included approved BCPs for the Commission staff. May Revise now has been released and it reflects, as you probably all know, a steep decline in revenues and an increase in expenditures related to COVID-19 and elimination of most BCPs, including ours, of course. Also, it eliminates most plans' salary increases and includes a 10 percent cut to personnel costs, the shape of which is still going to be taking form through collective bargaining. Additional budget cuts are expected in 2021 and beyond.

The Commission's budget was heard in Senate Sub 4 back in March, and, at that time, the BCPs were approved. It was heard again yesterday in Senate Sub 4, and the withdrawal of those BCPs was approved. And the

Commission's budget otherwise is passed as it existed last year.

And also, the budget did include some new funding for mandate reimbursements, specifically funding the subvention for the new U-Visa and impasse procedures mandated programs, and those were funded at the top end of their estimated costs in the statewide cost estimate. That's what we have on budget.

For workload, we have been continuing to get a small influx of new filings. And after this hearing, there will be 42 pending test claims, 39 of which are regarding NPDES permits. We have one parameters and guidelines, five IRCs, and two statewide cost estimates, active and pending.

We also have some other matters that are on inactive status due to our litigation, which, as you heard Camille present, is substantial. We have a number of items currently being litigated.

And then for tentative agenda items, please check my Executive Director's Report to see if an item you are interested in is coming up. We do issue those pending decisions at least eight weeks prior to the hearing for review and comment.

And finally -- sorry, I have a very long report this month.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Congratulations, Jason, and thank you for helping us run a seamless Zoom meeting today.

23

24

25

MR. ROGERS: Thank you. I really appreciate it.

```
1
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Really appreciate it.
 2
         MR. ROGERS: You are very welcome.
 3
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great job.
 4
         MR. ROGERS:
                      Thank you.
 5
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And -- did you want to say
6
    anything to the Commission, Jason?
7
         MR. ROGERS: Just a big thank you. I think I've
8
    spent -- and, Heather or Heidi, you might know for sure.
9
    I think it's been 20 years that I've been with the
10
    Commission. Pretty close. So it's been a very fun
11
    journey, a lot of challenges, and I'm just very thankful
12
    for the leadership at the Commission. It's been very
    rewarding.
13
14
         So thank you, Heather, and thank you, Heidi.
15
         MS. HALSEY: Thank you, Jason. We're very lucky to
16
    have you.
17
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.
                                    Thank you very much.
18
         Anything else, Ms. Halsey, before we move to our
    closed session?
19
20
         MS. HALSEY:
                      No.
                           That was it for me.
21
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great.
                                      Thank you very much.
         So I assume we have our -- you know how to make
22
23
    this work, Ms. Halsey, technically, but I'm just going
24
    to -- this Commission will meet in closed executive
25
    session pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e) to
```

1 confer with and receive advice from legal counsel for 2 consideration and action, as necessary and appropriate, 3 upon the pending litigation listed on the published 4 notice and agenda. 5 And -- Ms. Swank, was I going too quickly again? 6 Sorry. 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you for asking. 8 you've been perfect. Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you very much. And to confer with and receive advice from legal 10 11 counsel regarding potential litigation. The Commission 12 will also confer on personnel matters pursuant to 13 Government Code section 11126(a)(1). 14 We will reconvene in open session in approximately 15 15 minutes. Ms. Halsey, how do we confirm who -- I showed 37 16 17 participants, total. 18 MS. HALSEY: What are you trying to confirm? We're 19 not staying here. We're all going to the -- the members 20 will all be going into closed session through a separate link, which is included in your transmittal. And then 21 we will return into this meeting to close out the 22 23 meeting. So this meeting stays open to the public that 24 we're in currently. 25 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So we would all leave

```
1
    this meeting now, correct?
 2
         MS. HALSEY: Yes. And go into closed session, and
 3
    then we'll come back to this meeting.
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. And that link can be
 4
5
    found in this e-mail from yesterday?
         MS. HALSEY: It's going to be in your hearing
6
7
    binder transmittal.
8
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Got it. Okay.
9
         MS. HALSEY: Do you need me to resend it?
10
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes, please.
11
         MS. HALSEY: Okay.
12
         MEMBER RAMIREZ: Give us a couple of minutes.
13
         MS. HALSEY:
                      Okay.
14
         MEMBER RAMIREZ: All right. Bye.
15
         (Closed session was held from
16
         10:47 a.m. to 11:23 a.m.)
17
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.
18
         The Commission met in closed executive session
19
    pursuant to Government Code 11126(e)(2) to confer with
20
    and receive advice from legal counsel for consideration
21
    and action, as necessary and appropriate, upon pending
22
    litigation listed on the published notice and agenda; to
23
    confer with and receive advice from legal counsel
24
    regarding potential litigation and pursuant to
25
    Government Code section 11126(a)(1) to confer on
```

```
1
    personnel matters.
 2
         With no further business to discuss, I will
3
    entertain a motion to adjourn, please.
 4
         MEMBER HARIRI: I move to adjourn.
 5
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Hariri.
         MEMBER RAMIREZ: Second.
6
7
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: All those in favor of
    adjourning, please say "aye."
8
9
         (Ayes)
10
         MEMBER RAMIREZ: Bye, everybody.
11
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Anyone opposed?
12
         (No response)
13
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, thank you very much.
14
    think this was a successful meeting.
15
         Mr. Hariri, I'm sure -- we apologize for the
    technical difficulties.
16
17
         MEMBER HARIRI: I found out what the problem was,
18
    that there's a question mark at the end of the ID, but
19
    on the iPhone, it only displays the keypad, and I
20
    couldn't find the question mark without --
21
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh. Well, we appreciate
    everyone's patience.
22
23
         MEMBER HARIRI: Thank you.
24
         CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And please stay well.
25
         And thank you, Ms. Halsey, to you and your whole
                                                              42
```

1	team, and you, Ms. Shelton, for the extraordinary ways
2	during which you are working during this time.
3	Ms. Olsen.
4	MEMBER OLSEN: Yes. Heather, I have got a question
5	for you. July meeting, is this how it's going to work?
6	MS. HALSEY: Yes. I mean, maybe we'll do some
7	stuff to make it smoother, but, yeah, this is
8	MEMBER OLSEN: Oh, yeah. But we're not assuming
9	meeting in Sacramento.
10	MS. HALSEY: No. Definitely not. We expect to
11	continue a remote meeting for the next.
12	MEMBER HARIRI: Quite a practice session.
13	MEMBER OLSEN: That's right.
14	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you everyone. Take
15	care. Be well.
16	(Proceedings concluded at 11:24 a.m.)
17	000
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	43
	43

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, KATHRYN S. SWANK, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
4	of the State of California, do hereby certify:
5	That I am a disinterested person herein; that the
6	foregoing proceedings were reported in shorthand by me,
7	Kathryn S. Swank, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the
8	State of California, and thereafter transcribed into
9	typewriting.
10	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
11	attorney for any of the parties to said proceedings nor
12	in any way interested in the outcome of said
13	proceedings.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
15	this 18th day of June 2020.
16	
17	
18	
19	/s/ Kathryn S. Swank KATHRYN S. SWANK, CSR
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter License No. 13061
21	Electise No. 15001
22	
23	
24	
25	
	44

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 3 I, KATHRYN S. SWANK, a Certified Shorthand Reporter 4 of the State of California, do hereby certify: 5 That I am a disinterested person herein; that the 6 foregoing proceedings were reported in shorthand by me, Kathryn S. Swank, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and thereafter transcribed into 8 9 typewriting. 10 I further certify that I am not of counsel or 11 attorney for any of the parties to said proceedings nor 12 in any way interested in the outcome of said 13 proceedings. 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 15 this 18th day of June 2020. 16 17 18 19 20 rtified SMorthand Reporter License No. 13061 21 22 23 24 25